



# The Regional Municipality of York Police Services Board

To Make a Difference in Our Community

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket,  
Ontario, Canada L3Y 6Z1

Tel: 905.830.4444 or 1.877.464.9675 ext. 77906

Fax: 905.895.5249

E-mail: [psb@yrp.ca](mailto:psb@yrp.ca) • Web: [yrpsb.ca](http://yrpsb.ca)

## AGENDA PUBLIC SESSION

Wednesday, March 23, 2016, 1:00 p.m.

COMMITTEE ROOM "A"

ADMINISTRATIVE CENTRE

17250 YONGE STREET

NEWMARKET, ONTARIO

Pages

1. INTRODUCTION OF ADDENDUM ITEMS AND OTHER BUSINESS
2. DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST
3. CONFIRMATION OF PUBLIC MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 17, 2016 BOARD MEETING 1
4. PRESENTATION
  - 4.1 Draft design of Board's new website – Executive Director 8
5. COMMUNICATIONS
  - 5.1 Request from Mr. Wayne Emmerson, York Region Chairman and CEO, March 9, 2016, requesting sponsorship of the Chairman's Inaugural Charity Golf Tournament taking place June 10, 2016 in Aurora, ON. 10
  - 5.2 Letter from Inspector Keith Merith, Immediate Past President, Association of Black Law Enforcers (ABLE), March 3, 2016, requesting sponsorship of the 24th Annual Scholarship Awards Gala taking place May 7, 2016 in Toronto, ON 12
  - 5.3 Letter from Mr. Roger Anderson, Chair, Durham Regional Police Services Board, February 25, 2016, regarding the proposed legislation amendments to the Police Services Act 14
  - 5.4 Letter from Mayor Rob Burton, Chair, Halton Regional Police Services Board, March 3, 2016, regarding supporting the letter sent by Durham Regional Police Services Board and the Board's views regarding the proposed legislative amendments to the PSA. 24

- |     |  |    |
|-----|--|----|
| 5.5 | Letter from Mr. Russ Bain, President, OAPSB, March 14, 2016, regarding Proposed Legislative Language – Police Board Responsibilities.  | 25 |
| 5.6 | Memorandum from Ms Mafalda Avellino, Executive Director, York Regional Police Services Board, March 16, 2016, regarding a special request for Board member enrollment in a training program. | 31 |

**6. REPORTS OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE**

- |     |   |    |
|-----|---|----|
| 6.1 | Financial Statements (un-audited) for the Period ending December 31, 2015 | 41 |
|-----|---|----|

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Board receive the Financial Statements for the twelve month period ending December 31, 2015, pursuant to Financial Accountability Board Policy No. 01/05.

- |     |  |    |
|-----|--|----|
| 6.2 | Direct Purchase for the Helicopter Engine Overhaul, Downlink and High Definition Video Monitor Equipment | 51 |
|-----|--|----|

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Board authorize the direct purchase for a helicopter engine overhaul, downlink and video monitoring equipment to Canadian Helicopters Limited not to exceed \$689,000, plus applicable taxes.
2. That the Chief of Police be authorized to execute the purchase agreement, subject to the approval of the Region’s Legal Services as to form and content.

- |     |                                     |    |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|
| 6.3 | 2015 Communication Tower Agreeemnts | 53 |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Board receive this report in accordance with the annual reporting requirement on the status of communication tower agreements in the Board’s Installations on Radio Communication Towers Policy No. 02/09.

- |     |                                 |    |
|-----|---------------------------------|----|
| 6.4 | Donation of Musical Instruments | 56 |
|-----|---------------------------------|----|

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Board approve the donation of 101 trumpets, 25 clarinets and one digital baby grand piano to be divided between the York District School Board and York District Catholic School Board.

6.5	Status of Reward	58
-----	------------------	----

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Board renew the award in the amount of \$50,000 to assist in successfully gaining information that could lead to the arrest and conviction of the person(s) responsible for the murder of Yvonne Leroux on November 30, 1972, in the Township of King.

6.6	2015 Annual Statistics	61
-----	------------------------	----

RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Board receive this report pursuant to Police Services Board Crime, Call and Public Disorder Analysis Policy No. 02/00-5

**7. ADDENDUM ITEMS**

7.1	Letter from Nicole Taylor, Co-Founder of United by Trauma, March 22, 2016, regarding the I Run and Rock event	131
-----	---	-----

Last year the Board provided sponsorship in the amount of \$3,000.

7.2	Letter from Russ Bain, President, OAPSB, March 22, 2016, regarding Notice of the 2016 Annual General Meeting	147
-----	--	-----

7.3	Letter from Councillor Eli, El-Chantiry, Chair, Ottawa Police Services Board, March 22, 2016, regarding the Police Services Act Reform	149
-----	--	-----

7.4	Letter from Councillor Eli, El-Chantiry, Chair, Ottawa Police Services Board, March 22, 2016 regarding Proposed changes to the Highway Traffic Act – Colour Coating Obscure Interior Driver Window	150
-----	--	-----

**8. OTHER BUSINESS**

**9. CONSIDERATION OF MOTION TO MOVE INTO PRIVATE SESSION**

Motion to resolve into Private Session.

That the York Regional Police Services Board adjourn the public portion of its meeting to move to private to discuss confidential items pertaining to legal and personnel matters in accordance with Section 35(4)(b) of the *Police Services Act*.

**10. CONSIDERATION OF MOTION TO MOVE INTO PUBLIC SESSION**

Motion to reconvene into Public Session.

**11. CONSIDERATION OF PRIVATE ITEMS**

1. Human Resources Report (Recommendations 1 and 2)
2. Appointment of New Police Officers (Recommendation 1)
3. Appointment of Experienced Police Officers (Recommendation 1)
4. Appointment of Special Constables (Recommendation 1)

**12. CONFIRMATORY BYLAW**

152

See Attached.

**13. ADJOURNMENT**



**MINUTES**  
**of**  
**THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK**  
**POLICE SERVICES BOARD**  
**February 17, 2016**

---

The Board commenced its meeting of February 17, 2016 in Committee Room A, York Region Administrative Centre, 17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, Ontario on the above-noted date at 9:02 a.m. in public session.

**Board Members Present:** F. Scarpitti, Chair, Mayor of the City of Markham  
V. Hackson, Vice Chair, Mayor of the Town of East Gwillimbury  
W. Emmerson, Chairman & C.E.O., York Region  
J. Molyneaux, Member  
B. Jiang, Member  
B. Rogers, Member  
K. Usman, Member

M. Avellino, Executive Director  
J. Kogan, Administrative Assistant

**YRP Present:** E. Jolliffe, Chief of Police  
T. Carrique, Deputy Chief of Police  
A. Crawford, Deputy Chief of Police  
T. Cusimano, Executive Officer to the Chief of Police  
J. Channell, Manager, Financial Services  
K. Griffin, Manager, Corporate Communications

**YR Legal & Court Services:** J. Hulton, Regional Solicitor

---

**57     INTRODUCTION OF ADDENDUM ITEMS AND OTHER BUSINESS**

*It was moved by Chairman Emmerson, seconded by Mr. Molyneaux that the following items be added to the agenda of the public session of this meeting:*

**Addendum Items**

- (a) Memo from the Executive Director regarding the nomination to OAPSB Board. (Addendum Item No. 8.1)
- (b) Public Relations Reserve Fund – Report of the Executive Director. (Addendum Item No 8.2)

**58     DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST**

*Vice Chair Hackson declared a conflict of interest with agenda item No. 5.1, letter from York Region Abuse Program as she is on the committee. Vice Chair Hackson resolved to*

*remove herself to consider the letter from the York Region Abuse Program; and she did not participate in any consideration or discussion of, or vote on any part of this item.*

59 **CONFIRMATION OF PUBLIC MINUTES OF JANUARY 20, 2016 BOARD MEETING**

*It was moved by Vice Chair Hackson, seconded by Mr. Rogers that the Board confirm the minutes for the public session of the meeting held on January 20, 2016 in the form supplied to the members.*

**CARRIED**

**PRESENTATION**

60 **Presentation on 2017-2019 Business Plan – Summary of 2015 Business Survey Findings**

*It was moved by Chairman Emmerson, seconded by Mr. Molyneaux that the presentation be received.*

**CARRIED**

**COMMUNICATIONS**

61 Letter from Alison L. Peck, Executive Director, York Region Abuse Program, January 26, 2016, requesting sponsorship for the “Hand-in-Hand Gala” taking place April 30, 2016 in Kleinburg, ON.

*It was moved by Chairman Emmerson, seconded by Mr. Rogers that the letter from Ms Alison L. Peck, Executive Director, York Region Abuse Program dated January 26, 2016 be received, and that the Board purchase one Corporate Table for \$3,000.*

**CARRIED**

62 Letter from Scott Cole, Co-Chair and Derek Sinton, Co-Chair, Police Appreciation Night Committee, February 8, 2016, requesting support for the 24<sup>th</sup> Annual Police Appreciation Night taking place May 18, 2016 in Woodbridge, ON.

*It was moved by Chairman Emmerson, seconded by Mr. Molyneaux that the letter from Mr. Scott Cole, Co-Chair and Mr. Derek Sinton, Co-Chair, Police Appreciation Night Committee dated February 8, 2016 be received and that the Board purchase two corporate tables for \$2,500 each.*

**CARRIED**

**REPORTS OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE**

63 **Direct Purchase of Helicopter Parts**

*It was moved by Chairman Emmerson, seconded by Mr. Molyneaux that the Board adopt the following recommendations contained in the Report of the Chief of Police:*

1. That the Board authorize the direct purchase of helicopter parts to Canadian Helicopters Limited for an initial year not to exceed \$153,500, plus applicable taxes; and

2. That the contract be awarded for a period of one year, with an option to renew for one additional one year period commencing January 1, 2017 for a total cost of \$307,000, plus applicable taxes; and
3. That the Chief of Police be authorized to exercise the option to renew on behalf of the Board, contingent upon execution of the one year contract extension of the Pilot and Maintenance contract with Canadian Helicopters Limited; and
4. That the Chief of Police be authorized to execute the contract, and any renewal, subject to the approval of the Region's Legal Services as to form and content.

**CARRIED**

**64 Update on the Direct Purchase of the Latitude Geographics System**

*It was moved by Mr. Molyneaux, seconded by Vice Chair Hackson that the Board adopt the following recommendations contained in the Report of the Chief of Police:*

1. That the Board receive this report for information purposes regarding the award of the Latitude Geographics System, in accordance with the recommendations of the September 23, 2015 report; and
2. That the Chief of Police be authorized to purchase annual maintenance and extended support and execute agreements with Latitude Geographics Group Ltd., at a negotiated initial rate of \$10,000, and for a period of up to five years at a total cost of \$115,600, plus applicable HST.

**CARRIED**

**65 Disposal of Assets - 2015**

*It was moved by Vice Chair Hackson, seconded by Mr. Usman that the Board adopt the following recommendation contained in the Report of the Chief of Police:*

1. That the Board receive this report pursuant to the Board's Purchasing By-law No. 06-14.

**CARRIED**

**66 Execution of Documents By-law and Purchasing By-law**

*It was moved by Ms Jiang, seconded by Mr. Rogers that the Board adopt the following recommendation contained in the Report of the Chief of Police:*

1. That the Board receive this report pursuant to the Execution of Documents By-Law No. 08-15 and Purchasing By-Law 06-14 quarterly reporting requirements.

**CARRIED**

**67 2015 Solicitations and Donations**

*It was moved by Vice Chair Hackson, seconded by Mr. Rogers that the Board adopt the following recommendations contained in the Report of the Chief of Police and that the Board send out thank you letters to all the donors:*

1. That the Board receive this report in accordance with the Board's Public Donations Policy No. 01/15; and
2. That the Board approve 2015 donations greater than \$10,000 from the attached Appendix A totaling \$54,356 in cash donations, and a \$28,000 non-cash donation.

**CARRIED**

Action: Executive Director

68 **2017-2019 Business Plan Summary of 2015 Business Survey Findings**

*It was moved by Mr. Usman, seconded by Ms Jiang that the Board adopt the following recommendation contained in the Report of the Chief of Police:*

1. That the Board receive this report.

**CARRIED**

69 **Annual Report on Public Complaints**

*It was moved by Mr. Rogers, seconded by Mr. Molyneaux that the Board adopt the following recommendation contained in the Report of the Chief of Police:*

1. That the Board receive this report pursuant to Section 31(1)(j) of the *Police Services Act*, Board By-Law No. 01-11 respecting the Administration of the Complaints System under Part V of the *Police Services Act*.

**CARRIED**

**REPORTS OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

70 **Reporting and Monitoring Requirements**

*It was moved by Mr. Molyneaux, seconded by Mr. Rogers that the Board adopt the following recommendation contained in the Report of the Executive Director:*

1. That the Board receive, for its information, the Monitoring Requirements report attached as Appendix A.

**CARRIED**

71 **Annual Update on Board Compliance with the *Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act, 2005*.**

*It was moved by Ms Jiang, seconded by Vice Chair Hackson that the Board adopt the following recommendation contained in the Report of the Executive Director:*

1. That the Board receive this report for its information.

**CARRIED**

72 **Highlights of Privacy and Public Safety Seminar**

*It was moved by Chairman Emmerson, seconded by Mr. Molyneaux that the Board adopt the following recommendation contained in the Report of the Executive Director:*

1. That the Board receive this report for its information.

**CARRIED**

**ADDENDUM ITEMS**

- 73 **Memo from the Executive Director regarding the Nomination to OAPSB Board.**

*It was moved by Chairman Emmerson, seconded by Mr. Rogers that the Board receive the memo of the Executive Director and that the Board endorse the nomination of Board Member John Molyneaux to serve as a representative on the OAPSB Board.*

*Action: Executive Director*

- 74 **Public Relations Reserve Fund**

*It was moved by Ms Jiang, seconded by Mr. Usman that the Board adopt the following recommendation contained in the Report of the Executive Director:*

1. That the Board receive this report in accordance with its Public Relations Reserve Fund Policy No. 08/08.

**CARRIED**

**CONSIDERATION OF MOTION TO MOVE INTO PRIVATE SESSION**

- 75 *It was moved by Mr. Usman, seconded by Mr. Rogers that the Board convene in Private Session for the purpose of considering confidential items pertaining to legal and personnel matters in accordance with Section 35(4) (b) of the Police Services Act.*

*The Board met in Private Session at 10:00 a.m. and reconvened in public at 1:30 p.m.*

**CONSIDERATION OF MOTION TO MOVE INTO PUBLIC SESSION**

- 76 *It was moved by Ms Jiang, seconded by Mr. Molyneaux that the Board rise and report from Private Session.*

**CONSIDERATION OF PRIVATE ITEMS**

- 77 **Human Resources**

*It was moved by Chairman Emmerson, seconded by Mr. Rogers that the Board adopt the following recommendations contained in the Report of the Chief of Police:*

1. That the Board reclassify one officer pursuant to the 2013 – 2015 Uniform Working Agreement; and
2. That the Board appoint four civilians, pursuant to Section 31(1)(a) of the *Police Services Act*.

**CARRIED**

- 78 **Appointment of Special Constable**

*It was moved by Mr. Rogers, seconded by Mr. Usman that the Board adopt the following recommendation contained in the Report of the Chief of Police:*

1. That the Board authorize the appointment of one Special Constable within York Region for a five-year period, effective April 4, 2016, pursuant to Section 53(1) of the *Police Services Act*.

**CARRIED**

79 **Appointment of Special Cadets**

*It was moved by Vice Chair Hackson, seconded by Ms Jiang that the Board adopt the following recommendation contained in the Report of the Chief of Police:*

1. That the Board appoint six new individuals as Student Cadets, effective February 16, 2016 pursuant to Section 51(1) of the *Police Services Act*.

**CARRIED**

80 **Re-Appointment of Special Constables**

*It was moved by Vice Chair Hackson, seconded by Ms Jiang that the Board adopt the following recommendation contained in the Report of the Chief of Police:*

1. That the Board authorize the re-appointment of four York Regional Police Special Constables for a further five year period, effective March 31, 2016, pursuant to Section 53(1) of the *Police Services Act*.

**CARRIED**

81 **CONFIRMATORY BYLAW**

The Board had before it Bylaw No. 02-16. The Bylaw is necessary to confirm the proceedings of the Board at this meeting.

*It was moved by Chairman Emmerson, seconded by Mr. Molyneaux that Bylaw No. 02-16, being "a Bylaw confirming the proceedings of the Board at this meeting," be read and enacted.*

Bylaw No. 02-16 was read and enacted as follows:

"To confirm the proceedings of the Board at this meeting".

**CARRIED**

82 **ADJOURNMENT**

*It was moved by Chairman Emmerson, seconded by Ms Jiang that the meeting be adjourned.*

**CARRIED**

The meeting adjourned at 1:35 p.m.

---

Mafalda Avellino  
Executive Director

---

Mayor Frank Scarpitti  
Chair

*Minutes to be confirmed and adopted at the meeting of the Board held on March 23, 2016.*

Accessible formats or communication supports are available upon request.

# York Regional Police Services Board

## Website Architecture - Version 3

### Target Audiences

General Public and the Media: Seeking meeting schedules, agendas, meeting minutes, presentations, policies, media releases and information on joining the board.

Government Officials: Newsletter, business plan, agenda, and minutes.

### Treefrog Website Goals

- More user friendly and inviting experience that is not quite as authoritative, presenting information in an interesting way while being fully accessible (AODA-compliant at AA Level).
- Create a separate presence that lets users know YRPSB is separate from the police.
- Encourage people to attend meetings, including the public and the media.
- Split out policies into separate documents so that they are easier to find and frequent updates can be managed more efficiently.

## Components of the Webpage & Calls to Action

(Visible throughout the website except for masthead which is only on home page)

The calls to action on the homepage will be visible throughout the website except for the masthead which is only on home page.

**Masthead** [Welcoming imagery that engages the user upon arrival on the home page. Not rotating].  
*Board Mandate* [Link to the Board Mandate page which will outline the responsibilities of the Board].

### Calls to Action (Which will appear on the homepage as well internal pages):

**Next Board Meeting** [Date and time]

*View Agenda* [Link to the meetings page which will have the meeting schedule, agendas, minutes, presentations, reports and meeting highlights]

**What's New?** [List of news items, initiatives and media releases showing most recent with the option to link to full list of updates. This can include announcing Civic Leadership Awards, new board members, Board resolutions, etc.]

*See All Updates* [Link to What's New in menu]

**Get Our Newsletter** [Link to Newsletter Page which will have all the Board's Newsletters]

*Subscribe* [Allow users to sign up to be on the mailing list for the newsletter]

**AODA Controls** [Controls which will help users navigate the site according to their needs]

Site Search

Font Resizer

Contrast Selector

Accessibility Clause



## Menu

1. **Home** [Homepage with Masthead and Calls to Action]
2. **About** [Landing page with information about the board's purpose and responsibilities]
  - a) Board Members
  - b) Board Mandate
  - c) Becoming a Board Member
  - d) Accessibility
3. **Meetings** [No Submenus - landing page – will have the meeting schedule with links to agendas, minutes, presentations, reports and meeting highlights as well information on Deputations and link to meetings prior to 2016]
4. **Reports & Publications**
  - a) Annual Report
  - b) Media Releases
  - c) Newsletter
  - d) What's New [Connects to feed on home page - opportunity to highlight achievements or happenings such as Civic Leadership Award etc.]
5. **Policies** [Landing page with links to each policy on a separate web page - The Board's Policy Manual which includes Policies, Procedures and Bylaws will be broken out into individual web pages for each.]
6. **Business Plan** [landing page with links to business plan pdf]
7. **Public Complaints** [landing page with details on filing a complaint]
8. **Contact Us** [landing page will have general information that lets people know how to contact the Police Services Board office with general contact info]

## Site Footer

1. Copyright notice [no link]
2. Privacy Policy
3. Accessibility [Link to Accessibility page which will have a brief summary and link to the Board's Accessibility Policies as HTML pages]
4. Site Map

### Contact Information

17250 Yonge Street  
4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Newmarket, Ontario  
L3Y 6Z1  
Local 905-830-4444 Extension 77906  
Toll-Free 1-877-464-9675 Extension 77906  
Email [psb@yrp.ca](mailto:psb@yrp.ca)

### Accessibility Notice [will be visible on all pages, as per current website]

If you require an accessible version of any documents on our website please contact us at 905-830-4444 extension 77906 or email us at [psb@yrp.ca](mailto:psb@yrp.ca)

*Prepared by: jk*



Please Join York Region Chairman and CEO

# Wayne Emmerson

for the

## CHAIRMAN'S INAUGURAL Charity Golf Tournament

Lebovic Golf Club

### Friday, June 10, 2016

REGISTRATION & LIGHT BREAKFAST - 7 A.M.  
SHOTGUN START AT 8 A.M.  
LUNCH TO FOLLOW

		Wellington St. E.	
		Vandorf Sideroad	
Yonge St.	Bayview Ave.	Leslie St.	HWY. 404
		Bloomington Rd.	

### Lebovic Golf Club

14020 Leslie Street, Aurora, Ontario

Tel.: 905-727-4099



## A Message from York Region Chairman and CEO



Like many other municipalities, York Region is experiencing significant growth in its senior population. Current projections indicate the percentage of seniors making up the Region's

total population will expand from 12 per cent in 2011 to 21 per cent by 2031. To help support this growing need, I invite you to join me for my inaugural Chairman Charity Golf Tournament in support of CHATS.

## About CHATS

Community & Home Assistance to Seniors is a not-for-profit charitable organization, launched in 1980 by a caring group of community members who believed in enhancing the independence of seniors living at home.

Today, CHATS enhances the health, wellness and independence of more than 7,300 York Region and South Simcoe seniors and caregivers each year.

CHATS offer a full range of in-home and community services that enable seniors to continue living in their own home, such as in-home help and care, Meals on Wheels, transportation, home safety services, wellness/social programs, diversity outreach programs, caregiver support and education, hospital-to-home transition, and much more. For more information on CHATS please visit [chats.on.ca](http://chats.on.ca)



## SPONSORSHIP AND REGISTRATION

### Tournament Sponsorship Opportunities

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Platinum Sponsor \$15,000 (Includes Foursome) | <input type="checkbox"/> Bronze Sponsor \$5,000 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Gold Sponsor \$10,000 (Includes Foursome)     | <input type="checkbox"/> Hole Sponsor \$500     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Silver Sponsor \$7,500 (Includes Twosome)     |   |

Company name: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone No: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact person: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact person phone number/email address: \_\_\_\_\_

### Registration

- \$2,800 per foursome - Please add each player's full name

Player #1:

Player #2:

Player #3:

Player #4:

### Payment

Type of Credit Card:    

Name on Credit Card: \_\_\_\_\_

Credit Card No: \_\_\_\_\_

Expiry Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Security Code: \_\_\_\_\_

**Registration and payment due by:  
Thursday, March 31, 2016**

**Please make all cheques payable to:**

CHATS – Community and Home  
Assistance to Seniors

**Mail to:**

The Regional Municipality of York  
17250 Yonge Street  
Newmarket, Ontario L3Y 6Z1  
Attn: Lina Bigioni  
email: [lina.bigioni@york.ca](mailto:lina.bigioni@york.ca)

Chair Frank Scarpitti  
The Regional Municipality of York Police Services Board  
17250 Yonge Street  
Newmarket, ON L3Y 4W5

Dear Mr. Scarpitti

Hello, my name is Keith Merith and I am the Immediate Past President of the Association of Black Law Enforcers (ABLE). I am requesting sponsorship consideration in the amount of \$2,500.00 for our upcoming 24<sup>nd</sup> Annual Scholarship Awards Gala that will be held on May 07, 2016 at the Brighton Convention and Event Centre. Location is 2155 McNicoll Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

This donation will entitle the Board to:

One table of 10

- A quarter page printed ad in the ABLE magazine
- Logo sponsorship on our literature relating to the Ball
- An ad placement on the ABLE website

The Association of Black Law Enforcers (**A.B.L.E.**) is a non-profit organization formed in 1992 to address the needs and concerns of radicalized groups in law enforcement and the community. Members include but are not limited to Police Officers, Correctional Officers, Probation Officers, Canada Boarder Service, Customs and Immigration Officers, Judges, lawyers and Justice of the Peace.

The Association's goals are to:

- ***Improve the image of law enforcement in the community***
- ***Promote racial harmony and cultural pride within the law enforcement community***
- ***Provide scholarships to Black and other racial minority students pursuing post-secondary studies in law enforcement, corrections, criminology and law.***
- ***Community outreach and youth initiatives.***

We are heavily connected to the community and offer a wide network both within the Justice System and many community service providers and associations.

The theme for this year's event is "**Sisters in Law Making a Difference in our Communities.**" Our Keynote Speaker will be Assistant Commissioner Patricia Gallan of Metropolitan Police in England. Assistant Commissioner Patricia Gallan is the first black

female to hold the highest rank in the U.K. She is the Assistant Commissioner for Specialist Crime and Operations.

This event speaks to the resilience and progression that this organization has demonstrated since its inception, and to the founding principles and guidance that enables students to advance their educational pursuits in law enforcement. It also speaks to the invaluable mentorship and partnerships that has enabled hundreds of individuals to pursue their professional goals in the justice sector.

The Scholarship Awards Ball, A.B.L.E.'s premier event, is attended by approximately 600 guests from the law enforcement and broader community – from Chiefs of Police across Canada to front-line law enforcement officers and community advocates. The Scholarship Program was created to recognize the contribution of the first Canadian Black law enforcers, Rose Fortune (1774-1864) and Peter C. Butler III (1859-1943). By awarding these scholarships, A.B.L.E. assists youth from racialized groups to achieve their educational goals in the justice sector while making a positive contribution to society.

We believe that the strength of a community lies in the collective efforts of those who unite to improve their surroundings. It is well known that our efforts are aimed at being relevant and responsive to the needs of the local community at a very grassroots level.

I thank you in advance for taking the time to consider this request. All contributions will have a positive impact on our community and organization.

Keith Merith  
ABLE Immediate Past President  
905 955-0700  
Email: [kmerith@rogers.com](mailto:kmerith@rogers.com)  
Website: [www.ableorg.ca](http://www.ableorg.ca)

**Inspector Keith Merith #480**

York Regional Police  
Corporate Development  
Professional Development Bureau  
905 830-0303 ext 2989





## **DURHAM REGIONAL POLICE SERVICES BOARD**

**R. Anderson, Chair \* R. Wilson, Vice-Chair  
B. Drew, Member \* A. Furlong, Member  
S. Lal, Member \* B. McLean, Member \* R. Rockbrune, Member**

---

February 24, 2016

The Honourable Minister Yasir Naqvi  
Minister of Community Safety and Correctional Services  
25 Grosvenor Street -18th Floor  
Toronto ON M7A 1Y6

Dear Minister Naqvi:

On behalf of the Durham Regional Police Services Board, I am writing to offer our views on the proposed legislative amendments to the *Police Services Act*. We are aware of the Province's intentions to consult broadly on its legislative proposals as part of its Strategy for a Safer Ontario, and we sincerely appreciate the opportunity to participate in this process. Our input is offered in the spirit of constructive dialogue and partnership. We believe that this is a tremendous opportunity to ensure that our collective investments are effective, and that the appropriate legislative and regulatory tools are in place to support high quality service delivery. Given the considerable impact that such changes will generate at the local level, we are pleased at your commitment to consider the perspectives of Boards and municipalities as changes are proposed and considered.

At the outset, I would like to advise you that our viewpoints are informed by one Board member in particular. Mr. Stindar Lal, Q.C., was appointed by Regional Council to the Durham Regional Police Services Board for a term of four years in February 2015. As you may know, Mr. Lal had a distinguished career in the Ontario Public Service, serving as a deputy minister in six different ministries. Most notably, he was the Deputy Solicitor General at the time the current *Police Services Act* was enacted. He led the process which resulted in a consensus of the draft Bill among all segments of the police community. His knowledge and experience have been invaluable to our Board in many respects and in this exercise in particular, and we believe his contribution enhances the credibility of our submissions to you.

---

605 Rossland Road East, Box 911, Whitby, ON L1N 0B8  
Phone: 905-579-1520, Ext. 4307 \* Fax: 905-721-4249  
Email: [alongo@drps.ca](mailto:alongo@drps.ca)

I would like to begin by stating that the Durham Regional Police Services Board values the safety of our communities as a foundation for social wellbeing and economic prosperity. We owe a large debt of gratitude to the professional police officers and civilians across Ontario whom we entrust to prevent crime, enforce the law, ensure public order, assist victims and respond to emergencies. Like many other Boards across the Province, however, we recognize that police services must be modernized to ensure that they remain accountable, relevant and sustainable to our citizens. Indeed this sentiment was reflected in the Premier's mandate letter to you dated September 25, 2014, in which she identified the development of a new strategic vision for community safety and policing and control over the rising cost of policing as priorities for your Ministry.

As you are aware, the costs of policing have risen dramatically over the last decade. As reported by the MacDonal Laurier Institute in its report "The Blue Line or the Bottom Line of Police Services in Canada?", police budgets have increased at a rate double that of GDP in the last ten years. In Ontario, the Province and municipalities now spend more than \$4 billion annually on policing, and taxpayers are saddled with the highest per capita policing costs in Canada. While a myriad of factors have contributed to police cost increases, the significance of our collective financial investment is indisputable.

While cost is an important factor in the development of a new policing model for the Province, we submit that there are many others that have precipitated the need for reform: decreases in crime, increasing complexity factors to public safety challenges, technological advancements, and higher expectations among the public for accountability. It is a well-documented reality that very significant and rapid changes are occurring in the makeup of Ontario society which will have a profound impact on how Police Services are delivered in the Province. The current *Police Services Act* identified the coming changes in its opening Statement of Principles when it referred to the 'need for sensitivity to the pluralistic, multiracial and multicultural character of Ontario Society and the need to ensure that Police Forces are representative of the communities they serve.' It is a credit to the leadership of the policing community that most police services have some representation from the racialized community. However, with the rapid demographic changes occurring in Ontario today and in the very near future, concerted efforts need to be undertaken by the Police leadership to ensure that police services of tomorrow reflect the demographics of the society they serve.



The other reality in the Ontario Society of tomorrow is the significant increase in the ageing population of Ontario. This vulnerable segment of Ontario Society will require special skills and understanding from the Police community to meet their needs. Other service providers in Ontario have undertaken detailed studies to prepare themselves for tailoring their services to the unique challenges of an ageing society.

In addition to the ageing population, Ontario society is becoming increasingly aware of the devastating impact of mental illness. Recent events in Ontario have highlighted the need for the policing community to understand and handle situations arising from the impact of mental illness. Unfortunately it is a reality that requires all service providers and society generally to show compassion and understanding in dealing with these situations.

The practice of 'carding' and the use of force by the police have been issues at the forefront of our public discourse. We would encourage you to create a legislative imperative to address these and other critical realities which will have a significant impact on how police services are delivered in Ontario. It is equally important that the training programs undertaken by the Police College in Ontario are significantly enhanced to equip Police officers with the understanding of these critical issues and the ability to deal with them with compassion.

With this context in mind, we would suggest that amendments to the *Police Services Act* be guided by three overarching, mutually-reinforcing objectives:

1. Enhancing public trust and police legitimacy
2. Improving the quality of police service delivery
3. Providing opportunities for greater efficiency

I would like to expand on possible amendments to the *Act* and its associated Regulations that would support the achievement of these objectives.

### **1. Enhancing public trust and police legitimacy**

It is well understood that in order for the police to be effective, they must earn the confidence and trust of the people they serve. Public trust is the foundation for effective policing, and in its absence, it is impossible for the police to attain their goals. Many citizens would assert that over the past several years, we have witnessed, to a certain degree, an erosion in the trust and esteem in which our police are held. This trend was noted in the recent publication by the Council of Canadian Academies, "Policing Canada in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century: New Policing for New Challenges". While the police do maintain strong public approval ratings overall, any diminution in trust and subsequent legitimacy cannot be left unchecked. At its core, amendments must seek to restore and maintain



high levels of public trust in our police. We would offer the following suggestions in order to foster this objective.

**a) Strengthen civilian governance**

An effective system of governance that places the citizen at the forefront is essential for the public to preserve trust in its police service. We believe that the legislative framework should clearly reflect this principle, so that the values and expectations of citizens are integrated more comprehensively into police service delivery. While the Adequacy and Effectiveness of Police Services Regulation (the Adequacy Regulation) prescribes the consultations that the Board must undertake as part of its Business Plan, engaging with the public and community groups on an ongoing basis should become a more entrenched feature of police governance. For example, consultative committees composed of citizens may generate greater public engagement and help to cultivate trust and build police legitimacy. While the current *Act* does not preclude such mechanisms, we believe that there is a need for the new *Act* to take innovative approaches to instill a greater degree of public representation into governance processes.

As you know, following Justice Morden's Independent Civilian Review Into Matters Relating to the G20 Summit Report in 2012, the role of the Police Board came under intense scrutiny. As part of the public dialogue on this issue, a central question arose about the appropriate level of direction a Board may give to its Chief of Police. While it is apparent that there is a lack of clarity in this area, we believe this is promulgated by a promotion of an inaccurate interpretation of the *Act*, rather than a lack of clarity in the *Act* itself. As Justice Morden astutely expressed in his report:

"It is sometimes said, in simple and general terms, that policies are for the Board and operations are for the chief of police and that the two must always be kept separate. Apart from being impossible to apply in its own terms, this statement does not represent what the statute provides" (page 54).

And further:

"The 1990 legislation... was intended to strengthen the role of the police services board and give a more precise definition of its role and responsibilities. The responsibilities of the Board under the *Police Services Act* with respect to determining "after consultation with the chief of police", objectives and priorities respecting police services in the municipality (section 31 (1)(b)), and establishing policies for the effective management of the police force (section 31 (1)(c)) dovetailed with the Chief's duty under section 41 (1)(a) to administer "the police force and oversee its operation in accordance with the objectives, priorities, and



policies established by the Board under subsection 31 (1)", are clearly inconsistent with any right on the part of the Chief not to discuss fully with the Board both contemplated and completed police operations." (page 55).

We agree with Justice Morden that the assumption that Boards may not be involved in operational matters represents an inaccurate reading of the law. The *Act* states the Board shall not direct the Chief with respect to "specific operational matters" or with respect to "the day-to-day operations of the Police Service." We believe that this interpretation is paramount, and that the Board's existence is premised on an informed understanding of the operations of the Police Service. Indeed, the policies of the Board are intended to affect the manner in which the police operate in the municipality, and it is the Board that determines priorities and objectives for policing in the community, after consultation with the Chief of Police. It is not reasonable that the Board assume this function in the absence of an operational context. We would therefore emphasize that the fact that the *Act* is not consistently interpreted by those who work within its parameters requires attention in the upcoming legislative review. We believe that Boards should retain the authority that is currently invested in them as representatives of the public, and we are pleased that the Province intends to offer greater precision and clarity that will communicate the appropriate roles of Boards and Chiefs and therefore foster greater accountability.

Given the significant role played by the Board, we would also advocate for mandatory education for new Board members, provided by the Province. A standard curriculum would enable Board members to understand their roles, the context in which they assume them, and the rules by which they must abide. We would also propose that the majority of members of the Board continue to be appointed by the local municipality, as we communicated to you in a letter from the Big 12 dated May 22, 2015, given it is the local taxpayer who pays for policing. It would be extremely difficult for us to accept a departure from this practice. Further, all appointees to a Board should be assessed according to a set of competencies and be subject to a background check. We believe that these measures would provide a strong foundation in which Boards can operate and contribute to greater public confidence in our police services.

#### **b) Address shortcomings in the complaints and disciplinary system**

Part V of the *Police Services Act* establishes the processes that must be followed for complaints and disciplinary hearings. As you know, this part of the *Act* was subject to a major overhaul in 2009 to create the Office of the Independent Police Review Director (OIPRD). It is our position that additional changes are needed to this area of the *Act* to improve the accountability framework for policing. Most egregious within the current system is the prohibition to suspend a police officer without pay. This has been a source of frustration



for Police Chiefs and Boards for many years, and in many communities has caused significant consternation among the public. We would add that Ontario remains the lone Province that prevents a Chief from having this authority. We believe strongly that the *Act* should be amended to enable officers to be suspended without pay and that this step would enable us to preserve the public trust to a higher degree. Furthermore, we would ask for consideration of a review of the OIPRD investigation of complaints to ensure the process is as efficient and responsive as possible.

In Durham we have had cases that languish through a litigious and costly disciplinary process that undermine public trust. When an officer is able to earn his full salary over eight years, despite a criminal conviction, there is something clearly wrong. We would suggest to you that the entire process for resolving conduct matters is overly rigid, and that a lack of accountability weakens the perception of the police as guardians of fairness and justice. Penalties for misconduct are based upon case law, and in the mind of the public, may not reflect the seriousness of the misconduct. The process to dismiss an officer is far too lengthy, and too often police officers are treated differently than they would if they were employed in an alternate field. This practice serves to reinforce the perception that police officers are subject to a different set of rules, to the detriment of public trust in their profession. As former OPP Commissioner Chris Lewis noted in a recent on line article, "If an applicant with a conviction for most criminal offences isn't going to be considered for employment in policing to begin with, that shouldn't change once hired". Offences for which conduct will result in dismissal should be articulated clearly in the law. We believe that this would set clear expectations and demonstrate to the public that uniform members who are entrusted to serve them will be held to a high standard of behavior, both on and off-duty.

## **2. Improving the quality of police service delivery**

### **a) Clearly define what police roles are (and what they are not)**

As noted earlier, the public expectations of the police, and the society in which they wield their powers, has changed considerably over the past quarter century when the *Police Services Act* was conceived. Quite simply, it is no longer practical for a fully armed and trained police officer to assume many of the roles that we now ask of them. Many roles could be undertaken by other agencies, or by civilians within a police agency. The recent study by the Council of Canadian Academies articulated the emergence of a security web, including many service providers, as its central theme.

"Both the demands on police and the context in which they work have changed considerably since police were initially institutionalized to provide public security in Canada. Foremost among these changes has been the growth of the safety and



security web. The web comprises an increasing number of non-police organizations — including private security, local health professionals, community and municipal groups, and other government organizations — that now interact with one another and with police in the provision of safety and security. The safety and security web presents both the central challenge and the central opportunity for Canada's police in the 21st century" (page xi).

When the Future of Policing Advisory Committee was struck in 2012, its primary goal was to determine core and non-core police services in support of effective, efficient and sustainable police service delivery. We believe that the imperative of this task remains and that this exercise is crucial to our reform efforts. While we appreciate that the Strategy for Safer Ontario envisages a much broader framework, the importance of clearly articulated police duties, activities and functions should not be overlooked. You will recall that the Commission on the Reform of Ontario's Public Services Report in 2012 (the Drummond Report) also recommended a review of the core responsibilities of police to eliminate their use for non-core duties, and an examination of alternative models of police service delivery. We believe that there remains significant opportunity to pursue alternative ways to provide community safety, and we expect that this will be a key feature of the legislative modernization that you are embarking on.

#### **b) Increase the professionalization of policing**

Our current method of hiring a police officer and then sending him or her to training to qualify as a police officer is not entirely rational. We believe that the accreditation of police officers would strengthen the quality of recruits and the delivery of the services that they provide. Successful completion of an accredited program prior to being hired would go a long way toward creating a more professional model of policing, and augment the level of knowledge, skill and ability a police employee will bring to their career. Indeed, such a system is already in place for the vast majority of professions, from engineers to nurses, to teachers and accountants. There is no compelling argument for why policing should be different. A higher level of professional sophistication, education and experience is required of police officers to meet the complex challenges that they will face during their careers. The Quebec model for police training may be an example that the Province could look towards as it explores this possibility.

Our position is that a departure from the generalist model of police organization to greater specialization would also strengthen police practices and improve service delivery. Threats such as cybercrime require unique skill sets, ones that vary significantly from those required for other forms of police work, such as specialized

investigation or general patrol. The Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) 2015 report on Policing Modernization expands upon this theme in greater detail, and concludes that greater civilianization would benefit policing by offering cost savings and by enabling greater specialization of labour. It is noted that in Mesa, Arizona, civilian investigators are responsible for home and car burglaries that are no longer in progress. We believe that changes to how labour is organized in the police setting will support better decision making and service delivery, and we ask that sufficient flexibility be built into the new legislative regime.

### **c) Support evidence-based decision making**

An enhanced approach to performance measurement is necessary for the police sector to make more evidence-based decisions. This need has been well articulated in various studies, including the AMO report, the study by the Council of Canadian Academies, and the MacDonald Laurier Institute report. The adoption of uniform measures will support innovation and apply a more “business savvy” lens to evaluating the effectiveness of police programs and services. We recognize the challenge associated with measuring the impact of police work; assessing the value of human service is fundamentally different than quantifying the value of a private company, whose motivation is profit. Nonetheless, we believe that this area has been neglected for too long and that a standardized measurement framework would be a valuable tool that would assist police services across the Province in providing safer communities. We hope that your Ministry places priority upon the current performance measurement deficit as it renews the current legislation.

## **3. Providing opportunities for greater efficiency**

### **a) Enable greater local autonomy**

While we appreciate the Province’s interests in ensuring appropriate levels of police service across Ontario communities, we believe that there are areas of the *Act*, and in particular, in the Adequacy Regulation, which are overly prescriptive and affect our ability to manage local policing. For example, the Adequacy Regulation requires that a Board develop a Business Plan at least once every three years. In other sectors, such as education and health care, five year plans (or longer) are generally the norm. The ability to decide upon our own timeframe, according to our own local needs, in matters such as this would be beneficial. This Regulation also stipulates a lengthy number of requirements for processes and procedures, and we would question their utility as we advance towards more outcome focused objectives that are supported by rigorous performance measurements.



Other areas of the *Act* that infringe upon local independence include the requirement that the Ontario Civilian Police Commission to approve a layoff of a police member should a decision be made to reduce the size of the Service. While we are certainly not contemplating this option at this point in time, we would hold that this obligation is unnecessarily constraining and does affect our ability to operate efficiently.

**b) Facilitate alternative Means of Service Delivery**

There are sections of the *Act* that dictate that a Board is responsible for the provision of service when alternatives would be far more viable. For example, the Board is required to provide court security under the *Act*. While we appreciate that the Province has uploaded a portion of the cost of these services, the current system is far less efficient than if a centralized model existed across the Province. The emergence of public safety officers, who are not fully trained and armed police officers, is another area we would like to see explored more fully. Such officers have been deployed in communities such as Winnipeg and Vancouver, and more recently in Sudbury. While we understand that the *Act* may not prohibit such positions, an expression within the statute of what their duties may be and the accountability regime governing the conduct of such officers may generate a greater willingness to implement these positions locally.

**c) Modify the labour relations scheme set out in the *Act***

We have previously expressed our dissatisfaction with the arbitration system, and the need for reform to arrest the pattern of leapfrogging that pervades collective bargaining in our sector. Quite simply, arbitrators are not held accountable for how they apply the criteria in the *Act*. Because of the replication factor, an imbalance at the bargaining table has occurred and police members have enjoyed salary increases that far outpace those received by other municipal employees, at significant cost to the taxpayer. Legislative amendments that would provide municipal police services greater flexibility in managing human resources would also enable us to operate more efficiently. More specifically, the exclusion of certain police members, beyond the chief and deputy chiefs, from membership in a bargaining unit to minimize the effects of conflict of interest situations would be an important consideration. As it currently stands, the Board is obligated to negotiate a collective agreement with a Senior Officers' Association that includes its own Director of Human Resources and the Director of Finance, the very people who control the information the Board relies upon to conduct collective bargaining effectively. We question the reasonableness of the requirement to conduct collective bargaining with our senior managers, and hope that an alternative can be conceived. We would also suggest that there would be value to examining changes to the *Act* that would expand the ability of Boards to rely upon the expertise and knowledge

of civilian staff, for example, at the level of deputy chief. Boards should also be able to delegate the bargaining function to municipal staff, should they so desire.

In closing, while the current *Police Services Act* has served its purpose well for the last quarter century, the evolution of our society, combined with changes to the types of public safety challenges that confront us, require the development of new approaches. We are enthusiastic about the future of policing and we are keen to work with all stakeholders to ensure we build a new model that places greatest value upon trust, fairness and sustainability.

We intend to work with our Big 12 colleagues and the Ontario Association of Police Services Boards in the coming months to advance these and other proposals further. We would welcome the opportunity to meet with you or your representatives to discuss these ideas. Once again, thank you for your commitment to consultation on this very important initiative.

Sincerely,



Roger Anderson  
Chair

c.c.: Chief Martin  
President Bain, Ontario Association of Police Services Boards  
Big 12 Chairs  
Local MPPs



# Halton Regional Police Services Board

Trust and Respect • Integrity • Accountability • Excellence • Teamwork • Justice

February 25, 2016

The Honourable Minister Yasir Naqvi  
Minister of Community Safety and Correctional Services  
18<sup>th</sup> Floor  
25 Grosvenor Street  
TORONTO, ON  
M7A 1Y6

Dear Minister Naqvi:

I am writing further to correspondence forwarded to you by Mr. Roger Anderson, Chair of the Durham Regional Police Services Board dated February 24, 2016. His correspondence offered his Board's views regarding the proposed legislative amendments to the Police Services Act.

Mr. Anderson's correspondence was shared with members of the Halton Regional Police Services Board at their February 25, 2016 meeting. As a result of our Board's discussion, I have been authorized to write to you offering our full support of the comments contained in Mr. Anderson's correspondence.

Of particular interest to the Halton Regional Police Services Board raised by the Durham Regional Police Services Board is the development of the required Business Plan. We have just begun the process to update of our current plan. As you may be aware municipalities are required to develop plans forecasting servicing 20 to 30 years into the future. Municipalities use a number of documents such as Official Plans and Master Plans supported by shorter term strategic objectives. We would welcome more opportunity to coordinate with local municipalities and other service delivery organizations.

The Province should be lauded for taking the initiative to update the Police Services Act. I am confident the considerable work being undertaken will result in the delivery of more transparent and accountable policing for the residents of Ontario.

Thank you for your consideration. Feel free to contact me if you require further information.

Sincerely,

Mayor R. Burton,  
Chair.

:dmd

cc: Big 12 Chairs

cc: Ontario Association of Police Services Boards

*Progress Through Participation*





**Ontario Association of Police Services Boards**  
2045 Dundas Street  
London, ON N5V 1R4  
[admin@oapsb.ca](mailto:admin@oapsb.ca)  
1-800-831-7727

March 14<sup>th</sup>, 2016

Honourable Yasir Naqvi  
Minister of Community Safety and Correctional Services  
George Drew Building, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor  
25 Grosvenor Street  
Toronto, ON  
M7A 1Y6

## **RE: PROPOSED LEGISLATIVE LANGUAGE – POLICE BOARD RESPONSIBILITIES**

Dear Minister Naqvi:

Please find attached our proposed legislative language regarding police board responsibilities in the revised *Police Services Act*.

We believe this submission is the best the way to articulate clear roles and duties, as you have called for on numerous occasions. We also believe that “*form*” must follow “*function*”. In other words, board responsibilities must be clarified **before** composition, training, etc. can begin to be addressed. To do otherwise would be rudderless.

We would also like to point out that these proposed responsibilities are consistent with the following principals identified in the paper *Independent Police Governance – Reasons and Principals* dated November 4<sup>th</sup>, 2014: relevance, stewardship, independence, universality, competence, appropriately supported and transparent, acting with integrity and accountability.

We consider the police board’s primary responsibilities to be:

- directly engaging the public regarding community needs, values and expectations;
- orienting and directing strategically the police service towards those community needs, values and expectations, by creating public safety outcomes and limitations which are binding on the police; and
- evaluating police organizational performance to ensure compliance with regulations and those local outcome and limitations policies. The board evaluation of the police organization is de facto the board evaluation of the police chief/detachment commander.



Of note, this proposal focusses on high-level, over-arching governance responsibilities. Details related to complaints and labour relations should be addressed in Parts V and VIII respectively.

We also suggest that the phrase “*police boards*” replace “*police services boards*”, as is the case in other jurisdictions, and that “*citizen governance*” replace “*civilian governance*”, as we have suggested on many occasions through the FPAC process. Both these amendments would more accurately reflect the role, authority and status of police boards.

We adamantly believe that police board responsibilities, spelled out in this specific language, will serve to:

- clarify police board responsibilities, authorities and limitations for all stakeholders;
- re-orient police boards towards fundamental governance responsibilities: representing the community, strategically directing the police, and evaluating results;
- facilitate the establishment of standards for police governance;
- lead to meaningful board training and board accountability;
- ultimately ensure effective police governance across Ontario, by legislative design.

We would be very pleased to discuss this proposal with you further. Should you so wish, please contact me or Executive Director Fred Kaustinen at [admin@oapsb.ca](mailto:admin@oapsb.ca) or 1-800-831-7727.

Thank you for your interest and leadership in this regard.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Russ Bain', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Russ Bain  
President

Attachments:

OAPSB Proposed Changes – PSA Section 10(9) – Role of [OPP Municipal] Boards

OAPSB Proposed Changes – PSA Section 31 – Responsibilities of Police Boards

Cc (by email): Deputy Minister Matt Torigian; Special Counsel Ryan Teschner; ADM Steve Beckett; Director Steve Waldie; OPP Commissioner Vince Hawkes; OPP Deputy Commissioner Gary Couture; OACP President Jeff McGuire; OACP Past President Jennifer Evans; OACP ED Ron Bain; Matt Wilson (AMO); Kevin Lee (City of Toronto); All OAPSB Members



## Ontario Police Services Act – Part I – Responsibility for Police Services –Section 10(9)

### Changes Proposed by the Ontario Association of Police Services Boards (OAPSB)

14 March 2016

---

#### **Role of [OPP Municipal] Police Boards:**

10 (9) Each police board is responsible for the provision of police services in their jurisdiction, in accordance with provincial standards and the needs, values and expectations of the community, and shall as its primary responsibilities:

- (a) Determine the public safety needs, values and expectations of the community as the collective consenters for local municipal policing, through direct connection to that community as a whole.
  - (b) Establish policies which direct the local municipal policing service provider to achieve certain local municipal public safety outcomes, within any prescribed limitations including financial constraints, which are congruent with their community's public safety needs, values and expectations.
  - (c) Ensure that police service's performance is congruent with both provincial standards for local municipal policing and the board's policy direction regarding local municipal public safety outcomes and prescribed limitations, through rigorous organizational performance evaluation, and public disclosure of those evaluation results.
- (10) Furthermore, to help ensure fulfillment of its primary responsibilities, every board shall:
- (a) Select the chief of police/OPP detachment commander, or where a police service/OPP detachment serves multiple police boards, jointly select the detachment commander with the other applicable boards.
  - (b) Ensure that board members participate in all individual and collective training as required in provincial regulations.
  - (c) Comply with any and all provincial standards regarding police board performance, and participate fully in provincial evaluations of board performance.



### **Policies Re Police Operations:**

(11) The board may establish general policies regarding local municipal policing operations and administration, but shall not direct the chief of police regarding any specific, underway policing operations or policing operational decisions.

### **Policies Re Management of Police Force:**

(12) The board may establish policies for the effective management of local municipal policing within its jurisdiction.

(13) The board may give orders and directions to the chief of police/OPP detachment commander, but not to other members of the police force, and no individual member of the board shall give orders or directions to any member of the police force.

### **Background Check:**

(14) Before entering on the duties of office, a member of a board shall undergo a criminal background check, and may be deemed ineligible for board membership as per provincial regulations.

### **Oath of Office:**

(15) Before entering on the duties of office, a member of a board shall take an oath or affirmation of office in the prescribed form.

*Prepared by: Fred Kaustinen, Executive Director OAPSB*



**Ontario Police Services Act – Part III – Municipal Police Services Boards – Section 31**

**Changes Proposed by the Ontario Association of Police Services Boards (OAPSB)**

**14 March 2016**

---

**Municipal Police Boards**

**Responsibilities of Police Boards:**

31 (1) Each police board is responsible for the provision of police services in their jurisdiction, in accordance with provincial standards and the needs, values and expectations of the community, and shall as its primary responsibilities:

- (a) Determine the public safety needs, values and expectations of the community as the collective owners of the police service, through direct connection to that community as a whole.
  - (b) Establish policies which direct the police service to achieve certain public safety outcomes, within any prescribed limitations including financial constraints, which are congruent with their community's public safety needs, values and expectations.
  - (c) Ensure that police service's performance is congruent with both provincial standards for policing and the board's policy direction regarding public safety outcomes and prescribed limitations, through rigorous organizational performance evaluation, and public disclosure of those evaluation results.
- (2) Furthermore, to help ensure fulfillment of its primary responsibilities, every board shall:
- (a) As employer of the police service, appoint the members of their police service and special constables throughout their jurisdiction.
  - (b) Select the chief of police and deputy chiefs of police.
  - (c) Ensure that board members participate in all individual and collective training as required in provincial regulations.
  - (d) Comply with any and all provincial standards regarding police board performance, and participate fully in provincial evaluations of board performance.



### **Policies Re Police Operations:**

(3) The board may establish general policies regarding police operations and administration, but shall not direct the chief of police regarding any specific, underway policing operations or policing operational decisions.

### **Policies Re Management of Police Force:**

(4) The board may establish policies for the effective management of the police force.

### **Restriction:**

(5) The board may give orders and directions to the chief of police, but not to other members of the police force, and no individual member of the board shall give orders or directions to any member of the police force.

### **Background Check:**

(6) Before entering on the duties of office, a member of a board shall undergo a criminal background check, and may be deemed ineligible for board membership as per provincial regulations.

### **Oath of Office:**

(7) Before entering on the duties of office, a member of a board shall take an oath or affirmation of office in the prescribed form.

*Prepared by: Fred Kaustinen, Executive Director OAPSB*



## Memo

To: York Regional Police Services Board  
From: Mafalda Avellino, Executive Director  
Date: March 23, 2016  
Re: Governance Training – Special Request

---

Board member Brad Rogers is making a special request to the Board to enroll in the 4-day Governance Program at Queen's University. The program runs from May 16 - May 19, 2016 and is designed for board members of organizations with a public interest mandate and for senior executives who report to the Boards. The program will focus on governance and the role of the board, risk management and boards, board accountability for financial reporting and strategic planning.

### BOARD POLICY

The Regional Municipality of York Police Services Board supports the development and education of its Board members and Board staff by allocating resources to its annual budget for conference, seminars and training. The Board acknowledges that members bring a variety of competencies and skills to their position on the Board and that their contribution can be enhanced through ongoing professional development opportunities.

The Board's *Board Training and Orientation Policy* stipulates that it is the policy of the Board to provide ongoing education and training to Board members and to provide a comprehensive orientation program to newly appointed Board members.

Section 7 of the Policy also states:

*Provided the requirements have been met in the above-noted sections, and if there are sufficient funds in the annual board budget, Board members are encouraged to attend other learning and networking sessions related to policing and/or governance offered by:*

- a. Ontario Association of Chiefs of Police
- b. Police Association of Ontario
- c. Canadian Association of Chiefs of Police
- d. Canadian Police Association
- e. Canadian Association of Civilian Oversight and Law Enforcement
- f. Other related organizations.

## **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

The fees for the Governance Program at Queen's University are \$4,900 (plus HST) and include accommodations, parking, meals, snacks and all learning materials and post-program support. Travel is not included. As this request is coming before the Board in 2016, the current budget does not include the funds for this particular program. However, there may be sufficient funds in the overall budget. The Board had a 22% surplus in its 2015 budget.

In making its determination, the Board should also consider Board members and staff attendance at the upcoming OAPSB Conference in Niagara Falls and the CAPG Conference in Ottawa in August.

Mafalda Avellino  
Executive Director

Attach. (3)

Appendix A: Board Training and Orientation Policy No. 03/01  
Appendix B: Governance Program Brochure, Queen's Executive Education  
Appendix C: 2016 Board Budget



# Board Training and Orientation

Policy No: 03/01  
Effective Date: July 25, 2001  
Amended Date: March 26, 2003  
Amended Date: November 12, 2014

## **POLICY STATEMENT**

*The Regional Municipality of York Police Services Board ("the Board") recognizes that the governance of a Police Service is a significant public responsibility, and the Board strives for excellence in police governance. The Board acknowledges that members bring a variety of competencies and skills to their position and that their contribution can be enhanced through ongoing professional development opportunities.*

*Therefore, it is the policy of the Board to provide ongoing education and training to Board members and to provide a comprehensive orientation program to newly appointed Board members.*

*The Policy requirements set out in this document shall form part of the Police Services Board Policy manual.*

## **STATUTORY AUTHORITY**

Section 31 (5) of the *Police Services Act* and the Code of Conduct for Board Members require that the Board ensure that its Members undergo any training that the Solicitor General<sup>1</sup> may provide or require.

The *Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act*, S.O. 2005 ("AODA") and the Board's Accessibility Policy No. 01/13 require Board members to be trained in accordance with the regulations under the AODA.

## **APPLICATION AND SCOPE**

1. This policy applies to all Board members.

## **POLICY GUIDELINES**

2. Each newly appointed member of the York Regional Police Services Board is required to attend:
  - a. any orientation sessions provided by the Executive Director in coordination with the Chief of Police and Regional Solicitor;
  - b. any training program provided by the Ontario Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services.
3. Within the first two years of being appointed to the Board, each member is encouraged to attend the annual conferences of the Ontario Association of Police Services Boards (OAPSB) and the Canadian Association of Police Governance (CAPG).

---

<sup>1</sup> Minister of Community Safety and Correctional Services

4. The Board shall be represented by at least one member at each of the following:
  - a. Annual OAPSB Conference and Fall and Spring Seminars
  - b. Annual CAPG Conference and Seminars
  - c. Meeting of the Big 12 Chairs and Staff meeting.
5. All Board members must complete all AODA training provided by the Executive Director as outlined in the Board's Accessibility Policy or provide a copy of the training certificate of completion to the Executive Director if AODA training was obtained elsewhere.
6. As part of the Board's development and strategic planning process, the Board will hold three training and/or strategic planning workshops each year.

#### **OTHER DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES**

7. Provided the requirements have been met in the above-noted sections, and if there are sufficient funds in the annual board budget, Board members are encouraged to attend other learning and networking sessions related to policing and/or governance offered by:
  - a. Ontario Association of Chiefs of Police
  - b. Police Association of Ontario
  - c. Canadian Association of Chiefs of Police
  - d. Canadian Police Association
  - e. Canadian Association of Civilian Oversight and Law Enforcement
  - f. Other related organizations.

#### **MENTORING OPPORTUNITIES**

8. Current or former Board Members who wish to serve as mentors to new Board members are to advise the Executive Director.

Approved: November 12, 2014



---

Mayor Frank Scarpitti, Chair



---

Mafalda Avellino, Executive Director

# GOVERNANCE

4-DAY PROGRAM

For Executives and Board Members in the Government  
and Not-for-Profit Sectors



Queen's  
EXECUTIVE EDUCATION



# Governance

Improve Your Board's Performance Through More Effective Governance

Organizations today face increasing pressure to be open and transparent, and provide information that is comprehensive and reliable. Failure to provide this accountability puts individual Board members and their organizations at risk. Conversely, the opportunity for Boards to contribute to the success of their organization has never been greater.



**WHO ATTENDS** Board members of every organization with a statutory or public interest mandate and senior executives who report to those Boards. These organizations include municipal, provincial and federal agencies, Not-for-Profits, Crown Corporations, government departments and oversight bodies. This program is especially powerful when Board members and senior executives attend together.

**DATES** Please visit [ssb.ca/governance](http://ssb.ca/governance) for details.

**LOCATION** **Kingston, ON**  
Donald Gordon Conference Centre  
421 Union Street

**FEES** \$4,900 Cdn (plus HST)

*This all-inclusive fee covers: a private guest room with bath; full use of a broad range of amenities and parking; meals and snacks; all learning materials; and post-program support.*

Good boards ask the right questions and demand the best answers. This intensive, 4-day program will provide you with the concepts, frameworks and techniques that will enable your Board to be more effective and increase the value of your personal contribution.

## THE PROGRAM WILL DEMONSTRATE HOW YOU CAN:

- Define the proper roles of Board members
- Decipher and understand key financial statements
- Identify and manage risks, and implement more effective control systems
- Ensure that the organization has created and is effectively executing a sound strategy

## POST-PROGRAM SUPPORT

After the program, Queen's Executive Education offers several ways to continue your learning, including program updates and access to Smith faculty. You will also have the opportunity to attend alumni educational and networking events.

**Apply online at [ssb.ca/governance](http://ssb.ca/governance)**

or call 1.888.393.2338



# Program Preview

## GOVERNANCE AND THE ROLE OF THE BOARD

The expectations of Boards and how their role has evolved over time.

- Major roles of the board and the hallmarks of an effective Board Chair
- Governance models, barriers to effective governance, and Board member responsibilities
- The impact of governance scandals
- How Boards and individual directors can influence the organization, and how management can support the Board

## BOARD ACCOUNTABILITY FOR FINANCIAL REPORTING AND AUDIT

Key financial statements and the role of the Auditor and the Audit Committee.

- How better governance and accountability benefit the organization
- The basics of accounting and how to make and justify financial reporting decisions
- Read financial statements, interpret patterns and trends, and understand the implications
- The roles and responsibilities of the Audit Committee and how audits add value to the organization

*“Queen’s Governance Program has proven invaluable to me over the years in contributing in a concrete way to the development and implementation of more effective governance models within my particular field.”*

ROBERT C. FAHLMAN  
Director General,  
National Program Support & Development (NPSD)  
Office of the Chief Criminal Intelligence Executive (OCCIE)  
Royal Canadian Mounted Police

## RISK MANAGEMENT AND BOARDS

The roles of the Board, key Board committees and senior executives in risk management.

- Define risk and how to measure and manage it
- Identify and assess the four alternatives for effective risk management
- Examine environmental, political and strategic risks, and assign responsibility for risk management
- Ensure that management is providing the information needed to manage risk

## THE BOARD AND STRATEGIC PLANNING

How the Board can review and contribute to the organization’s strategic plan.

- Develop a shared conception of the purpose of strategic planning
- Discuss common pitfalls in the strategic planning process
- Use tools for both internal and external strategic analysis
- Evaluate whether or not the strategic plan is effective in implementation



## CUSTOM PROGRAMS FOR ORGANIZATIONS

Any of the content delivered in the Governance program can be customized to meet the specific needs of your organization. We have successfully developed and delivered custom programs for all types of organizations, in most regions of Canada, and many locations around the world.

To find out more, contact our office at  
1.888.393.2338

## Session Leaders

See our website for a complete list. [ssb.ca/governance](http://ssb.ca/governance)



**MR. SHAI DUBEY**  
Director  
Queen's Full-Time MBA & Distinguished  
Faculty Fellow of Business Law



**DR. ELSPETH MURRAY**  
Associate Professor  
Strategy, New Ventures and MIS



**MR. JOHN MOORE**  
Assistant Professor  
Accounting



*"Queen's Governance program gives you the tools you need as a Board member in these turbulent times. By developing an increased understanding of the role of the Board, financial reporting, risk management, and strategic planning, you will enhance your contribution to the Board and lower the risk of unpleasant surprises."*

**DR. DAVID DETOMASI**  
Assistant Professor  
International Business and Governance

\*Session leaders subject to change.



## World-Class Facility

The program is held at the Donald Gordon Conference Centre near the Queen's campus in Kingston. This world-class facility provides comfortable private guest rooms, great food and executive-level customer service.



## Queen's Executive Education

**The Stephen J.R. Smith  
School of Business**  
Goodes Hall, Queen's University  
Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6

[ssb.ca/execed](http://ssb.ca/execed)

Toll-free: 1.888.393.2338  
Tel: 613.533.2371  
Toll-free fax: 1.888.498.1755  
[execed@queensu.ca](mailto:execed@queensu.ca)



## 2016 POLICE SERVICES BOARD BUDGET

Public Relations Fund	2015 Budget	2015 Actual	2016 Budget	2015-2016 Difference (\$)	2015-2016 Difference (%)
Expenditures	\$ 100,000	\$ 94,488	\$ 75,000	\$ (25,000)	-33.3%
Recovery from Reserve	(100,000)	(94,488)	(75,000)	\$ 25,000	-33.3%
Contributions to Reserve	\$ 60,000	\$ 45,671	60,000	\$ -	0.0%
Sundry Revenue	(60,000)	(45,671)	(60,000)	\$ -	0.0%
<b>Operating Budget</b>	<b>2015 Budget</b>	<b>2015 Actual</b>	<b>2016 Budget</b>	<b>Difference (\$)</b>	<b>Difference (%)</b>
Salaries	\$ 214,000	\$ 130,050	\$ 229,600	\$ 15,600	7.3%
Benefits	\$ 52,400	\$ 32,793	\$ 55,600	\$ 3,200	6.1%
Mileage	\$ 2,000	\$ 856	\$ 2,000	\$ -	0.0%
Conferences and Seminars	\$ 14,100	\$ 8,987	\$ 17,100	\$ 3,000	21.3%
Meetings	\$ 2,900.00	\$ 26	\$ 6,900	\$ 4,000	
Memberships	\$ 12,000	\$ 11,287	\$ 12,250	\$ 250	2.1%
Staff training	\$ 2,200	\$ 250	\$ 2,200	\$ -	0.0%
Board Disbursements	\$ 3,100	\$ 6,685	\$ 3,500	\$ 400	12.9%
Cellular Telephone	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,800	\$ 3,000	\$ 2,000	200.0%
Office Supplies	\$ 1,300	\$ 688	\$ 900	\$ (400)	-30.8%
Office Equipment /Computer Software	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ 6,900	\$ 3,900	130.0%
I.T.	11,800		1,512	\$ (10,288)	
Printing	\$ 3,500	\$ 1,319	\$ 2,700	\$ (800)	-22.9%
Legal and Arbitration	\$ 60,000	\$ 6,854	\$ 50,000	\$ (10,000)	-16.7%
Consultants	\$ 18,000	\$ 2,035	\$ 17,000	\$ (1,000)	-5.6%
<b>Total Expenses</b>	<b>2015 Budget</b>	<b>2015 Actual</b>	<b>2015 Budget</b>	<b>Difference (\$)</b>	<b>Difference (%)</b>
	\$ 401,300	\$ 203,630	\$ 411,162	\$ 9,862	2.5%

Actuals As of August 31, 2015





THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK  
POLICE SERVICES BOARD

REPORT OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE

MARCH 23, 2016

---

**Financial Statements (un-audited) for the Period  
Ending December 31, 2015 - Public**

---

**RECOMMENDATION**

1. That the Board receive the Financial Statements for the twelve month period ending December 31, 2015, pursuant to Financial Accountability Board Policy No. 01/05.

**SYNOPSIS**

This report provides un-audited year-end financial reporting showing a combined Operating surplus of \$183,749, Capital Budget spending of \$18,165,846 and a statement of reserve balances totalling \$8,389,614 for the period ending December 31, 2015. The Board is 78.0 percent spent at the end of December 2015. York Regional Police is 100.0 percent spent for the same time period.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

The Board and York Regional Police 2015 operating budget expenses, as well as capital expenses, are within total annual approved Board funding. Favorable revenues, contributions from reserves, and surpluses in administrative, internal charges, and financial items accounts, have offset overspending of salaries, benefits and overtime accounts. Financial notes have been attached for all major variances. The 2015 year-end surplus of \$0 and Regionally allocated costs surplus of \$183,749 is comprised of:

<b>Category</b>	<b>Major Components</b>	<b>Amount</b>
<b>Wages</b>		
Salaries	Salary including sick bank payouts	-\$13,971,068
Contributions from reserves	Sick Leave Reserve Draw	\$13,281,492
	Recoverable Salaries for Paid Duties	-\$526,505
Fees & charges	Offset: Earnings for Paid Duties	\$407,024
Sundry revenue	Offset: Invoicing of PanAm	\$792,802
Benefits	OMERS, EI, ExtHealth, Life Insurance, EHT	-\$533,243
	Subtotal salaries and benefits, net of directly offsetting revenues	-\$549,498
Net overtime	Salary Overtime, Court Overtime	-\$932,227
Sundry revenue	Offset: Invoicing of PanAm OT	\$1,352,879
	Total Wages net of directly offsetting revenues	-\$128,846
<b>Indirectly controlled accounts</b>		
Internal charges	Transportation & Works Fuel	\$174,011
Financial items	Debt Issuance Fees, Debt Principle, Debt Interest, Debt Repaid by Development Charges	\$225,080
Contributions to reserves	Regional Fuel Cost Stabilization	-\$421,487
	Subtotal indirectly controlled accounts	-\$22,397
<b>Directly controlled accounts</b>		
Contributions to reserves	Vehicle and Specialized Equipment Capital Replacement Projects	\$36,356
Minor equipment	Purchase of Equipment, Operating Equipment, Vehicle Equipment	-\$3,336
Professional services	Legal Fees, Translation, Consultant	\$128,239
Financial items	Bank Charges	\$7,828
Repairs & maintenance	Building Contracts, Vehicle Maintenance, Repair Vehicle Accidents, Repair Special Equipment	-\$378,606
Administration expense	Staff Training, Special Events, Computer Software Maintenance, Printing and Telecommunications	\$194,431
Occupancy expense	Heat & Hydro, Office Cleaning & Caretaking, Property Rentals	-\$103,268
Program related expense	Clothing and Equipment, Investigation Expense, Service Agents, Gas Oil, Purchase of Service	\$358,208
	Subtotal directly controlled accounts	\$239,851
<b>Non-salary related revenues</b>		
Fees and charges	Various accounts	\$248,418
Provincial funding	Grants	-\$358,514
Contributions from reserves	Seized Money	\$21,488
	Subtotal non-salary related revenues	-\$88,609
<b>Subtotal YRP Surplus</b>		<b>\$0</b>
<b>Regional Allocated Charges</b>		<b>\$183,749</b>
<b>Total Surplus</b>		<b>\$183,749</b>

**BACKGROUND**

The Board’s Financial Accountability Policy states that “the Chief of Police shall report monthly on actual financial performance to the Police Services Board”. The Regional Municipality of York Finance Department month-end accounting period is now complete and the Financial Statements for the Board and York Regional Police for the period ending December 31, 2015 are attached to this report.

Net expenditures for the Board are \$312,842 or 78.0 percent of budget. For comparison purposes, the Operating Budget for the Board was 81.4 percent spent at December 31, 2014. Favourable variances exist in the salaries and benefits, conferences and seminars, training, printing, legal fees, consultant and advertising fees, and minor equipment accounts.

Net expenditures for York Regional Police are \$288,837,609 or 100.0 percent of budget. For comparison purposes, the Operating Budget for York Regional Police was 98.0 percent spent at December 30, 2014. Expenditures for salaries, benefits and overtime, which are over budget, are offset by revenues and under spending in many administration, program related, professional services, financial items, and internal charges accounts. Financial notes have been attached for all major variances.

It is therefore recommended that the Board receive this report in accordance with the monthly reporting requirements outlined in the Financial Accountability Board Policy No. 01/05.

EJ/cc

Eric Jolliffe, O.O.M., BA, MA, CMM III  
Chief of Police

Accessible formats or communication supports are available upon request

# YORK REGIONAL POLICE SERVICES BOARD

## FINANCIAL STATEMENT FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2015

**12 MONTHS REPRESENTS = 100.0%**  
**CURRENT EXPENDITURES = 78.0%**

	Y-T-D ACTUALS	ANNUAL BUDGET	BALANCE	% SPENT
SALARIES	\$193,453	\$214,000	\$20,547	90.4%
EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	\$46,242	\$52,400	\$6,158	88.2%
TRAVEL EXPENSES	\$1,546	\$2,000	\$454	77.3%
CONFERENCES & SEMINARS	\$11,286	\$14,000	\$2,714	80.6%
MEETINGS	\$2,113	\$2,900	\$787	72.9%
TRAINING	\$450	\$2,200	\$1,750	20.5%
MEMBERSHIPS	\$11,387	\$12,000	\$613	94.9%
PUBLIC RELATIONS	\$96,488	\$100,000	\$3,512	96.5%
BOARD DISBURSEMENTS	\$5,676	\$3,100	\$(2,576)	183.1%
OFFICE SUPPLIES	\$1,071	\$1,300	\$229	82.4%
PRINTING	\$1,803	\$3,500	\$1,697	51.5%
CELLULAR TELEPHONE	\$4,528	\$1,000	\$(3,528)	452.8%
LEGAL FEES	\$10,846	\$60,000	\$49,154	18.1%
CONSULTANT & ADVERTISING FEES	\$11,009	\$18,000	\$6,991	61.2%
CONTRIB TO PUBLIC RELATIONS RESERVE	\$70,999	\$60,000	\$(10,999)	118.3%
MINOR EQUIPMENT	\$0	\$3,000	\$3,000	0.0%
COMPUTER HARDWARE	\$2,883	\$11,800	\$8,917	24.4%
COMPUTER SOFTWARE	\$8,548	\$0	\$(8,548)	NIL
SUNDRY REVENUE	\$(70,999)	\$(60,000)	\$10,999	118.3%
FUNDING FROM RESERVES	\$(96,488)	\$(100,000)	\$(3,512)	96.5%
<b>NET OPERATING BUDGET</b>	<b>\$312,842</b>	<b>\$401,200</b>	<b>\$88,358</b>	<b>78.0%</b>

# YORK REGIONAL POLICE SERVICES BOARD

## STATEMENT OF RESERVES

RESERVE FUND	Balance at 31-Dec-14	Funding to Reserve	Funding to Budgets	Interest Earned	Balance at 31-Dec-15
Board Public Relations Fund	\$259,734	\$70,999	\$(96,488)	\$14,364	\$248,610
Development Charge Reserve	\$13,457,065	\$4,018,193	\$(4,705,559)	\$170,596	\$12,940,295
Sick Bank Reserve	\$6,577,584	\$1,500,000	\$(13,281,492)	\$404,918	\$(4,798,991)
<b>Total Reserves</b>	<b>\$20,294,382</b>	<b>\$5,589,192</b>	<b>\$(18,083,539)</b>	<b>\$589,878</b>	<b>\$8,389,914</b>

**YORK REGIONAL POLICE**  
**FINANCIAL STATEMENT**  
**FOR THE PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2015**

**12 MONTHS REPRESENTS = 100.0%**  
**CURRENT EXPENDITURES = 100.0%**

OPERATING BUDGET	Y-T-D ACTUALS	ANNUAL BUDGET	BALANCE	% SPENT
SALARIES	\$226,558,920	\$212,040,800	\$(14,518,120)	106.8%
OVERTIME	\$4,775,227	\$3,843,000	\$(932,227)	124.3%
BENEFITS	\$55,517,701	\$54,978,300	\$(539,401)	101.0%
ADMINISTRATION EXPENSE	\$8,507,720	\$8,696,500	\$188,780	97.8%
PROGRAM RELATED EXPENSE	\$7,789,292	\$8,147,500	\$358,208	95.6%
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES EXPENSE	\$266,206	\$338,300	\$72,094	78.7%
OCCUPANCY EXPENSE	\$5,976,968	\$5,873,700	\$(103,268)	101.8%
REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE EXPENSE	\$4,914,006	\$4,535,400	\$(378,606)	108.3%
FINANCIAL ITEMS	\$6,495,743	\$6,728,651	\$232,908	96.5%
CONTRIBUTIONS TO RESERVES	\$8,413,781	\$8,039,649	\$(374,132)	104.7%
INTERNAL CHARGES	\$912,589	\$1,086,600	\$174,011	84.0%
MINOR EQUIPMENT	\$861,205	\$854,500	\$(6,705)	100.8%
<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</b>	<b>\$330,989,360</b>	<b>\$315,162,900</b>	<b>\$(15,826,460)</b>	<b>105.0%</b>
PROVINCIAL FUNDING	\$(9,998,986)	\$(10,357,500)	\$(358,514)	96.5%
FEES & CHARGES	\$(17,880,424)	\$(15,090,300)	\$2,790,124	118.5%
CONTRIBUTIONS FROM RESERVES	\$(16,465,992)	\$(3,159,500)	\$13,306,492	521.2%
<b>TOTAL REVENUES</b>	<b>\$(44,345,402)</b>	<b>\$(28,607,300)</b>	<b>\$15,738,102</b>	<b>155.0%</b>
NET OPERATING BEFORE ALLOCATIONS	\$286,643,958	\$286,555,600	\$(88,358)	100.0%
REGIONAL ALLOCATED CHARGES	\$2,193,651	\$2,377,400	\$183,749	92.3%
<b>NET OPERATING BUDGET</b>	<b>\$288,837,609</b>	<b>\$288,933,000</b>	<b>\$95,391</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

CAPITAL PROJECTS	2015 ACTUALS	PROJECT ACTUALS TO DATE	TOTAL SPENDING AUTHORITY	BALANCE	% SPENT	ANTICIPATED COMPLETION DATE
Radio System	\$4,102,045	\$25,671,242	\$27,391,198	\$1,719,955	93.7%	Dec 2015
Training Facility	\$5,655,419	\$6,717,741	\$27,102,322	\$20,384,581	24.8%	Dec 2016
Vehicles (Replacement & Additional Staff)	\$4,239,906	\$4,239,906	\$4,107,000	\$(132,906)	103.2%	Dec 2015
Info Technology Infrastructure & Retention	\$685,562	\$3,947,553	\$3,944,991	\$(2,562)	100.1%	Dec 2015
In-Car Video	\$100,896	\$2,268,918	\$2,299,022	\$30,104	98.7%	Dec 2015
#3 District Marine Headquarters	\$89,813	\$271,811	\$1,824,998	\$1,553,187	14.9%	tba
Info Technology Hardware & Software	\$1,264,685	\$1,264,685	\$1,445,000	\$180,315	87.5%	Dec 2015
Specialized Equipment	\$532,887	\$532,887	\$620,000	\$87,113	85.9%	Dec 2015
Data Governance & Retention Management	\$420,187	\$420,187	\$450,000	\$29,813	93.4%	Dec 2015
Renovations #4 District	\$9,871	\$263,556	\$403,685	\$140,129	65.3%	tba
Renovations to Existing Facilities	\$434,180	\$434,180	\$335,000	\$(99,180)	129.6%	Dec 2015
Business Intelligence	\$238,857	\$238,857	\$250,000	\$11,143	95.5%	Dec 2015
Robotics / Support Services Equipment	\$251,896	\$251,896	\$250,000	\$(1,896)	100.8%	Sept 2015
Surveillance Equipment	\$139,642	\$139,642	\$135,000	\$(4,642)	103.4%	Dec 2015
<b>Capital Total</b>	<b>\$18,165,846</b>	<b>\$46,663,061</b>	<b>\$70,558,215</b>	<b>\$23,895,154</b>	<b>66.1%</b>	

<i>Police Services Operations</i>		<i>Year to Date Actuals</i>	<i>Annual Budget</i>	<i>Unexpended Amount</i>	<i>% Expended</i>
<i>Expenditures</i>					
Salary	1000	223,425,867.80	209,454,800.00	-13,971,067.80	106.67
SalaryOverTime	1020	4,391,869.67	3,251,600.00	-1,140,269.67	135.07
CourtOvertime	1021	1,645,717.89	1,667,700.00	21,982.11	98.68
ReturnedOvertime	1022	-1,262,360.51	-1,076,300.00	186,060.51	117.29
Salary Adjustments	1050	3,326,505.18	2,800,000.00	-526,505.18	118.80
<b>Subtotal Salaries</b>		<b>231,527,600.03</b>	<b>216,097,800.00</b>	<b>-15,429,800.03</b>	<b>107.14</b>
Benefits	2500	55,563,943.36	55,030,700.00	-533,243.36	100.97
<b>Total Salaries &amp; Benefits</b>		<b>287,091,543.39</b>	<b>271,128,500.00</b>	<b>-15,963,043.39</b>	<b>105.89</b>
OtherAllowances	5000	1,255,424.62	1,220,500.00	-34,924.62	102.86
Travel Allowance	7000	1,546.11	2,500.00	953.89	61.84
Professional Development	9000	255,013.97	262,500.00	7,486.03	97.15
Meetings	9002	97,196.62	101,200.00	4,003.38	96.04
Staff Training & Development	10000	1,211,772.51	1,043,600.00	-168,172.51	116.11
Tuition-Taxable	10020	268,887.61	175,000.00	-93,887.61	153.65
Training Ontario Police College	10100	177,492.41	225,000.00	47,507.59	78.89
Training Canadian Police College	10110	154,345.64	121,000.00	-33,345.64	127.56
Membership Fees	11000	121,772.60	108,800.00	-12,972.60	111.92
Advertising Publicity	11050	43,632.96	49,500.00	5,867.04	88.15
SpecialEvents	11250	207,110.03	209,000.00	1,889.97	99.10
PublicRelations	11300	299,823.04	227,600.00	-72,223.04	131.73
BoardDisbursements	11350	5,675.92	6,000.00	324.08	94.60
Telephone	120x0	898,901.06	795,800.00	-103,101.06	112.96
TelephoneAllocation	12098	436,287.61	483,900.00	47,612.39	90.16
Publications_Subscriptions	12100	63,474.35	78,500.00	15,025.65	80.86
Courier	12200	18,777.66	14,400.00	-4,377.66	130.40
Postage	12250	64,374.93	75,900.00	11,525.07	84.82
Office Supplies	12350	274,129.75	319,700.00	45,570.25	85.75
Repair_MaintComputerSoftware	12400	2,177,019.18	2,227,300.00	50,280.82	97.74
ComputerSupplies	12410	131,185.58	284,500.00	153,314.42	46.11
PrintshopPrintingAllocation	12658	47,130.52	120,000.00	72,869.48	39.28
Printing-External	12750	202,652.37	171,500.00	-31,152.37	118.16
OfficeEquipmentRental	12850	90,112.56	91,800.00	1,687.44	98.16
TelecommunicationLines	13050	531,165.25	571,900.00	40,734.75	92.88
TelecomContracts	13060	45,452.25	335,000.00	289,547.75	13.57
<b>Total Administration Expense</b>		<b>9,080,357.11</b>	<b>9,322,400.00</b>	<b>242,042.89</b>	<b>97.40</b>
ClothingSupplies	20000	1,397,546.33	1,257,700.00	-139,846.33	111.12
Uniforms-SpecialUnits	20030	308,841.19	303,600.00	-5,241.19	101.73
Equipment-FirearmsSpecialUnit	20170	617,177.83	460,900.00	-156,277.83	133.91
Radio License	21000	163,891.39	167,000.00	3,108.61	98.14
MealsCatering	23135	52,673.35	63,500.00	10,826.65	82.95
AudioVisual	24010	77,799.47	94,800.00	17,000.53	82.07
PhotographicFilm	24020	17,651.62	19,600.00	1,948.38	90.06
PhotographicChemicals	24030	3,794.06	5,500.00	1,705.94	68.98
PhotographicEquipment	24040	84,088.34	97,500.00	13,411.66	86.24
PhotographicPaper	24050	19,067.68	23,700.00	4,632.32	80.45
PhotographicSupplies	24060	3,100.99	6,500.00	3,399.01	47.71
FingerPrintMiscellaneous	24070	11,790.66	28,700.00	16,909.34	41.08
FingerPrintChemicals	24080	2,858.31	8,000.00	5,141.69	35.73
InvestigationExpense	24090	400,071.22	370,400.00	-29,671.22	108.01
Recruiting	25210	36,016.01	56,900.00	20,883.99	63.30
ServiceAgents	25220	1,248,123.99	1,113,100.00	-135,023.99	112.13
Material Supplies-External	26030	143,825.95	201,300.00	57,474.05	71.45
Gas Oil	26060	2,596,854.07	3,146,300.00	549,445.93	82.54
Diesel	26070	54,883.09	42,500.00	-12,383.09	129.14
Purchase Of Service	28520	524,488.34	657,500.00	133,011.66	79.77
RentVehicles	29610	20,492.45	0.00	-20,492.45	NIL
Rent-CommunicationProperty	29630	3,833.14	7,500.00	3,666.86	51.11
LeasedPagingEquipment	29640	422.90	15,000.00	14,577.10	2.82
<b>Total Program Related Expense</b>		<b>7,789,292.38</b>	<b>8,147,500.00</b>	<b>358,207.62</b>	<b>95.60</b>

<i>Police Services Operations</i>		<i>Year to Date Actuals</i>	<i>Annual Budget</i>	<i>Unexpended Amount</i>	<i>% Expended</i>
LegalFees	25020	86,051.46	131,500.00	45,448.54	65.44
Translation	25070	20,912.65	45,100.00	24,187.35	46.37
Consultant	25100	181,097.04	239,700.00	58,602.96	75.55
<b>Total Professional Services Expense</b>		<b>288,061.15</b>	<b>416,300.00</b>	<b>128,238.85</b>	<b>69.20</b>
Hydro_Water	30000	1,327,601.38	1,178,700.00	-148,901.38	112.63
Heat	30020	318,705.77	227,700.00	-91,005.77	139.97
OfficeCleaning	30031	904,234.49	940,800.00	36,565.51	96.11
Caretaking	30050	132,812.29	154,000.00	21,187.71	86.24
OccupancyCostsAllocation	30088	393,846.12	418,600.00	24,753.88	94.09
PropertyBuildingRental	30090	967,339.92	1,022,500.00	55,160.08	94.61
InsuranceAllocation	30118	2,300,000.00	2,300,000.00	0.00	100.00
BuildingRenovations	30120	26,273.78	50,000.00	23,726.22	52.55
<b>Total Occupancy Expense</b>		<b>6,370,813.75</b>	<b>6,292,300.00</b>	<b>-78,513.75</b>	<b>101.25</b>
Repair_MaintBuildingExternal	31000	142,831.46	113,400.00	-29,431.46	125.95
Repair_Maint Contracts	31xx0	1,654,456.10	1,376,800.00	-277,656.10	120.17
Repair Maint Vehicles	37510	1,671,786.68	1,331,500.00	-340,286.68	125.56
Repair Vehicle Accidents	37515	245,783.67	509,000.00	263,216.33	48.29
Repair_Maint Office Equipment	37590	3,382.37	31,300.00	27,917.63	10.81
Repair_Maint Special Equipment	37600	651,755.78	525,900.00	-125,855.78	123.93
Repair_Maint Computer Equipment	37610	87,337.63	175,800.00	88,462.37	49.68
Repair_Maint Telecommunications	37630	456,672.42	471,700.00	15,027.58	96.81
<b>Total Repairs &amp; Maintenance Expense</b>		<b>4,914,006.11</b>	<b>4,535,400.00</b>	<b>-378,606.11</b>	<b>108.35</b>
BankCharges-General	50030	176,171.99	184,000.00	7,828.01	95.75
Debt Issuance Fees	50050	-109,435.30	0.00	109,435.30	NIL
Allocated-Debt Principle	54508	3,188,846.91	3,212,400.00	23,553.09	99.27
Allocated-DebtInterest	54518	3,240,159.45	3,332,251.00	92,091.55	97.24
<b>Total Financial Items</b>		<b>6,495,743.05</b>	<b>6,728,651.00</b>	<b>232,907.95</b>	<b>96.54</b>
Contribution to Capital - Facilities	57210	434,179.87	335,000.00	-99,179.87	129.61
ContribToDebtReductionReserve	57635	24,649.00	24,649.00	0.00	100.00
ContribToFuelCostStabilization	57644	421,487.41	0.00	-421,487.41	NIL
ContribToSickLeaveReserve	57650	1,500,000.00	1,500,000.00	0.00	100.00
Contribution to Capital - Equipment	57670	1,864,949.36	2,073,000.00	208,050.64	89.96
Contribution to Capital - Vehicles	57690	4,168,515.49	4,107,000.00	-61,515.49	101.50
ContribToSeizedMoney	57970	70,999.41	60,000.00	-10,999.41	118.33
<b>Total Contributions to Reserves</b>		<b>8,484,780.54</b>	<b>8,099,649.00</b>	<b>-385,131.54</b>	<b>104.75</b>
Recovery - Emergency Services	61009	-51,700.00	-51,700.00	0.00	100.00
Allocated - Planning	62038	167,000.00	167,000.00	0.00	100.00
Allocated Transportation & Works	62048	234,789.30	408,800.00	174,010.70	57.43
Allocated IT	62088	25,700.00	25,700.00	0.00	100.00
Negotiated Legal	62098	522,000.00	522,000.00	0.00	100.00
Allocated Property Services	62108	14,800.00	14,800.00	0.00	100.00
Allocated - Human Resources	65068	186,361.99	190,900.00	4,538.01	97.62
Allocated - Legal	65088	151,615.72	155,000.00	3,384.28	97.82
Allocated - Finance	65708	1,025,539.91	1,129,000.00	103,460.09	90.84
<b>Total Internal Charges</b>		<b>2,276,106.92</b>	<b>2,561,500.00</b>	<b>285,393.08</b>	<b>88.86</b>
Purchase Of Equipment	40000	260,504.79	432,400.00	171,895.21	60.25
OperatingEquipment	40010	364,831.11	166,700.00	-198,131.11	218.85
VehicleEquipment	40040	79,914.30	100,000.00	20,085.70	79.91
ComputerHardware	41000	2,882.68	0.00	-2,882.68	NIL
ComputerSoftware	41010	164,503.01	170,200.00	5,696.99	96.65
<b>Total Minor Equipment</b>		<b>872,635.89</b>	<b>869,300.00</b>	<b>-3,335.89</b>	<b>100.38</b>
<b>Total Expenditures</b>		<b>333,663,340.29</b>	<b>318,101,500.00</b>	<b>-15,561,840.29</b>	<b>104.89</b>
<b>Revenues</b>					
ProvincialGrant	71010	-9,998,985.71	-10,357,500.00	-358,514.29	96.54
<b>Total Provincial Funding</b>		<b>-9,998,985.71</b>	<b>-10,357,500.00</b>	<b>-358,514.29</b>	<b>96.54</b>

<i>Police Services Operations</i>		<i>Year to Date Actuals</i>	<i>Annual Budget</i>	<i>Unexpended Amount</i>	<i>% Expended</i>
Recovery - Other	70400	-533,368.13	-839,000.00	-305,631.87	63.57
Fees & Charges	75000	-3,723,503.15	-3,168,100.00	555,403.15	117.53
AdministrativeFees	75040	-809,125.99	-777,000.00	32,125.99	104.13
SundryRevenue	75060	-2,556,399.98	-152,000.00	2,404,399.98	1,681.84
PoliceEscorts	75090	-919,834.53	-915,000.00	4,834.53	100.53
AccidentReports	75130	-1,302,388.68	-1,755,000.00	-452,611.32	74.21
PrisonerEscorts	75150	-31,008.28	-40,000.00	-8,991.72	77.52
LeaseRentalRevenue	75160	-112,394.38	-150,000.00	-37,605.62	74.93
AlarmMonitoringFees	75180	-2,142,629.49	-2,599,000.00	-456,370.51	82.44
ThirdPartyRecovery	75310	-1,791,754.75	-1,746,100.00	45,654.75	102.61
ClearanceLetterRevenues	75330	-1,611,545.22	-1,534,000.00	77,545.22	105.06
VolunteerApplicantScreeningRev	75335	-1,633,785.01	-976,000.00	657,785.01	167.40
Freedom of Information Revenue	75340	-246,407.25	-125,000.00	121,407.25	197.13
VehicleAuctionProceeds	75520	-537,278.50	-374,100.00	163,178.50	143.62
<b>Total Fees &amp; Charges</b>		<b>-17,951,423.34</b>	<b>-15,150,300.00</b>	<b>2,801,123.34</b>	<b>118.49</b>
ContribFromPoliceBuildingReserve	77060	-3,184,500.00	-3,184,500.00	0.00	100.00
ContribFromSickLeaveReserve	77650	-13,281,492.00	0.00	13,281,492.00	NIL
Contri From Seized Money	77830	-96,488.01	-75,000.00	21,488.01	128.65
<b>Total Contributions from Reserves</b>		<b>-16,562,480.01</b>	<b>-3,259,500.00</b>	<b>13,302,980.01</b>	<b>508.13</b>
<b>Total Revenues</b>		<b>-44,512,889.06</b>	<b>-28,767,300.00</b>	<b>15,745,589.06</b>	<b>154.73</b>
<b>Net Position</b>		<b>289,150,451.23</b>	<b>289,334,200.00</b>	<b>183,748.77</b>	<b>99.94</b>



## **FINANCIAL NOTES**

### **SALARIES**

The Salaries account is 106.8 percent spent at December 31, 2015 due to \$13.3M of unbudgeted sick bank payouts, an option provided to staff in 2013, 2014 or 2015 under the existing collective agreement. A draw from the Sick Bank Reserve has paid for this expense in full. The Reserve will be replenished from annual Reserve contributions of \$1.5M.

Net Overtime is 124.3 percent spent. Salary Overtime is over budget, Court Overtime is under budget and Returned Overtime is over budget. Activities related to the Pan Am games have contributed to higher than planned net overtime, costs which are recovered under the Sundry Revenue account. For comparison purposes, net overtime as of December 31, 2014 was 90.8 percent spent.

### **BENEFITS**

Employee benefits accounts are unfavorable at 101.0 percent spent due in part to higher than budgeted Extended Health and Dental expenses. Last year at this time accounts were 98.8 percent spent.

### **OPERATING EXPENSES**

#### **Administration Expense**

Favorable variances in several accounts including Office and Computer Supplies, Computer Software Maintenance, Printing, Telecom Lines and Contracts have more than offset account deficits in Staff Training and Allowances, Public Relations, and Telephone accounts.

#### **Program Related Expense**

Overall spending is less than planned due to under spending in Material Supplies, Gasoline and Purchase of Service accounts. A surplus in the Purchase of Service account is due to revised pricing from our Employee Assistance Plan provider and under spending of member wellness services and medical and psychological testing for uniform recruits. The Service Agents account is showing a year-end deficit due to new contract pricing for AIR2 pilots and service and expanded document delivery services. Ammunition costs are over budget. Costs for unbudgeted rental vehicles for the PANAM games are recovered through revenues.

#### **Professional Services Expense**

Legal, translation and consulting fees are all under plan.

#### **Occupancy Expense**

Heat and Hydro expenses are driving an overall deficit which is partially offset by underspending of Office Cleaning, Caretaking, Property Rental and Building Renovations accounts.

#### **Repairs & Maintenance Expense**

Facility maintenance costs are over budget to due unforeseen costs following burst sprinkler heads at headquarters, replacement of an electrical duct bank, various District, Headquarters and Community Safety Village repairs and procurement of a new generator. Vehicle maintenance costs also exceed budget but are in part offset by fewer than planned vehicle accident repairs. Under the Special Equipment Repairs account AIR2 repair costs are over

budget.

#### Financial Items

The Debt Issuance Fees account is showing a surplus due to a Regional rebate on borrowing costs. Additionally, debt principle and interest charges are below plan.

#### Contribution to Reserves

A Contribution to the Fuel Stabilization Reserve, in return for lower than planned fuel pricing, is unbudgeted. Capital projects funded from Operating are slightly under budget with underspending of Information Technology and Specialized Equipment projects paying for higher than planned spending on the new Community Resource Centre and replacement vehicles.

#### Internal Charges

Usage of the Region of York transportation work yard fuel pumps in Vaughan hasn't progressed as planned.

#### Asset Acquisition

Minor equipment accounts are collectively on budget.

### **REVENUES**

Provincial funding is slightly under budget due to revised Court Security and Prisoner Transportation upload funding communicated to York Regional Police after budget approval and revised PAVIS funding. Total Fees and Charges are ahead of budget with revenue for Fees and Charges, Paid Duties, Sundry Revenue, Third Party Recoveries, Clearance Letter, Volunteer Applicant Screening, Freedom of Information Revenue and Vehicle Auction Proceeds accounts being favorable. A surplus in Sundry Revenue is attributable to Pan Am games invoicing. Other fees and charges are under budget, most notably Accident Reports and Alarm Monitoring Fees. Under the Recovery account, the chargeback to Fire Services for the Voice Radio service is lower than planned. As mentioned above, contributions from the Sick Bank Reserve are paying for 2015 bank payouts against salary accounts. Contributions from seized monies to pay for Police Services Board public relations expenses exceed budget.

#### **POLICE SERVICES BOARD PUBLIC RELATIONS FUND**

Year-to-date contributions to the reserve as of December 31, 2015 total \$70,999, \$40,922 from forfeited monies and \$30,077 from property auctions. Interest revenue on this account totals \$14,364. A draw from the reserve of \$96,488 has been made to pay for approved expenditures.

#### **DEVELOPMENT CHARGE RESERVE**

Development charge collections for the year total \$4,018,193. Interest earned on this account totals \$170,596. Combined payments made on the development charge portion of debentures and Capital projects total \$4,705,559.

#### **SICK BANK RESERVE**

The year-to-date contribution of \$1,500,000 is on plan. Interest earned on this account is \$404,918.

**PUBLIC**

THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK  
POLICE SERVICES BOARD

REPORT OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE

MARCH 23, 2016

---

**Direct Purchase for the Helicopter Engine Overhaul, Downlink and  
High Definition Video Monitor Equipment**

---

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

1. That the Board authorize the direct purchase for a helicopter engine overhaul, downlink and video monitoring equipment to Canadian Helicopters Limited not to exceed \$689,000, plus applicable taxes.
2. That the Chief of Police be authorized to execute the purchase agreement, subject to the approval of the Region's Legal Services as to form and content.

### **SYNOPSIS**

This report requests approval to enter into a direct purchase for a helicopter engine overhaul required at 3,000 hour intervals, a new digital downlink and high definition video monitoring equipment, totalling \$689,000. With our helicopter (AIR2) scheduled for replacement in 2018, both the digital downlink and the high definition video monitoring equipment may be transitioned from the current helicopter to another aircraft. Between the proposed engine overhaul and replacing our current helicopter in 2018, it is estimated that 1,800 hours will be put on the overhauled engine. The Purchasing By-law 06-14 section 9.1(a) and 9.2 permits direct purchases where the compatibility of the purchase with existing equipment or services is the paramount consideration and subject to the Board's approval if greater than \$100,000.

### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Funds in the amount of \$689,000 are included in the 2016 Capital Budget for the engine overhaul, digital downlink and high definition video monitoring equipment.

## **BACKGROUND**

The helicopter requires very specific scheduled maintenance in order to remain operational based on the manufacturer's recommendations and Transport Canada's airworthiness standards. The helicopter is scheduled for an engine overhaul as per the manufacturer's specifications every 3,000 hours of operation. Similar engine overhauls have previously been performed in 2013, 2009 and 2005. It is anticipated that the helicopter will log 1,800 hours prior to its scheduled replacement in 2018; therefore, this will be the last scheduled engine overhaul prior to its planned replacement. To minimize downtime of the helicopter, it is recommended that the installation of the new downlink and upgrade of the high definition video monitoring equipment be included at the same time as the engine overhaul and upcoming 500 hour inspection due on April 4, 2016.

In January 2014, a state-of-the-art Wescam-MX10 high-definition digital camera was installed on the helicopter. This camera houses a multi-sensor thermal imaging system capable of obtaining both video and still photography. The downlink system is a digital receiver that meets the unique requirements for obtaining live video, audio, and data reception in a highly demanding tactical, mobile and command-centered environment. The benefit anticipated from the digital downlink system is to provide a live video feed from the Wescam-MX10 camera to the Real Time Operations Centre to assist Commanders in their roles when making critical decisions regarding day-to-day operations and in high-risk situations involving the helicopter.

The helicopter is currently equipped with an analog monitor through which the Tactical Flight Officer views video obtained from the Wescam MX-10 camera. The benefits of upgrading to high definition video monitoring equipment will minimize wash-out of video images during daylight operations which will improve search capability for suspects and missing persons. The high definition video monitoring equipment will allow the Tactical Flight Officer to perform tasks more effectively by viewing recorded images in high definition.

Currently, Canadian Helicopters Limited provides a full-time Aircraft Maintenance Engineer who performs inspections and maintenance on AIR2 on a daily basis to ensure that the aircraft is operational. The Aircraft Maintenance Engineer will be performing the necessary services for the engine overhaul. Canadian Helicopters Limited will be responsible for the coordination of the removal of the existing engine, installation of the replacement engine, purchase of a new downlink and high definition video monitoring equipment. All purchases will be completed on behalf of York Regional Police with no additional markup on products. With an upcoming project to replace the helicopter planned for 2018, both the digital downlink and the high definition video monitoring equipment may be moved for use on another aircraft.

It is therefore recommended that the Board approve the direct purchase to deliver, install, and perform the necessary services required for the engine overhaul, downlink, and high definition video monitoring equipment upgrade by Canadian Helicopters Limited. The total direct purchase is \$689,000, requiring the Board's approval in accordance with the Purchasing By-law 06-14, section 9.2, for direct purchases that exceed \$100,000.

Eric Jolliffe, O.O.M., BA, MA, CMM III  
Chief of Police

EJ:jf

Accessible formats or communication supports are available upon request.

THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK  
POLICE SERVICES BOARD

REPORT OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE

MARCH 23, 2016

---

**2015 Communication Tower Agreements**

---

**RECOMMENDATION(S)**

1. That the Board receive this report in accordance with the annual reporting requirement on the status of communication tower agreements in the Board's Installations on Radio Communication Towers Policy No. 02/09.

**SYNOPSIS**

In 2015, the Board had eight communication tower agreements with six licensee firms; namely Bell Mobility, Powerstream, the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, Rogers Wireless, Sitecom Services, and Vianet (Canadian Wireless). Of the eight agreements, two are currently being renewed and the remaining six agreements expire between 2017 and 2019.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Funds in the amount of \$150,000 are included in the 2015 Operating Budget for communication tower lease revenue. In 2015, the Board realized revenue of \$112,394.38 by granting telecommunication companies and other telecom users licenses to install telecommunications equipment (primarily antennae and related equipment) at owned tower sites. Tower revenues will be reported to the Board at its March 23, 2016 meeting within the Financial Statements (un-audited) for the period ending December 31, 2015.

**BACKGROUND**

On January 22, 2009, Regional Council adopted the Communications Installations on Regional Property Policy Update Report that related to the installation of telecommunications equipment by third parties on Regional Municipality of York property. Each telecommunications license is granted subject to the terms and conditions set out in the Regional Municipality of York Police Services Board policy, titled, "Installations on Radio Communication Towers" (Policy No 02/09).

In accordance with the above-mentioned policy, in the first quarter of each year the Chief of Police is required to report to the Board on the status of the license agreements with third parties for the previous calendar year.

The Board realizes revenue by granting telecommunications companies and other telecom users licenses to install telecommunications equipment at three tower sites. The following chart provides the relevant details associated to the various contracts.

**Communication Tower Contract Status and Revenue by Location**

<b>Tenant</b>	<b>Contract End Date</b>	<b>Tower</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>2015 Revenue</b>
Bell Mobility	Expired April 2015 in renewal process	Hope	11201 Keele St Vaughan	\$12,128.00
Powerstream	Expires April 30 2019	Hope	11201 Keele St Vaughan	\$11,768.67
Bell Mobility	Expires April 30 2019	King	14855 Jane St King	\$17,504.00
RCMP	Expired March 2015 in renewal process	King	14855 Jane St King	\$15,798.52
Rogers	Expires April 30 2019	King	14855 Jane St King	\$12,362.00
Sitecom	Expires April 30 2019	King	14855 Jane St King	\$10,580.66
Vianet	Expires April 30 2019	King	14855 Jane St King	\$22,647.64
RCMP	Expires August 31 2018	Egypt	24710 Park Rd Egypt	\$9,604.89
			Total Revenue	\$112,394.38

In relation to the two outstanding contracts; the Bell Mobility contract is currently between Region Legal and Bell for final review and signatures. The outstanding RCMP contract is with the RCMP for final agreement signature.

In 2015, the Board realized \$112,394.38 in communication tower revenue. Tower revenues will be reported to the Board at its March 23, 2016 meeting within the report titled Financial Statements (un-audited) for the period ending December 31, 2015. With respect to future

revenue, it should be noted that all communication contracts are subject to an annual five percent increase.

Eric Jolliffe, O.O.M., BA, MA, CMM III  
Chief of Police

EJ:gt

Accessible formats or communication supports are available upon request.



THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK  
POLICE SERVICES BOARD

REPORT OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE

MARCH 23, 2016

---

**Donation of Musical Instruments**

---

**RECOMMENDATION**

1. That the Board approve the donation of 101 trumpets, 25 clarinets and one digital baby grand piano to be divided between the York District School Board and York District Catholic School Board.

**SYNOPSIS**

This report seeks approval for the donation of 101 trumpets, 25 clarinets and one digital baby grand piano to be distributed between the York Region District School Board and York Region Catholic District School Board. Both School Boards have indicated interest in the items, citing the many benefits to the students who will use these instruments.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

In accordance with the *Police Services Act*, section 132(2), the Chief of Police may cause property to be sold, and the Board may use the proceeds for any purpose that it considers in the public interest. The amount received at auction from the sale of musical instruments can fluctuate, subject to the number, make and model of instruments and the total dollar value of bids received.

Based on the make, model and quality of the instruments, inquiries yielded the following results; manufacturer's suggested retail price \$84,000; Amazon/EBay \$24,000; and, from a major musical instrument retail store \$63,000. However, based on sales from previous auctions, it is estimated that proceeds may range between \$8,000 to \$15,000 from auction.

There would be no financial expense incurred by transferring the musical instruments to the School Boards. The musical instruments will be offered on an “as-is” basis with no representation or warranties regarding their condition. Any of the musical instruments not selected by the School Boards will be sent to auction and the proceeds forwarded to the Board. There are no specific tax implications associated with this request.

## **BACKGROUND**

York Regional Police recovered these musical instruments and they appear to be new and are packed in original cases. The investigating officers exhausted all avenues in trying to identify the owner(s) of the musical instruments without success. According to Section 132(2) of the *Police Services Act*, the musical instruments are now scheduled for auction.

The School Boards in York Region provide musical classroom training to students as part of the curriculum. These musical instruments would provide a resource for the schools and enrichment for the students. Based on the lower expected returns from auction and the potential benefits to the students, it is recommended that the Board approve the donation of these musical instruments to the York Region District School Board and York Region Catholic District School Board.

Eric Jolliffe, O.O.M., BA, MA, CMM III  
Chief of Police

EJ:jm

Accessible formats or communication supports are available upon request

THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK  
POLICE SERVICES BOARD

REPORT OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE

MARCH 23, 2016

---

**Status of Reward**

---

**RECOMMENDATION**

1. That the Board renew the award in the amount of \$50,000 to assist in successfully gaining information that could lead to the arrest and conviction of the person(s) responsible for the murder of Yvonne Leroux on November 30, 1972, in the Township of King.

**SYNOPSIS**

On March 26, 2014, the Board approved the reward for \$50,000 to assist in gaining information that could lead to the arrest and conviction of the person(s) responsible for the murder of Yvonne Leroux. On March 25, 2015, the Board approved the renewal of the reward. To date, there have been no claims for the \$50,000; however, a number of tips have been received and investigated. We are requesting that the reward be renewed.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Sufficient funds are included in the 2016 Operating Budget for investigative expenses for the proposed reward. In accordance with the Board's Purchasing By-law 05-10, any resulting special investigation purposes spending from the reward will be reported back to the Board. A condition of successfully claiming the reward is arrest and conviction of the person(s) responsible for the homicide.

Given the complexity of the case and the time it takes to move a matter through the Criminal Courts, it is highly unlikely that these funds would be accessed in 2016.

**BACKGROUND**

The Regional Municipality of York Police Services Board instituted a Rewards Policy (03/00) on October 25, 2000. The Rewards Policy states:

*It is the policy of the Regional Municipality of York Police Services Board ("the Board") to encourage the participation of citizens in assisting York Regional Police to solve crimes through the offering, from time to time, of rewards.*

*And further, it is the policy of the Board that all sums related to the offering and payment of rewards be made within the limits of the York Regional Police budget or are posted by a third party.*

In accordance with the guidelines of the Rewards Policy, the following is an update on the status of the investigation and the status of the reward.

On Thursday, November 30, 1972, the body of 16 year old Yvonne Leroux of Weston, Ontario was located on the 16th Side Road (also known as Green Lane) of King Township, between Jane Street and Keele Street. The investigation revealed that Ms. Leroux had last been seen in the area of York Finch General Hospital located at 2111 Finch Avenue West, Toronto, on the evening before her body was located. York-Finch Hospital is now known as Humber River Hospital.

As a result of reopening and reorganizing the file, a number of exhibits were submitted to the Centre of Forensic Sciences for further analysis. The results have provided direction and identified areas that will require further investigation.

Yvonne Leroux's family (both parents, brother and sister) deserve some answers and, hopefully, some closure. Investigators believe there are people who have information that would lead to the arrest of the person(s) involved. Since all investigative avenues have been explored, reaching out to the public with a reward may generate some new and useful information.

On March 26, 2014, the Board approved the issuing of a \$50,000 reward. A press release was sent to media outlets. There were immediate inquiries and subsequent interviews done with City TV News, Global News and CBC News. Family members of Yvonne Leroux were also interviewed. The reward and background story were featured in York Region-area newspapers. Because of Yvonne Leroux's ties to Windsor, Ontario, articles were also published in that city.

In addition, reward posters were generated and posted in areas frequented by Yvonne Leroux in Toronto, Windsor and prominent areas of King Township and Aurora.

In November 2014, CBC News conducted a follow-up interview with a member of the investigative team.

On March 25, 2015, the Board approved the renewal of the \$50,000 reward. A press release was disseminated shortly after.

To date, investigators have received 15 tips, four of which were received after the first reward renewal in March 2015. The tips have given investigators additional avenues of investigation.

The renewal of the reward and the release to the media for dissemination to the public will encourage their assistance. This investigation remains open and investigators will continue to review and investigate all tips or leads received or generated.

York Regional Police continues to seek the public's assistance in identifying the person(s) responsible for the murder of Yvonne Leroux and remains committed to bringing the investigation to a successful conclusion.

Eric Jolliffe, O.O.M., BA, MA, CMM III  
Chief of Police

EJ:pw

Accessible formats or communication supports are available upon request.



THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK  
POLICE SERVICES BOARD

REPORT OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE

MARCH 23, 2016

---

**2015 Annual Statistics**

---

**RECOMMENDATION(S)**

1. That the Board receive this report pursuant to Police Services Board Crime, Call and Public Disorder Analysis Policy No. 02/00-5

**SYNOPSIS**

Pursuant to the long-standing practice of York Regional Police, crime statistics are presented to the Board every six months. For the benefit of the Board, a detailed statistical report which overviews incidents reported between January 1 and December 31, 2015, has been compiled and is attached to this report.

York Regional Police publish crime statistics based on the number of reported violations using the Incident-based Uniform Crime Reporting methodology. Data is submitted to the Canadian Centre for Justice Statistics (CCJS) and is then used to compile national data which is used for comparison purposes with other Police Services. This data is expected to be available in July and the national and provincial comparisons for 2015 will be included in a separate report following its release.

The overall Crime Rate (total number of Criminal & Federal Violations per 100,000 population) increased 5.3 percent in 2015 from 2014. Despite the increase in reported crime in certain areas, York Region still remains one of the safest communities in Canada in which to live, visit and conduct business.

Despite the slight increase, our crime rate continues to reflect our Police Services Board and Regional Council's investment in our police service from a human resources, technology and training perspective, our commitment to proactive policing, and the good work our members have done in responding to community needs. It can also be attributed to the strong partnerships we have developed with individuals and groups within York Region who are equally committed to building safe communities. In 2016 and beyond, we will continue to expand and improve upon our community policing and crime prevention initiatives to ensure all of our communities are safe and the high quality of life we have become accustomed to is maintained and enhanced.

**FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Not applicable.

**BACKGROUND**

The following chart summarizes *Criminal Code* violation statistics reported January 1 to December 31, 2015, as compared to the same time period in the preceding year:

**2014-15 Criminal Code Violation Statistics Summary**

	2014		2015		Variance (%)	
	Actual	Rate	Actual	Rate	Actual	Rate
<b>Total Crime</b>	30,962	2,704.67	33,202	2,846.73	7.2	5.3
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	6,108	533.56	6,482	555.76	6.1	4.2
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	18,207	1,590.46	20,067	1,720.54	10.2	8.2
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	3,550	310.11	3,774	323.58	6.3	4.3
<b>Federal Violations</b>	227	19.83	235	20.15	3.5	1.6
<b>Total Clearance</b>	61.7%	N/A	59.7%	N/A	-2.0	N/A
<b>Criminal Code Traffic</b>	4,223	368.90	4,291	367.91	1.6	-0.3

Note: Other Criminal Code includes Other Criminal Code, Weapons, & Public Morals. Please see page 4 of the 2015 Annual Statistics Report for included categories.

The statistics found in the chart can be found in the details below.

**TOTAL CRIMINAL & FEDERAL VIOLATIONS**

33,202 **CRIMINAL & FEDERAL VIOLATIONS** were reported between January 1 and December 31, 2015 compared to 30,962 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 2,240 violations or 7.2 percent. The crime rate per 100,000 population in 2015 was 2,846.73 compared to 2,704.67 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 5.3 percent.

## CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS

---

6,482 **CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS** (violent crime) violations were reported between January 1 and December 31, 2015 compared to 6,108 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 374 violations or 6.1 percent. The violent crime rate per 100,000 population in 2015 was 555.76 compared to 533.56 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 4.2 percent.

8 **VIOLATIONS CAUSING DEATH** (Homicide and Criminal Negligence Cause Death) were reported in 2015 compared to 14 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 6 violations or 42.9 percent. Based on the rate of Violations Causing Death per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 43.9 percent.

13 **ATTEMPT CAPITAL CRIME** violations were reported in 2015 compared to 18 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 5 violations or 27.8 percent. Based on the rate of Attempt Capital Crime violations per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 29.1 percent.

492 **SEXUAL VIOLATIONS** were reported in 2015 compared to 408 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 84 violations or 20.6 percent. Based on the rate of Sexual Violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 18.4 percent.

3,347 **ASSAULT** violations were reported in 2015 compared to 3,273 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 74 violations or 2.3 percent. Based on the rate of Assault violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 0.4 percent.

222 **ROBBERY** violations were reported in 2015 compared to 205 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 17 violations or 8.3 percent. Based on the rate of Robbery violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 6.3 percent. Car Jacking incidents represent 2.7 percent of the total Robbery violations while Home Invasions represent 4.5 percent. Street related robberies during this period represent 67.0 percent of all robberies.

## CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY

---

20,067 **CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY** (property crime) violations were reported between January 1 and December 31, 2015 compared to 18,207 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 1,860 violations or 10.2 percent. The property crime rate per 100,000 population in 2015 was 1,720.54 compared to 1,590.46 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 8.2 percent.

831 **STOLEN VEHICLES** were reported in 2015 compared to 808 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 23 vehicles or 2.8 percent. Based on the rate of stolen vehicles per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 0.9 percent. One half of the vehicles reported stolen in York Region in 2015 were trucks (50.0 percent). Honda was the most common make of automobile stolen (19.3 percent) and Toyota was the most frequent make of truck stolen (18.2 percent).

## OTHER CRIMINAL CODE

---

3,774 **OTHER CRIMINAL CODE (INCLUDING WEAPONS & PUBLIC MORALS)** violations were reported in 2015 compared to 3,550 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 224 violations or 6.3 percent. Based on the rate of Other Criminal Code violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 4.3 percent.

## CLEARANCE RATE

---

In 2015, the **CLEARANCE RATE** for Crimes Against Persons decreased from 78.6 percent in 2014 to 76.1 percent. The Property Crime clearance rate also decreased from 44.2 percent to 42.9 percent. The overall clearance rate for Criminal Code & Federal violations decreased from 61.7 percent in 2014 to 59.7 percent during the corresponding period in 2015.

## TRAFFIC RELATED

---

4,291 **CRIMINAL TRAFFIC** violations were reported in 2015 compared to 4,223 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 68 violations or 1.6 percent. Based on the rate of Criminal Traffic violations per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 0.3 percent.

1,255 **IMPAIRED OPERATION/RELATED** violations were reported in 2015 compared to 1,108 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 147 violations or 13.3 percent. Based on the rate of Impaired Operation/Related violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 11.2 percent.

363 **DANGEROUS OPERATION** violations were reported in 2015 compared to 314 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 49 violations or 15.6 percent. Based on the rate of Dangerous Operation violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 13.5 percent.

14,697 **REPORTABLE MOTOR VEHICLE COLLISION REPORTS** were processed in 2015 compared to 15,590 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 893 reports or 5.7 percent. Based on the rate of reportable motor vehicle collisions per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 7.5 percent.

19 **FATAL COLLISIONS** occurred on our roadways in 2015 compared to 25 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 6 collisions or 24.0 percent.

23 **PERSONS DIED** on our roadways in 2015 compared to 26 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 3 persons or 11.5 percent.

## YOUTH CRIME

---

The number of identified **YOUTH** involved in criminal incidents (formally charged and processed by other means) in York Region decreased 4.8 percent in 2015 compared to the corresponding period in 2014. The number of young persons charged increased 1.5 percent while the number of youths processed by other means decreased 6.7 percent. The Youth Crime rate per 1,000 youth population decreased 6.8 percent.

## HATE CRIME

---

Reported **HATE CRIME** occurrences in York Region decreased 16.8 percent in 2015 compared to the corresponding period in 2014. There were 89 criminal occurrences of hate motivated crime reported in 2015 compared to 107 in 2014. The category with the highest number of occurrences was "race/ethnicity" with a total of 45 hate crimes. Of those cases, the Black community was most targeted at 20 occurrences. The next highest category statistically was "religion" where the total reported hate crimes were 37. Of those cases, the Jewish community was found to be the most victimized at 19 hate crimes followed by the Muslim community at 11 occurrences. There were 6 hate crimes based on sexual orientation.

## INTIMATE DOMESTIC RELATED OCCURRENCES

---

4,998 **INTIMATE DOMESTIC RELATED** occurrences (criminal and non-criminal) were reported in 2015 compared to 4,652 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 346 occurrences or 7.4 percent. Of the total occurrences reported, 26.0 percent resulted in charges. In 2015, there was 1 domestic related homicide that occurred in York Region.

## OTHER MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICS

---

208,211 **CITIZEN GENERATED CALLS FOR SERVICE** were received in 2015 compared to 220,546 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 12,335 calls or 5.6 percent.

In 2015, 216,899 **9-1-1 CALLS** were received in the Communications Bureau compared to 233,666 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 7.2 percent. The number of non-emergency calls increased 1.6 percent (383,306 to 389,255). The total number of calls received decreased 1.8 percent (606,154 from 616,975).

**INFORMATION MANAGEMENT** processed 8,129 requests for motor vehicle collision/incident summary reports in 2015 compared to 10,889 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 2,760 requests or 25.3 percent. The number of police clearance letters processed in 2015 decreased 15.7 percent from the corresponding period in 2014 (28,550 from 33,876) and the number of volunteer screening letters increased 0.2 percent (41,760 to 41,848).

During 2015, a total of 3,634 **FREEDOM OF INFORMATION** requests were received compared to 3,405 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 229 requests for information or 6.7 percent. Formal requests increased 4.1 percent (1,279 to 1,331) and the number of informal requests increased 8.3 percent (2,126 to 2,303).

In 2015, **ALARM CALLS** saw a 17.3 percent decrease in calls received (15,314 from 18,516). The number of false alarms continues to be problematic with 98.6 percent of the calls being false. This decreased slightly from 99.4 percent in 2014.

**CRIME STOPPERS** tips increased 42.2 percent in 2015 compared to 2014 (1,467 to 2,086). Arrests made as a result of Crime Stoppers tips increased 39.0 percent (41 to 57). Property recovered decreased by 57.3 percent in 2015 compared to 2014 (\$26,422 from \$61,830). Drugs seized significantly decreased 94.3 percent in 2015 (\$41,651 from \$728,396).

The **VICTIM SERVICES OF YORK REGION** program increased 65.3 percent in on-scene occurrences and the number of telephone crisis calls/office visits also increased 10.2 percent. In



2015, female clients represented 59.6 percent of the total clients served while male clients represented 13.7 and children represented 26.7 percent.

Despite the slight increase, York Region continued to enjoy a stable and low crime rate and a consistent high total clearance rate in 2015. They are a direct reflection of the strides we have made in crime prevention, law enforcement, community safety initiatives, and in building bridges between all our communities. We recognize that this would not be possible without the continued support of our Police Services Board, Regional and Local Councils, community leaders, community partners and the citizens of York Region who help us to ensure York Region remains one of the safest communities in Canada in which to live, visit and conduct business.

A more detailed report of the 2015 Annual Statistics accompanies this report.

Eric Jolliffe, O.O.M., BA, MA, CMM III  
Chief of Police

EJ: wd

Accessible formats or communication supports are available upon request



# YORK REGIONAL POLICE

---

## STATISTICAL REPORT JANUARY - DECEMBER 2015

MARCH 2016

---

Prepared by the Statistics Section



**DISCLAIMER:**

The information contained in this report is the property of the York Regional Police. Reproduction or distribution of this report in whole or in part is permitted subject to appropriate source citation and the express prior written consent of the Chief of Police of the York Regional Police.

York Regional Police does not assume any liability for any decision made or action taken in reliance upon any information or data provided.

If you require an accessible version of any publication, please contact extension 7677 or email [corpcommrequest@yrp.ca](mailto:corpcommrequest@yrp.ca).

# YORK REGIONAL POLICE




## Patrol Areas and Patrol Districts

- Police Headquarters
  - Police Station - YRP
  - Police Station - Other
  - Police Information
  - Police Support - YRP
  - Police Support - Other
  - Court
- Police Districts**
- 1 District
  - 3 District
  - 5 District
  - 2 District
  - 4 District
- Multi-Lane Provincial Highway
  - Provincial Highway
  - Road
  - Municipal Boundary
  - Regional Boundary

**York Region** yorkmaps

Produced by:  
Geographic Information Services  
Analytics & Applied Mapping  
© Copyright, The Regional Municipality of York, July, 2014  
© Copyright, The Regional Municipalities of Durham  
and Peel, County of Simcoe, City of Toronto  
\* includes © Queen's Printer for Ontario 2003-2014



# YORK REGIONAL POLICE

## 2015 ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

#### About this Report

In this Report.....	1
How are Crimes Counted?.....	1
Making Comparisons .....	1
Terminology.....	1
Types of Violations: Incident-based Reporting .....	3
Changes in Reporting Methodology .....	5

Executive Summary .....	7
-------------------------	---

#### Region of York Statistics

Crime Statistics – Five Year Data (2011–2015).....	11
Crimes Against Persons (2014–2015) .....	12
Crimes Against Property (2014–2015).....	13
Five Year Trend – Selected Violations (2011–2015)	
Crimes Against Persons.....	14
Violations Causing Death.....	15
Attempt Capital Crime .....	16
Sexual Violations .....	17
Assault .....	18
Robbery .....	19
Crimes Against Property .....	20
Other Criminal Code .....	21
Total Criminal & Federal Violations (excluding Traffic) .....	22
Traffic Violations .....	23
Crime Statistics (2014–2015) .....	24
Robbery Statistics (2014–2015) .....	25
Stolen Vehicle Statistics (2014–2015) .....	26
Traffic Violations (2014–2015).....	27
Fatal Motor Vehicle Collision Statistics (2014–2015).....	28
Clearance Rates (2014–2015).....	29
Youth Statistics (2014–2015).....	30



Hate Crime (2014–2015).....	31
Calls for Service (2014–2015).....	32
Call Type Comparison (2014–2015) .....	33
Miscellaneous Statistics (2014–2015) .....	35
 District 1 Statistics	
Map .....	36
Crime Statistics (2014–2015) .....	37
Clearance Rate (2014–2015).....	38
 District 2 Statistics	
Map .....	39
Crime Statistics (2014–2015) .....	40
Clearance Rate (2014–2015).....	41
 District 3 Statistics	
Map .....	42
Crime Statistics (2014–2015) .....	43
Clearance Rate (2014–2015).....	44
 District 4 Statistics	
Map .....	45
Crime Statistics (2014–2015) .....	46
Clearance Rate (2014–2015).....	47
 District 5 Statistics	
Map .....	48
Crime Statistics (2014–2015) .....	49
Clearance Rate (2014–2015).....	50
 Municipality Crime Statistics (2014-2015)	
Aurora .....	51
East Gwillimbury .....	52
Georgina.....	53
King.....	54
Markham .....	55
Newmarket.....	56
Richmond Hill.....	57
Vaughan .....	58
Whitchurch-Stouffville .....	59

# ABOUT THIS REPORT

---

## IN THIS REPORT

This report contains a summary of crime statistics for York Regional Police pertaining to incidents reported between January 1 and December 31, 2015. A one-year and five-year comparison is made. Miscellaneous statistics are also included.

## HOW ARE CRIMES COUNTED?

York Regional Police publishes crime statistics based on the number of reported violations using the Incident-based Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR2) methodology. UCR2 classifies incidents according to the four most serious violations in the incident (generally the violations which carry the longest maximum sentences under the *Criminal Code*). Violent incidents are classified differently from other types of crime. For violent crime, a separate incident is recorded for each victim. In categorizing incidents, violent violations always take precedence over non-violent violations. For the purposes of this report, all violations within an incident are included and robbery violations are counted per incident.

All data in this report is based on reported crimes and represents a “snapshot” at the time of extraction from the records management system at year-end. Unless otherwise noted, any updates or revisions to data for the years previous to 2015 are not reflected in this report.

## MAKING COMPARISONS

Unless otherwise noted, all crime statistics in this report are compiled using the “all violations” methodology. These statistics should not be compared with those provided by the *Canadian Centre for Justice Statistics* (CCJS), a division of *Statistics Canada*. This published data measures only the most serious offence related to an incident. In addition, the CCJS includes the number of offences reported by the Toronto North Detachment of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police with York Regional Police’s crime statistics.

The CCJS data should be used for comparisons between policing jurisdictions as all data is compiled using the same reporting methodology.

## TERMINOLOGY

**Actual** totals include only those incidents for which an occurrence report was made and have been substantiated through police investigation.

**ATM robberies** include robberies at ATM machines within financial institutions or at stand-alone locations where the victim is approached and forced to withdraw money or is robbed as they are leaving the machine.

**Calls to Communications Bureau** include all telephone calls, 911 and non-emergency, made by members of the public.

**Car Jacking** includes robberies where the intent is to steal a motor vehicle with the use or threat of violence against the person(s) in care or control of that vehicle.

**Citizen Generated Calls for service** occur when a citizen requests or requires a police response of any kind. Requests are received by, but not limited to, 911 telephone calls, non-emergency telephone calls, walk-in requests, online reports, or requests via other agencies.

**Clearance rates** are calculated by the number of violations cleared by charge or otherwise (persons processed by other means) divided by the number of violations. These violations may not necessarily have occurred during the reporting period but some time prior.

**Crime rates** are expressed as a rate per 100,000 population using year-end population estimates supplied by the York Region Planning and Development Services Department and have been rounded to two decimals.

**Criminal incident** refers to a set of connected events which usually constitute an occurrence report. One incident can include more than one violation.

**Deposit robberies** include robberies where a business deposit is stolen from a person using force.

**Fatal Motor Vehicle Collisions Contributing Factors** are based on the Major Collision Investigation Unit's interpretation of each collision. Distracted driving is counted if there is some physical or witnessed evidence to suggest the collision was linked to distraction. Inattentive is based on witness or physical evidence that would cause belief that the responsible driver was inattentive and there is no other explanation for the collision. All other categories are self-explanatory.

**Financial robberies** include robberies occurring at banks, credit unions, or involving armoured cars.

**Hate crime** means a criminal offence committed against a person or property which is motivated by the offender's bias, prejudice or hate based on race, national or ethnic origin, language, colour, religion, sex, age, mental or physical disability, sexual orientation, or any other similar factor.

**Home invasion** is an intrusion into a residence with the intent of robbing the occupants therein.

**Offence** is defined as a statistical fact for aggregate-based reporting. This terminology is not used in incident-based reporting.

**Other robberies** include robberies that do not meet the criteria for any of the other categories. Examples include hotel robberies, robberies by uninvited guests at house parties, and break and enter occurrences that result in a robbery occurring.

**Retail robberies** include robberies occurring at retail or commercial premises including convenience stores, restaurants/fast food establishments, massage parlours, gas stations, and donut/coffee shops.

**Street robberies** include muggings and intimidation style robberies and all other robberies by force or threat of force that occurs in a public place.

**Violation** refers to a contravention of the *Criminal Code, Federal, or Provincial Statutes*.

**Young person** refers to a person aged 12 - 17 years inclusive (*Youth Criminal Justice Act*).

**Youth** refers to a person under the age of 18 years.

**Youth crime rate** refers to the number of youths formally charged plus processed by other means for committing a criminal or federal offence per 1,000 youth population. Youth population estimates used are supplied by the York Region Planning and Development Services Department.

## **TYPES OF VIOLATIONS: INCIDENT-BASED REPORTING**

**Crimes Against Persons** are crimes involving aggressive action (with the intent to do harm) or threat of such action by one person against another. These include violations causing death, attempt capital crime, sexual violations, assault, robbery and other violent violations, and violations/deprivation of freedom.

**Violations Causing Death** include murder 1<sup>st</sup> degree, murder 2<sup>nd</sup> degree, manslaughter, infanticide, criminal negligence cause death, and other related offences causing death.

**Attempt Capital Crime** violations include attempted murder and conspiracy to commit murder.

**Sexual** violations include aggravated sexual assault, sexual assault with a weapon or cause bodily harm, and level 1 sexual assault. This category also includes sexual violations that specifically apply to children such as invitation to sexual touching, sexual exploitation, incest, corrupting morals of a child, voyeurism and luring child via computer. Effective May 2015, this category also includes distribution of intimate images.

**Assault** violations include aggravated assault, assault with a weapon or cause bodily harm, assault, unlawfully cause bodily harm, discharge firearm with intent, assault

peace/public officer, criminal negligence cause bodily harm, use firearm/imitation in commission of offence and point firearm.

**Robbery and Other Violent Violations** include robbery, extortion, criminal harassment, uttering threats, explosives causing death/bodily harm, arson disregard for human life, intimidation of a justice system participant, intimidation of a non-justice system participant, and indecent/harassing communications.

**Violations/Deprivation of Freedom** include kidnapping, forcible confinement, hostage taking, trafficking in persons, and abduction.

**Crimes Against Property** involve unlawful acts with respect to property but do not involve the use or threat of violence against an individual. These include arson, break and enter, theft, have stolen goods, fraud, and mischief.

**Drug** violations include violations under the *Controlled Drugs and Substances Act* and the *Food and Drug Act*. These violations are comprised of possession, trafficking, and importation/exportation or production of various illicit controlled drugs and substances.

**Weapons** violations include violations under the *Criminal Code* which involve any thing used, designed to be used or intended for use in causing death or injury to any person or used for the purpose of threatening or intimidating any person. These include possession, use, trafficking, pointing, unauthorized import/export, firearms documentation and administration, and unsafe storage.

**Public Morals** violations include prostitution, indecent acts, production/distribution of child pornography, gaming and betting, and public morals.

**Other Criminal Code** violations include the remaining non-traffic *Criminal Code* violations that are classified as neither violent nor property violations. These violations are divided into two parts:

Part A: include bail violations, counterfeiting currency, disturb the peace, escape custody, luring a child via computer (effective April 1, 2008, this category is included in sexual violations), obstruct, unlawfully at large, trespass at night, fail to appear, breach of probation, and threatening/harassing telephone calls (effective April 1, 2008, this category is included in robbery and other violent violations).

Part B: include offences against public order, offences relating to terrorist activity, offences against administration of law and justice, invasion of privacy, offences against the person and reputation, offences against the rights or property, fraudulent transactions/contracts and trade, intimidation of justice system participant, wilful/forbidden acts/respect of certain property, offences related to currency, proceeds of crime, attempts/conspiracies/accessories, offences relating to criminal organization, and all other criminal code.

**Federal Statutes** violations include violations under all other federal statutes. They

include the *Bankruptcy Act, Income Tax Act, Canada Shipping Act, Public Health Act, Customs Act, Competition Act, Excise Act, Immigration Act, Firearms Act, National Defence Act, Young Offenders Act, Youth Criminal Justice Act, and Other Federal Statutes.*

**Traffic** violations include all traffic violations under the *Criminal Code*. These include dangerous operation, evade police, impaired related violations (alcohol and drug), street racing, and other criminal traffic violations including fail to stop or remain and drive while prohibited.

## **CHANGES IN REPORTING METHODOLOGY**

In April 2011, Bill S-9 (Tackling Auto Theft and Trafficking in Property Obtained by Crime) came into effect amending the *Criminal Code*. A number of UCR violation codes were introduced by the CCJS which do not impact the statistical comparisons found within this report.

In 2012, the *Safe Streets and Communities Act* (formally known as Bill C-10) was created to better protect children and youth from sexual predators. For the purposes of this report, new UCR violation codes are included in the Sexual Violations category.

In July 2013, Bill S-7 came into force amending the *Criminal Code* bringing changes in relation to harboring terrorists. For the purposes of this report, a new UCR violation code is included the Other Criminal Code (Part B) category.

In 2013, York Regional Police changed the methodology for calculating calls for service. Calls are now counted as total citizen generated calls for service. Due to the change in methodology, comparisons cannot be made with calls for service for 2013 and 2014 with previous years.

In 2013, York Regional Police changed the methodology for calculating response time. Due to the change in methodology, comparisons cannot be made with response times for 2013 and 2014 with previous years.

On February 17, 2014, the police district boundaries for 1 District and 5 District were realigned. Caution to be used in making comparisons with previous years' statistics.

In December 2014, Bill C-36 came into force amending the *Criminal Code* to create offences in relation to prostitution. For the purposes of this report, the new UCR violation codes are included in the Prostitution/Public Morals category.

In 2015, York Regional Police modified the default priorities for some call types. This change in methodology could affect call response time comparisons for 2015 compared to previous years.



In April 2015, Bill C-10 *Tackling Contraband Tobacco Act* created the offence of selling, etc. of tobacco products and raw leaf tobacco, previously an offence under the Excise Act. Incidents of this offence will now be included under the Other Criminal Code Part B category.

In March 2015, Bill C-13 *Protecting Canadians from Online Crime Act* created a *Criminal Code* offence for the non-consensual distribution of intimate images and a new UCR violence code was introduced. For the purposes of this report, this offence is included in the Sexual Violations category.

In June 2015, Bill C-51 *Anti-terrorism Act, 2015* was granted royal assent resulting in the addition of a new UCR Violation Code for advocating or promoting commission of terrorism offences. For the purposes of this report, this offence is included in Other Criminal Code Part B category.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

---

- 208,211 **CITIZEN GENERATED CALLS FOR SERVICE** were received in 2015 compared to 220,546 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 12,335 calls or 5.6 percent.
- 33,202 **CRIMINAL & FEDERAL** violations were reported between January 1 and December 31, 2015 compared to 30,962 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 2,240 violations or 7.2 percent. The crime rate per 100,000 population in 2015 was 2,846.73 compared to 2,704.67 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 5.3 percent.
- 6,482 **CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS** (violent crime) violations were reported between January 1 and December 31, 2015 compared to 6,108 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 374 violations or 6.1 percent. The violent crime rate per 100,000 population in 2015 was 555.76 compared to 533.56 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 4.2 percent.
- 8 **VIOLATIONS CAUSING DEATH** (Homicide and Criminal Negligence Cause Death) were reported in 2015 compared to 14 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 6 violations or 42.9 percent. Based on the rate of Violations Causing Death per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 43.9 percent.
- 13 **ATTEMPT CAPITAL CRIME** violations were reported in 2015 compared to 18 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 5 violations or 27.8 percent. Based on the rate of Attempt Capital Crime violations per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 29.1 percent.
- 492 **SEXUAL VIOLATIONS** were reported in 2015 compared to 408 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 84 violations or 20.6 percent. Based on the rate of Sexual Violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 18.4 percent.
- 3,347 **ASSAULT** violations were reported in 2015 compared to 3,273 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 74 violations or 2.3 percent. Based on the rate of Assault violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 0.4 percent.
- 222 **ROBBERY** violations were reported in 2015 compared to 205 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 17 violations or 8.3 percent. Based on the rate of Robbery violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 6.3 percent. Car Jacking incidents represent 2.7 percent of the total Robbery violations while Home Invasions represent 4.5

percent. Street related robberies during this period represent 67.0 percent of all robberies.

- 4,998 **INTIMATE DOMESTIC RELATED** occurrences (criminal and non-criminal) were reported in 2015 compared to 4,652 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 346 occurrences or 7.4 percent. Of the total occurrences reported, 26.0 percent resulted in charges. In 2015, there was one domestic related homicide that occurred in York Region.
- Reported **HATE CRIME** occurrences in York Region decreased 16.8 percent in 2015 compared to the corresponding period in 2014. There were 89 criminal occurrences of hate motivated crime reported in 2015 compared to 107 in 2014. The category with the highest number of occurrences was “race/ethnicity” with a total of 45 hate crimes. Of those cases, the Black community was most targeted at 20 occurrences. The next highest category statistically was “religion” where the total reported hate crimes were 37. Of those cases, the Jewish community was found to be the most victimized at 19 hate crimes followed by the Muslim community at 11 occurrences. There were 6 hate crimes based on sexual orientation.
- 20,067 **CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY** (property crime) violations were reported between January 1st and December 31st, 2015 compared to 18,207 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 1,860 violations or 10.2 percent. The property crime rate per 100,000 population in 2015 was 1,720.54 compared to 1,590.46 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 8.2 percent.
- 831 **STOLEN VEHICLES** were reported in 2015 compared to 808 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 23 vehicles or 2.8 percent. Based on the rate of stolen vehicles per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 0.9 percent. One half of the vehicles reported stolen in York Region were trucks (50.0 percent). Honda was the most common make of automobile stolen (19.3 percent) and Toyota was the most frequent make of truck stolen (18.2 percent).
- 3,774 **OTHER CRIMINAL CODE (INCLUDING WEAPONS & PUBLIC MORALS)** violations were reported in 2015 compared to 3,550 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 224 violations or 6.3 percent. Based on the rate of Other Criminal Code violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 4.3 percent.
- 4,291 **CRIMINAL TRAFFIC** violations were reported in 2015 compared to 4,223 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 68 violations or 1.6 percent. Based on the rate of Criminal Traffic violations per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 0.3 percent.
- 1,255 **IMPAIRED OPERATION/RELATED** violations were reported in 2015 compared to 1,108 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase

of 147 violations or 13.3 percent. Based on the rate of Impaired Operation/Related violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 11.2 percent.

- 363 **DANGEROUS OPERATION** violations were reported in 2015 compared to 314 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 49 violations or 15.6 percent. Based on the rate of Dangerous Operation violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 13.5 percent.
- The number of identified **YOUTH** involved in criminal incidents (formally charged and processed by other means) in York Region decreased 4.8 percent in 2015 compared to the corresponding period in 2014. The number of young persons charged increased 1.5 percent while the number of youths processed by other means decreased 6.7 percent. The Youth Crime rate per 1,000 youth population decreased 6.8 percent.
- In 2015, the **CLEARANCE RATE** for Crimes Against Persons decreased from 78.6 percent in 2014 to 76.1 percent. The Property Crime clearance rate also decreased from 44.2 percent to 42.9 percent. The overall clearance rate for Criminal Code & Federal violations decreased from 61.7 percent in 2014 to 59.7 percent during the corresponding period in 2015.
- 14,697 **REPORTABLE MOTOR VEHICLE COLLISION REPORTS** were processed in 2015 compared to 15,590 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 893 reports or 5.7 percent. Based on the rate of reportable motor vehicle collisions per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 7.5 percent.
- 19 **FATAL COLLISIONS** occurred on our roadways in 2015 compared to 25 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 6 collisions or 24.0 percent.
- 23 **PERSONS DIED** on our roadways in 2015 compared to 26 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 3 persons or 11.5 percent.
- In 2015, 216,899 9-1-1 calls were received in the **COMMUNICATIONS BUREAU** compared to 233,666 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 7.2 percent. The number of non-emergency calls increased 1.6 percent (383,306 to 389,255). The total number of calls received decreased 1.8 percent (606,154 from 616,975).
- **INFORMATION MANAGEMENT** processed 8,129 requests for motor vehicle collision/incident summary reports in 2015 compared to 10,889 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 2,760 requests or 25.3 percent. The number of police clearance letters processed in 2015 decreased 15.7 percent from the corresponding period in 2014 (28,550 from

33,876) and the number of volunteer screening letters increased 0.2 percent (41,760 to 41,848).

- During 2015, a total of 3,634 **FREEDOM OF INFORMATION** requests were received compared to 3,405 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 229 requests for information or 6.7 percent. Formal requests increased 4.1 percent (1,279 to 1,331) and the number of informal requests also increased 8.3 percent (2,126 to 2,303).
- The **ALARM PROGRAM** saw a 17.3 percent decrease in alarm calls received (15,314 from 18,516) in 2015. The number of false alarms continues to be problematic with 98.6 percent of the calls being false. This decreased slightly from 99.4 percent in 2014.
- Tips received by **CRIME STOPPERS** increased 42.2 percent in 2015 compared to 2014 (1,467 to 2,086). Arrests made as a result of Crime Stoppers tips increased 39.0 percent (41 to 57). Property recovered decreased by 57.3 percent in 2015 compared to 2014 (\$26,422 from \$61,830). Drugs seized significantly decreased 94.3 percent in 2015 (\$41,651 from \$728,396).
- The **VICTIM SERVICES OF YORK REGION** program increased 65.3 percent in on-scene occurrences and the number of telephone crisis calls/office visits also increased 10.2 percent. In 2015, female clients represented 59.6 percent of the total clients served while male clients represented 13.7 percent and children represented 26.7 percent.

# STATISTICS

## FIVE YEAR DATA (JANUARY - DECEMBER)

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
<b>Population<sup>1</sup></b>	1,085,588	1,108,570	1,130,386	1,144,760	1,166,321
<b>Citizen Generated Calls for Service</b>	N.A. <sup>2</sup>	251,924	223,918	220,546	208,211
<b>Motor Vehicle Collisions (Reportable)</b>					
Property Damage	16,220	15,157	14,395	12,340	11,541
Personal Injury	3,422	3,441	3,438	3,225	3,137
Fatal	28	26	19	25	19
Total	19,670	18,624	17,852	15,590	14,697
Fail to Remain	3,100	2,727	3,105	2,849	2,750
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>					
Total	6,585	6,613	6,080	6,108	6,482
Cleared	5,395	5,222	4,914	4,802	4,932
Clearance Rate (%)	81.9	79.0	80.8	78.6	76.1
Rate per 100,000 population	606.58	596.53	537.87	533.56	555.76
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>					
Total	20,996	20,570	19,283	18,207	20,067
Cleared	9,173	9,643	9,127	8,053	8,609
Clearance Rate (%)	43.7	46.9	47.3	44.2	42.9
Rate per 100,000 population	1,934.07	1,855.54	1,705.88	1,590.46	1,720.54
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>					
Total	3,307	2,841	2,849	2,955	3,160
Cleared	3,069	2,660	2,570	2,712	2,946
Clearance Rate (%)	92.8	93.6	90.2	91.8	93.2
Rate per 100,000 population	304.63	256.28	252.04	258.13	270.94
<b>Weapons Violations</b>					
Total	462	394	348	389	423
Cleared	366	313	270	342	331
Clearance Rate (%)	79.2	79.4	77.6	87.9	78.3
Rate per 100,000 population	42.56	35.54	30.79	33.98	36.27
<b>Public Morals Violations</b>					
Total	141	216	182	206	191
Cleared	92	135	124	140	158
Clearance Rate (%)	65.2	62.5	68.1	68.0	82.7
Rate per 100,000 population	12.99	19.48	16.10	18.00	16.38
<b>Criminal Code - Total</b>					
Total	31,491	30,634	28,742	27,865	30,323
Cleared	18,095	17,973	17,005	16,049	16,976
Clearance Rate (%)	57.5	58.7	59.2	57.6	56.0
Rate per 100,000 population	2,900.82	2,763.38	2,542.67	2,434.13	2,599.88
<b>Drug Violations</b>					
Total	2,959	2,704	2,537	2,870	2,644
Cleared	2,928	2,640	2,465	2,843	2,616
Clearance Rate (%)	99.0	97.6	97.2	99.1	98.9
Rate per 100,000 population	272.57	243.92	224.44	250.71	226.70
<b>Other Federal Violations</b>					
Total	326	257	219	227	235
Cleared	326	251	218	223	238
Clearance Rate (%)	100.0	97.7	99.5	98.2	101.3
Rate per 100,000 population	30.03	23.18	19.37	19.83	20.15
<b>Total Criminal Code &amp; Federal Violations</b>					
Total	34,776	33,595	31,498	30,962	33,202
Cleared	21,349	20,864	19,688	19,115	19,830
Clearance Rate (%)	61.4	62.1	62.5	61.7	59.7
Rate per 100,000 population	3,203.43	3,030.48	2,786.48	2,704.67	2,846.73
<b>Criminal Code Traffic Violations</b>					
Total	4,179	3,704	4,040	4,223	4,291
Cleared	1,704	1,422	1,389	1,624	1,863
Clearance Rate (%)	40.8	38.4	34.4	38.5	43.4
Rate per 100,000 population	384.95	334.12	357.40	368.90	367.91

<sup>1</sup> year-end population figures supplied by York Region Planning and Development Services Department based on Census Data and CMHC housing completion data.

<sup>2</sup> methodology for calculating Calls for Service were in changed in 2013 and 2015. Please refer to page 5.





# CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS

JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014		2015		5 Year Average		2014-15 Variation (%)	
	Actual	Rate	Actual	Rate	Actual	Rate	Actual	Rate
Violations Causing Death	14	1.22	8	0.69	10	0.89	-42.9	-43.9
Attempt Capital Crime	18	1.57	13	1.11	17	1.47	-27.8	-29.1
Sexual Violations	408	35.64	492	42.18	456	40.42	20.6	18.4
Assault	3,273	285.91	3,347	286.97	3,252	288.72	2.3	0.4
Violations Deprivation Freedom	107	9.35	118	10.12	106	9.43	10.3	8.2
Robbery & Other Violent Violations	2,288	199.87	2,504	214.69	2,533	225.13	9.4	7.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,108</b>	<b>533.56</b>	<b>6,482</b>	<b>555.76</b>	<b>6,374</b>	<b>566.06</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>4.2</b>

- As indicated above, 6,482 Crimes Against Persons violations were reported in 2015 compared to 6,108 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 374 violations or 6.1%.
- The Violent Crime rate in 2015 was 555.76 compared to 533.56 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 4.2%.
- Sexual Violations, Assault, Violations Deprivation Freedom and Robbery & Other Violent Violations saw an increase over the past year while Violations Causing Death and Attempt Capital Crime decreased.
- Based on rate, most Violent Crime categories were below the five year average except Sexual Violations and Violations Deprivation Freedom.





# CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY

JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014		2015		5 Year Average		2014-15 Variation (%)	
	Actual	Rate	Actual	Rate	Actual	Rate	Actual	Rate
Arson	56	4.89	65	5.57	81	7.27	16.1	13.9
Break and Enter	1,847	161.34	2,300	197.20	2,111	187.51	24.5	22.2
Theft Over \$5000	1,041	90.94	1,104	94.66	1,140	101.35	6.1	4.1
Theft Under \$5000	7,623	665.90	8,298	711.47	8,170	725.68	8.9	6.8
Have Stolen Goods	2,316	202.31	2,456	210.58	2,627	233.58	6.0	4.1
Fraud	2,403	209.91	2,919	250.27	2,728	242.33	21.5	19.2
Mischief	2,921	255.16	2,925	250.79	2,967	263.57	0.1	-1.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>18,207</b>	<b>1,590.46</b>	<b>20,067</b>	<b>1,720.54</b>	<b>19,825</b>	<b>1,761.30</b>	<b>10.2</b>	<b>8.2</b>

- 20,067 Property Crime violations were reported in 2015 compared to 18,207 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 1,860 violations or 10.2%.
- The Property Crime rate in 2015 was 1,720.54 compared to 1,590.46 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 8.2%.
- All Property Crime categories saw an increase in 2015 compared to 2014. Based on rate, all Property Crime categories increased except for Mischief.
- Based on rate, all Property Crime categories were below the five year average except Break and Enter, and Fraud.



# CRIMES AGAINST PERSONS


## FIVE YEAR TREND

JANUARY – DECEMBER (2011 – 2015)

Year	Actual	1 Year Comparison		5 Year Comparison		Rate per 100,000 Population	Rate Variance (%)	
		Variance	(%)	Variance	(%)		1 Year	5 Year
2011	6,585	-466	-6.6	120	1.9	606.58	-8.6	-7.8
2012	6,613	28	0.4	-320	-4.6	596.53	-1.7	-13.0
2013	6,080	-533	-8.1	-901	-12.9	537.87	-9.8	-20.4
2014	6,108	28	0.5	-943	-13.4	533.56	-0.8	-19.6
2015	6,482	374	6.1	-103	-1.6	555.76	4.2	-8.4


### 1 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 6,482 Crimes Against Persons were reported in 2015 compared to 6,108 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 374 violations or 6.1%. Based on the rate of Crimes Against Persons per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 4.2%.



### 5 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 6,482 Crimes Against Persons were reported in 2015 compared to 6,585 during the corresponding period in 2011. This represents a decrease of 103 violations or 1.6%. Based on the rate of Crimes Against Persons per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 8.4%.



# VIOLATIONS CAUSING DEATH

## (HOMICIDE & CRIMINAL NEGLIGENCE CAUSING DEATH)

### FIVE YEAR TREND


JANUARY – DECEMBER (2011 – 2015)

Year	Actual	1 Year Comparison		5 Year Comparison		Rate per 100,000 Population	Rate Variance (%)	
		Variance	(%)	Variance	(%)		1 Year	5 Year
2011	11	4	57.1	3	37.5	1.01	53.7	24.5
2012	8	-3	-27.3	6	300.0	0.72	-28.8	264.9
2013	9	1	12.5	7	350.0	0.80	10.3	311.1
2014	14	5	55.6	7	100.0	1.22	53.6	85.5
2015	8	-6	-42.9	-3	-27.3	0.69	-43.9	-32.3

Note: Violations Causing Death does not include non-culpable Homicides.


#### 1 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 8 Violations Causing Death were reported in 2015 compared to 14 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 6 violations or 42.9%. Based on the rate of Violations Causing Death per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 43.9%.



#### 5 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 8 Violations Causing Death were reported in 2015 compared to 11 during the corresponding period in 2011. This represents a decrease of 3 violations or 27.3%. Based on the rate of Violations Causing Death per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 32.3%.



# ATTEMPT CAPITAL CRIME

## FIVE YEAR TREND


JANUARY – DECEMBER (2011 – 2015)

Year	Actual	1 Year Comparison		5 Year Comparison		Rate per 100,000 Population	Rate Variance (%)	
		Variance	(%)	Variance	(%)		1 Year	5 Year
2011	11	-17	-60.7	-1	-8.3	1.01	-61.6	-17.0
2012	18	7	63.6	2	12.5	1.62	60.2	2.6
2013	23	5	27.8	11	91.7	2.03	25.3	75.1
2014	18	-5	-21.7	-10	-35.7	1.57	-22.7	-40.4
2015	13	-5	-27.8	2	18.2	1.11	-29.1	10.0

Note: includes Attempt Murder and Conspiracy to Commit Murder.


### 1 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 13 Attempt Capital Crime violations were reported in 2015 compared to 18 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 5 violations or 27.8%. Based on the rate of Attempt Capital Crime violations per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 29.1%.



### 5 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 13 Attempt Capital Crime violations were reported in 2015 compared to 11 during the corresponding period in 2011. This represents an increase of 2 violations or 18.2%. Based on the rate of Attempt Capital Crime violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 10.0%.



# SEXUAL VIOLATIONS


## FIVE YEAR TREND

JANUARY – DECEMBER (2011 – 2015)

Year	Actual	1 Year Comparison		5 Year Comparison		Rate per 100,000 Population	Rate Variance (%)	
		Variance	(%)	Variance	(%)		1 Year	5 Year
2011	421	-7	-1.6	131	45.2	38.78	-3.8	31.5
2012	489	68	16.2	54	12.4	44.11	13.7	2.6
2013	468	-21	-4.3	64	15.8	41.40	-6.1	5.8
2014	408	-60	-12.8	-20	-4.7	35.64	-13.9	-11.6
2015	492	84	20.6	71	16.9	42.18	18.4	8.8


### 1 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 492 Sexual Violations were reported in 2015 compared to 408 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 84 violations or 20.6%. Based on the rate of Sexual Assault violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 18.4%.



### 5 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 492 Sexual Violations were reported in 2015 compared to 421 during the corresponding period in 2011. This represents an increase of 71 violations or 16.9%. Based on the rate of Sexual Assault violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 8.8%.





# ASSAULT


## FIVE YEAR TREND

JANUARY – DECEMBER (2011 – 2015)

Year	Actual	1 Year Comparison		5 Year Comparison		Rate per 100,000 Population	Rate Variance (%)	
		Variance	(%)	Variance	(%)		1 Year	5 Year
2011	3,360	-177	-5.0	-328	-8.9	309.51	-7.1	-17.5
2012	3,200	-160	-4.8	-390	-10.9	288.66	-6.7	-18.7
2013	3,081	-119	-3.7	-397	-11.4	272.56	-5.6	-19.1
2014	3,273	192	6.2	-264	-7.5	285.91	4.9	-14.2
2015	3,347	74	2.3	-13	-0.4	286.97	0.4	-7.3


### 1 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 3,347 Assault violations were reported in 2015 compared to 3,273 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 74 violations or 2.3%. Based on the rate of Assault violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 0.4%.



### 5 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 3,347 Assault violations were reported in 2015 compared to 3,360 during the corresponding period in 2011. This represents a decrease of 13 violations or 0.4%. Based on the rate of Assault violations per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 7.3%.



# ROBBERY


## FIVE YEAR TREND

JANUARY – DECEMBER (2011 – 2015)

Year	Actual	1 Year Comparison		5 Year Comparison		Rate per 100,000 Population	Rate Variance (%)	
		Variance	(%)	Variance	(%)		1 Year	5 Year
2011	401	-13	-3.1	-37	-8.4	36.94	-5.2	-17.1
2012	355	-46	-11.5	-29	-7.6	32.02	-13.3	-15.7
2013	262	-93	-26.2	-126	-32.5	23.18	-27.6	-38.3
2014	205	-57	-21.8	-209	-50.5	17.91	-22.7	-54.1
2015	222	17	8.3	-179	-44.6	19.03	6.3	-48.5


### 1 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 222 Robbery violations were reported in 2015 compared to 205 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 17 violations or 8.3%. Based on the rate of Robbery violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 6.3%.



### 5 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 222 Robbery violations were reported in 2015 compared to 401 during the corresponding period in 2011. This represents a decrease of 179 violations or 44.6%. Based on the rate of Robbery violations per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 48.5%.



# CRIMES AGAINST PROPERTY


## FIVE YEAR TREND

JANUARY – DECEMBER (2011 – 2015)

Year	Actual	1 Year Comparison		5 Year Comparison		Rate per 100,000 Population	Rate Variance (%)	
		Variance	(%)	Variance	(%)		1 Year	5 Year
2011	20,996	-914	-4.2	-2,208	-9.5	1,934.07	-6.3	-18.1
2012	20,570	-426	-2.0	-3,322	-13.9	1,855.54	-4.1	-21.5
2013	19,283	-1,287	-6.3	-3,327	-14.7	1,705.88	-8.1	-22.1
2014	18,207	-1,076	-5.6	-3,703	-16.9	1,590.46	-6.8	-22.9
2015	20,067	1,860	10.2	-929	-4.4	1,720.54	8.2	-11.0


### 1 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 20,067 Crimes Against Property violations were reported in 2015 compared to 18,207 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 1,860 violations or 10.2%. Based on the rate of Crimes Against Property violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 8.2%.



### 5 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 20,067 Crimes Against Property violations were reported in 2015 compared to 20,996 during the corresponding period in 2011. This represents a decrease of 929 violations or 4.4%. Based on the rate of Crimes Against Property violations per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 11.0%.



# OTHER CRIMINAL CODE

(INCL. OTHER CRIMINAL CODE, WEAPONS, & PUBLIC MORALS)


## FIVE YEAR TREND

JANUARY – DECEMBER (2011 – 2015)

Year	Actual	1 Year Comparison		5 Year Comparison		Rate per 100,000 Population	Rate Variance (%)	
		Variance	(%)	Variance	(%)		1 Year	5 Year
2011	3,910	-106	-2.6	-761	-16.3	360.17	-4.8	-24.2
2012	3,451	-459	-11.7	-1,058	-23.5	311.30	-13.6	-30.2
2013	3,379	-72	-2.1	-620	-15.5	298.92	-4.0	-22.8
2014	3,550	171	5.1	-466	-11.6	310.11	3.7	-18.0
2015	3,774	224	6.3	-136	-3.5	323.58	4.3	-10.2


### 1 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 3,774 Other Criminal Code violations were reported in 2015 compared to 3,550 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 224 violations or 6.3%. Based on the rate of Other Criminal Code violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 4.3%.



### 5 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 3,774 Other Criminal Code violations were reported in 2015 compared to 3,910 during the corresponding period in 2011. This represents a decrease of 136 violations or 3.5%. Based on the rate of Other Criminal Code violations per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 10.2%.



# TOTAL CRIMINAL & FEDERAL VIOLATIONS (EXCL. TRAFFIC)


## FIVE YEAR TREND

JANUARY – DECEMBER (2011 – 2015)

Year	Actual	1 Year Comparison		5 Year Comparison		Rate per 100,000 Population	Rate Variance (%)	
		Variance	(%)	Variance	(%)		1 Year	5 Year
2011	34,776	-1,636	-4.5	-2,649	-7.1	3,203.43	-6.6	-15.9
2012	33,595	-1,181	-3.4	-5,096	-13.2	3,030.48	-5.4	-20.8
2013	31,498	-2,097	-6.2	-5,311	-14.4	2,786.48	-8.1	-21.8
2014	30,962	-536	-1.7	-5,450	-15.0	2,704.67	-2.9	-21.1
2015	33,202	2,240	7.2	-1,574	-4.5	2,846.73	5.3	-11.1


### 1 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 33,202 Criminal & Federal Statute violations were reported in 2015 compared to 30,962 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 2,240 violations or 7.2%. Based on the rate of Criminal & Federal Statute violations per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 5.3%.



### 5 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 33,202 Criminal & Federal Statute violations were reported in 2015 compared to 34,776 during the corresponding period in 2011. This represents a decrease of 1,574 violations or 4.5%. Based on the rate of Criminal & Federal Statute violations per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 11.1%.



# CRIMINAL CODE TRAFFIC VIOLATIONS


## FIVE YEAR TREND

JANUARY – DECEMBER (2011 – 2015)

Year	Actual	1 Year Comparison		5 Year Comparison		Rate per 100,000 Population	Rate Variance (%)	
		Variance	(%)	Variance	(%)		1 Year	5 Year
2011	4,179	389	10.3	1,484	55.1	384.95	7.9	40.4
2012	3,704	-475	-11.4	724	24.3	334.12	-13.2	13.4
2013	4,040	336	9.1	-44	-1.1	357.40	7.0	-9.6
2014	4,223	183	4.5	433	11.4	368.90	3.2	3.4
2015	4,291	68	1.6	112	2.7	367.91	-0.3	-4.4


### 1 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 4,291 Criminal Code Traffic violations were reported in 2015 compared to 4,223 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 68 violations or 1.6%. Based on the rate of Criminal Code Traffic violations per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 0.3%.



### 5 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 4,291 Criminal Code Traffic violations were reported in 2015 compared to 4,179 during the corresponding period in 2011. This represents an increase of 112 violations or 2.7%. Based on the rate of Criminal Code Traffic violations per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 4.4%.





# CRIME STATISTICS

## JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15	
	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	<b>6,108</b>	<b>78.6</b>	<b>533.56</b>	<b>6,482</b>	<b>76.1</b>	<b>555.76</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>4.2</b>
Violations Causing Death	14	50.0	1.22	8	112.5	0.69	-42.9	-43.9
Attempt Capital Crime	18	66.7	1.57	13	123.1	1.11	-27.8	-29.1
Sexual Violations	408	72.5	35.64	492	70.3	42.18	20.6	18.4
Assault	3,273	85.2	285.91	3,347	84.2	286.97	2.3	0.4
Violations Deprivation Freedom	107	86.0	9.35	118	83.9	10.12	10.3	8.2
Robbery & Other Violent Violations	2,288	70.3	199.87	2,504	65.6	214.69	9.4	7.4
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	<b>18,207</b>	<b>44.2</b>	<b>1,590.46</b>	<b>20,067</b>	<b>42.9</b>	<b>1,720.54</b>	<b>10.2</b>	<b>8.2</b>
Arson	56	25.0	4.89	65	15.4	5.57	16.1	13.9
Break and Enter	1,847	21.0	161.34	2,300	23.7	197.20	24.5	22.2
Theft Over \$5000	1,041	21.0	90.94	1,104	22.4	94.66	6.1	4.1
Theft Under \$5000	7,623	39.1	665.90	8,298	39.6	711.47	8.9	6.8
Have Stolen Goods	2,316	100.2	202.31	2,456	100.5	210.58	6.0	4.1
Fraud	2,403	43.4	209.91	2,919	33.9	250.27	21.5	19.2
Mischief	2,921	37.3	255.16	2,925	36.4	250.79	0.1	-1.7
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	<b>2,955</b>	<b>91.8</b>	<b>258.13</b>	<b>3,160</b>	<b>93.2</b>	<b>270.94</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>5.0</b>
Other Criminal Code (Part A)	2,576	92.9	225.03	2,757	94.5	236.38	7.0	5.0
Other Criminal Code (Part B)	379	84.2	33.11	403	84.9	34.55	6.3	4.4
<b>Weapons Violations</b>	<b>389</b>	<b>87.9</b>	<b>33.98</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>78.3</b>	<b>36.27</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>6.7</b>
<b>Public Morals Violations</b>	<b>206</b>	<b>68.0</b>	<b>18.00</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>82.7</b>	<b>16.38</b>	<b>-7.3</b>	<b>-9.0</b>
Prostitution/Public Morals	198	70.7	17.30	187	82.9	16.03	-5.6	-7.3
Gaming and Betting	8	0.0	0.70	4	75.0	0.34	-50.0	-50.9
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>27,865</b>	<b>57.6</b>	<b>2,434.13</b>	<b>30,323</b>	<b>56.0</b>	<b>2,599.88</b>	<b>8.8</b>	<b>6.8</b>
<b>Drug Violations</b>	<b>2,870</b>	<b>99.1</b>	<b>250.71</b>	<b>2,644</b>	<b>98.9</b>	<b>226.70</b>	<b>-7.9</b>	<b>-9.6</b>
<b>Other Federal Violations</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>98.2</b>	<b>19.83</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>101.3</b>	<b>20.15</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>
<b>Total Criminal Code &amp; Federal Violations (excluding traffic)</b>	<b>30,962</b>	<b>61.7</b>	<b>2,704.67</b>	<b>33,202</b>	<b>59.7</b>	<b>2,846.73</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>5.3</b>
<b>Traffic Violations</b>	<b>4,223</b>	<b>38.5</b>	<b>368.90</b>	<b>4,291</b>	<b>43.4</b>	<b>367.91</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>-0.3</b>
Dangerous Operation	314	92.0	27.43	363	85.7	31.12	15.6	13.5
Impaired Operation/Related Violations	1,108	99.8	96.79	1,255	99.9	107.60	13.3	11.2
Other Criminal Code Traffic Violations	2,797	8.0	244.33	2,664	10.8	228.41	-4.8	-6.5
Street Racing	4	125.0	0.35	9	100.0	0.77	125.0	120.8

<sup>1</sup> not calculable

# ROBBERY

JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

## Robbery Violations - by Type January - December

	2014	2015	Variance Actual	%
Retail	19	26	7	36.8
ATM	0	0	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Deposit	0	2	2	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Financial	8	9	1	12.5
Home Invasion	10	10	0	0.0
Car Jacking	2	6	4	200.0
Street	143	148	5	3.5
Other	23	21	-2	-8.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>8.3</b>

<sup>1</sup> not calculable

### Definitions (see Terminology page 2 for complete list):



- As indicated above, 222 robbery violations were reported in York Region during 2015 compared to 205 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 17 violations or 8.3%.
- Increases were seen in all categories except Other (-2) and no change in ATM and Home Invasion Robberies.

Financial – includes banks, credit unions, armoured cars.

Retail – any retail or commercial premise including convenience stores, restaurants/fast food establishments, massage parlours, gas stations, and donut/coffee shops.

Car Jacking – the intent to steal a motor vehicle with the use of violence against the person(s) in care or control of that vehicle.

Home Invasion – intrusion into a residence with the intent of robbing the occupants therein.



# STOLEN VEHICLES

## JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014		2015		Variance (%)	
	Actual	Rate	Actual	Rate	Actual	Rate
Automobiles	281	24.55	270	23.15	-3.9	-5.7
Trucks	359	31.36	412	35.32	14.8	12.6
Motorcycles	57	4.98	48	4.12	-15.8	-17.3
Other	53	4.63	26	2.23	-50.9	-51.9
Construction/Farm	33	2.88	55	4.72	66.7	63.6
Tractor Trailers	25	2.18	20	1.71	-20.0	-21.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>808</b>	<b>70.58</b>	<b>831</b>	<b>71.25</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>0.9</b>

Note: total includes vehicles taken without owner's consent. Does not include attempt theft or vehicles obtained by fraud. Trucks include pickup trucks, bus, van, and recreational vehicles. Tractor trailers include tractors with or without a trailer.



- 831 vehicles were reported stolen in York Region in 2015 compared to 808 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 23 vehicles or 2.8%. Based on the rate of stolen vehicles per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 0.9%.
- 270 automobiles were reported stolen in 2015 compared to 280 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 10 automobiles or 3.9%. Based on the rate of stolen automobiles per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 5.7%.
- 412 trucks were reported stolen in 2015 compared to 359 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents an increase of 53 trucks or 14.8%. Based on the rate of stolen trucks per 100,000 population, there has been an increase of 12.6%.
- 20 tractor trailers were reported stolen in 2015 compared to 25 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 5 tractor trailers or 20.0%. Based on the rate of stolen tractor trailers per 100,000 population, there has been a decrease of 21.5%.

### Top 5 Stolen Automobile Makes (2015)

Make	Total
Honda	52
Toyota	45
BMW	23
Mercedes	18
Acura	15

### Top 5 Stolen Truck Makes (2015)

Make	Total
Toyota	75
Lexus	47
GMC	43
Ford	42
Chevrolet	37



# TRAFFIC VIOLATIONS

## JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014		2015		2014/15	
	Actual	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
<b>Impaired Operation/Related Violations</b>	<b>1,108</b>	<b>96.79</b>	<b>1,255</b>	<b>107.60</b>	<b>13.3</b>	<b>11.2</b>
Impaired Operation/Over 80 Mgs - Alcohol	940	82.11	1,056	90.54	12.3	10.3
Impaired Operation - Drug	29	2.53	53	4.54	82.8	79.4
Impaired Operation Cause Bodily Harm	8	0.70	5	0.43	-37.5	-38.7
Impaired Operation Cause Death	1	0.09	4	0.34	300.0	292.6
Fail/Refuse Breath/Blood Sample/Drug Test	130	11.36	137	11.75	5.4	3.4
<b>Dangerous Operation</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>27.43</b>	<b>363</b>	<b>31.12</b>	<b>15.6</b>	<b>13.5</b>
Dangerous Operation	247	21.58	260	22.29	5.3	3.3
Cause Bodily Harm	15	1.31	35	3.00	133.3	129.0
Cause Death	4	0.35	7	0.60	75.0	71.8
Evade Police	48	4.19	61	5.23	27.1	24.7
Evade Police Cause Bodily Harm	0	0.00	0	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Evade Police Cause Death	0	0.00	0	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
<b>Fail to Stop or Remain</b>	<b>2,711</b>	<b>236.82</b>	<b>2,557</b>	<b>219.24</b>	<b>-5.7</b>	<b>-7.4</b>
<b>Drive While Prohibited</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>7.51</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>9.17</b>	<b>24.4</b>	<b>22.1</b>
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>N.C.<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>N.C.<sup>1</sup></b>
<b>Street Racing</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.35</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0.77</b>	<b>125.0</b>	<b>120.8</b>
<b>Criminal Traffic Violations - Total</b>	<b>4,223</b>	<b>368.90</b>	<b>4,291</b>	<b>367.91</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>-0.3</b>
<b>Traffic - Provincial Offences</b>						
Speeding	39,059	3,411.98	38,810	3,327.56	-0.6	-2.5
Red Light	2,136	186.59	2,684	230.13	25.7	23.3
Disobey Stop Sign	10,523	919.23	11,333	971.69	7.7	5.7
Seatbelt Related	1,615	141.08	1,751	150.13	8.4	6.4
Drive Under Suspension	2,073	181.09	2,091	179.28	0.9	-1.0
Careless Driving	4,351	380.08	5,070	434.70	16.5	14.4
Fail to Remain	114	9.96	109	9.35	-4.4	-6.2
<b>Motor Vehicle Collisions - Total (Reportable)</b>	<b>15,590</b>	<b>1,361.86</b>	<b>14,697</b>	<b>1,260.12</b>	<b>-5.7</b>	<b>-7.5</b>
Fatal Collisions	25	2.18	19	1.63	-24.0	-25.4
Personal Injury Collisions	3,225	281.72	3,137	268.97	-2.7	-4.5
Property Damage Collisions	12,340	1,077.96	11,541	989.52	-6.5	-8.2
Persons Injured	4,509	393.88	4,404	377.60	-2.3	-4.1
Persons Killed	26	2.27	23	1.97	-11.5	-13.2
Fail to Remain Collisions	2,849	248.87	2,750	235.78	-3.5	-5.3

<sup>1</sup> not calculable



# FATAL MOTOR VEHICLE COLLISIONS

## JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014		2015		2014/15	
	Actual	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
Fatal Collisions	25	2.18	19	1.63	-24.0	-25.4
Persons Killed	26	2.27	23	1.97	-11.5	-13.2
Driver	14	1.22	5	0.43	-64.3	-64.9
Passenger	2	0.17	8	0.69	300.0	292.6
Pedestrian	9	0.79	7	0.60	-22.2	-23.7
Cyclist	1	0.09	3	0.26	200.0	194.5
Major Contributing Factors						
Alcohol	2	0.17	1	0.09	-50.0	-50.9
Speed	2	0.17	1	0.09	-50.0	-50.9
Seatbelt	2	0.17	1	0.09	-50.0	-50.9
Distraction	N.A. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	0	0.00	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>
Inattentive	N.A. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	5	0.43	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>
Lost Control	N.A. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	1	0.09	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>
Drugs	N.A. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	0	0.00	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>
Fatigue	N.A. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	2	0.17	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>
Careless	N.A. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	3	0.26	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>
Mechanical	N.A. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	0	0.00	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>
Weather	N.A. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	1	0.09	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>
Undetermined	N.A. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	4	0.34	N.C. <sup>2</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> not available

<sup>2</sup> not calculable





# CLEARANCE RATE

## JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15
	Actual	Total Cleared	Cleared (%)	Actual	Total Cleared	Cleared (%)	Variance Cleared (%)
Crimes Against Persons	6,108	4,802	78.6	6,482	4,932	76.1	-2.5
Crimes Against Property	18,207	8,053	44.2	20,067	8,609	42.9	-1.3
Other Criminal Code	2,955	2,712	91.8	3,160	2,946	93.2	1.5
Weapons Violations	389	342	87.9	423	331	78.3	-9.7
Public Morals Violations	206	140	68.0	191	158	82.7	14.8
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>27,865</b>	<b>16,049</b>	<b>57.6</b>	<b>30,323</b>	<b>16,976</b>	<b>56.0</b>	<b>-1.6</b>
Drug Violations	2,870	2,843	99.1	2,644	2,616	98.9	-0.1
Other Federal Violations	227	223	98.2	235	238	101.3	3.0
<b>Total Criminal &amp; Federal Violations (excluding Traffic)</b>	<b>30,962</b>	<b>19,115</b>	<b>61.7</b>	<b>33,202</b>	<b>19,830</b>	<b>59.7</b>	<b>-2.0</b>
Traffic Violations	4,223	1,624	38.5	4,291	1,863	43.4	5.0

Note: due to rounding, figures may not subtract exactly to variance cleared.









# YOUTH STATISTICS

## JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014 - 15 Variance (%)		
	CHGD	PBOM	Total	CHGD	PBOM	Total	CHGD	PBOM	Total
<b>Violent Crime</b>	211	487	698	207	509	716	-1.9	4.5	2.6
<b>Property Crime</b>	187	851	1,038	170	786	956	-9.1	-7.6	-7.9
<b>All Other</b>	216	637	853	246	547	793	13.9	-14.1	-7.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>614</b>	<b>1,975</b>	<b>2,589</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>1,842</b>	<b>2,465</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>-6.7</b>	<b>-4.8</b>

<b>Youth Crime Rate</b>	<b>25.64</b>	<b>23.90</b>	<b>-6.8</b>
-------------------------	--------------	--------------	-------------

Note: CHGD - Charged youth includes persons aged 12 – 17 years inclusive. Includes criminal, federal, & criminal traffic charges.  
 PBOM – processed by other means. Includes persons under the age of 18 years.  
 Youth Crime rate is expressed as a rate of youths per 1,000 youth population (aged 12 – 17 inclusive), rounded to two decimals. The number of persons aged 12 – 17 inclusive formally charged or processed by other means have been used in this calculation. Youth population estimate supplied by York Region Planning and Development Services Department.



# HATE CRIME

JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 - 2015)

Principle Factor that Motivated the Hate Crime	2014	2015	Variance (%)
<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>-8.2</b>
Aboriginal	0	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Arab/West Asian	8	7	-12.5
Black	15	20	33.3
East & Southeast Asian	6	2	-66.7
South Asian	4	3	-25.0
White	4	2	-50.0
Multiple Races/Ethnicities	2	5	150.0
Other Race/Ethnicity	9	6	-33.3
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	1	0	-100.0
<b>Religion</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>-28.8</b>
Catholic	4	3	-25.0
Jewish	39	19	-51.3
Muslim (Islam)	5	11	120.0
Other Religion	3	4	33.3
Unknown Religion	1	0	-100.0
<b>Sexual Orientation</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>20.0</b>
Bisexual	0	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Heterosexual	0	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Homosexual (Lesbian or Gay)	4	6	50.0
Other Sexual Orientation	0	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Unknown Sexual Orientation	1	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
<b>Language</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-100.0</b>
English	0	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
French	0	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Other Language	1	0	-100.0
Unknown Language	0	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
<b>Disability</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>N.C.<sup>1</sup></b>
Mental	0	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Physical	0	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Other Disability	0	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Unknown Disability	0	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
<b>Gender</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>N.C.<sup>1</sup></b>
<b>Age</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>N.C.<sup>1</sup></b>
<b>Other Factors</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>N.C.<sup>1</sup></b>
<b>Unknown</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>N.C.<sup>1</sup></b>
<b>Total Hate Crimes</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>-16.8</b>

<sup>1</sup> not calculable

# CALLS FOR SERVICE


## JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 - 2015)

	2014	2015	Variance (Actual)	Variance (%)
<b>Total Citizen Generated Calls for Service</b>	<b>220,546</b>	<b>208,211</b>	<b>-12,335</b>	<b>-5.6</b>
Dispatched Calls for Service	101,027	102,317	1,290	1.3
Calls Diverted Community Resource Centre and Alternative Reporting Unit	11,944	11,103	-841	-7.0
Calls Diverted to Online Reporting	7,713	8,700	987	12.8


### 1 Year Comparison:

As indicated above, 208,211 Citizen Generated Calls for Service were received in 2015 compared to 220,546 during the corresponding period in 2014. This represents a decrease of 12,335 calls or 5.6%.

Calls to Communications Bureau			
	2014	2015	Variance (%)
911 Calls	233,666	216,899	-7.2
Non-Emergency Calls	383,306	389,255	1.6
<b>Total</b>	<b>616,972</b>	<b>606,154</b>	<b>-1.8</b>



Priority	Call Response Time <sup>1</sup>		2014/15
	2014	2015	Variance (%)
<b>Emergency Priority 1</b>	8.8	8.2	-6.8
<b>High Priority Priority 2</b>	22.9	20.6	-10.0
<b>Medium Priority Priority 3</b>	46.1	43.3	-6.1
<b>Lowest Priority Priority 4</b>	56.2	48.2	-14.1



<sup>1</sup> the time between the Communicator entering the information and the officers advising that they arrived on scene.

Note: In 2015 YRP modified the default priority for some call types. This change in methodology could affect call response time comparisons for 2015 compared to previous years.

# CALLS FOR SERVICE

## JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 - 2015)

Initial Call Type Comparison	2014		2015		2014/15 VARIANCE	
	Calls	%	Calls	%	Calls	%
ABANDONED VEHICLE	246	0.1	254	0.1	8	3.3
ABDUCTION	17	0.0	7	0.0	-10	-58.8
ABUSE CHILD	102	0.0	81	0.0	-21	-20.6
ABUSE ELDER	50	0.0	70	0.0	20	40.0
ACCIDENT INDUSTRIAL / FARM	387	0.2	350	0.2	-37	-9.6
ADVISED 911 CALL	71,606	32.5	57,485	27.6	-14,121	-19.7
AIRCRAFT	5	0.0	6	0.0	1	20.0
ALARM RELATED	18,468	8.4	15,648	7.5	-2,820	-15.3
ANIMAL COMPLAINT	951	0.4	1147	0.6	196	20.6
ARMED BARRICADED PERSON	6	0.0	7	0.0	1	16.7
ASSAULT RELATED	2,153	1.0	2,215	1.1	62	2.9
ASSIST AMBULANCE	3,535	1.6	3,558	1.7	23	0.7
ASSIST CITIZEN	4,064	1.8	3,749	1.8	-315	-7.8
ASSIST P.O. OR OTHER AGENCY	4,165	1.9	4,341	2.1	176	4.2
BOAT IN DISTRESS	75	0.0	85	0.0	10	13.3
BREACH OF CONDITIONS OR PROBATION	732	0.3	722	0.3	-10	-1.4
BREAK IN RELATED	2,425	1.1	2,774	1.3	349	14.4
BY LAW	85	0.0	112	0.1	27	31.8
CHECK WELFARE	3,077	1.4	3,535	1.7	458	14.9
DISPUTE	2,649	1.2	2,664	1.3	15	0.6
DISTURBANCE	957	0.4	934	0.4	-23	-2.4
DOMESTIC RELATED	7,813	3.5	8,225	4.0	412	5.3
DRIVING COMPLAINT / HAZARD	3,053	1.4	2,752	1.3	-301	-9.9
DRUGS	860	0.4	896	0.4	36	4.2
ELECTRONIC CRIME	477	0.2	149	0.1	-328	-68.8
ER006 RESPONSE	45	0.0	55	0.0	10	22.2
ESCAPE/ELOPEE	7	0.0	4	0.0	-3	-42.9
FALSE/ACCIDENTAL RESIDENTIAL 911 CALL <sup>1</sup>	18	0.0	281	0.1	263	1461.1
FIRE	1,669	0.8	1,887	0.9	218	13.1
FRAUD RELATED	2,788	1.3	3,380	1.6	592	21.2
GAS DRIVE OFFS	91	0.0	37	0.0	-54	-59.3
HARASSMENT RELATED	1,277	0.6	1,231	0.6	-46	-3.6
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL RELATED	276	0.1	260	0.1	-16	-5.8
IMPAIRED	3,705	1.7	4,255	2.0	550	14.8
INDECENT ACT	143	0.1	139	0.1	-4	-2.8
INFORMATIONAL CALL <sup>2</sup>	207	0.1	382	0.2	175	84.5
INJURED PERSON	2,221	1.0	2,020	1.0	-201	-9.0
INSECURE PREMISE	209	0.1	273	0.1	64	30.6
INTELLIGENCE REPORT	37	0.0	15	0.0	-22	-59.5
KEEP THE PEACE	788	0.4	895	0.4	107	13.6

Initial Call Type Comparison	2014		2015		2014/15 VARIANCE	
	Calls	%	Calls	%	Calls	%
LIQUOR OFFENCE	506	0.2	482	0.2	-24	-4.7
MENTAL HEALTH RELATED	3,744	1.7	3,818	1.8	74	2.0
MISSING PERSON RELATED	1,901	0.9	1,780	0.9	-121	-6.4
MVC RELATED	27,901	12.7	27,127	13.0	-774	-2.8
NOISE COMPLAINT	3,132	1.4	3,027	1.5	-105	-3.4
ONLINE REPORTS	7,713	3.5	8,700	4.2	987	12.8
PARKING COMPLAINT	292	0.1	233	0.1	-59	-20.2
PROJECT READY	108	0.0	92	0.0	-16	-14.8
PROPERTY DAMAGE	1,536	0.7	1,671	0.8	135	8.8
PROPERTY FOUND	631	0.3	726	0.3	95	15.1
PROPERTY LOST	740	0.3	340	0.2	-400	-54.1
PROWLER	50	0.0	32	0.0	-18	-36.0
RECOVERED AUTO	189	0.1	200	0.1	11	5.8
ROBBERY RELATED	232	0.1	235	0.1	3	1.3
SEXUAL ASSAULT / SEX OFFENCE RELATED	546	0.2	741	0.4	195	35.7
SHOPLIFTING	1,748	0.8	1,815	0.9	67	3.8
SILENT 911	9,380	4.3	7,261	3.5	-2,119	-22.6
SILENT 911 SECURITY TO CHECK/ALL OK <sup>3</sup>	1,870	0.8	4,555	2.2	2,685	143.6
SOUND OF GUNSHOTS	199	0.1	183	0.1	-16	-8.0
STALKING	26	0.0	19	0.0	-7	-26.9
STRIKES	8	0.0	22	0.0	14	175.0
SUSPECT APPREHENSION PURSUIT TRAFFIC	2	0.0	1	0.0	-1	-50.0
SUSPICIOUS PERSON	1,977	0.9	2,375	1.1	398	20.1
SUSPICIOUS VEHICLE	1,777	0.8	2,075	1.0	298	16.8
THEFT RELATED	4,481	2.0	4,857	2.3	376	8.4
THEFT OF VEHICLE RELATED	1,087	0.5	1,112	0.5	25	2.3
THREATS	1,600	0.7	1,633	0.8	33	2.1
TRAFFIC CONTROL	40	0.0	70	0.0	30	75.0
TRANSPORT WEAPON	116	0.1	145	0.1	29	25.0
TRESPASSING	300	0.1	328	0.2	28	9.3
UNKNOWN TROUBLE	1,221	0.6	1,173	0.6	-48	-3.9
UNWANTED PERSON	1,528	0.7	1,775	0.9	247	16.2
VITAL SIGNS ABSENT	430	0.2	476	0.2	46	10.7
WANTED PERSON	784	0.4	948	0.5	164	20.9
WEAPONS CALL	622	0.3	667	0.3	45	7.2
YOUTH COMPLAINT	690	0.3	637	0.3	-53	-7.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>220,546</b>		<b>208,211</b>		<b>-12,335</b>	<b>-5.6</b>

NC - not calculable

Note: not all calls result in a report.

<sup>1</sup> Implemented October, 2014

<sup>2</sup> Implemented February, 2014

<sup>3</sup> Implemented July, 2014

# MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICS

JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014	2015	2014/15 Variance (%)
<b>Alarm Program</b>			
Alarm Calls Received	18,516	15,314	-17.3
False Alarms	18,408	15,099	-18.0
False Alarm (%)	99.4	98.6	-0.8
Suspensions	2,769	N.A. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>
Cautions	932	N.A. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>2</sup>
<b>Crime Stoppers</b>			
Tips Received	1,467	2,086	42.2
Arrests Made	41	57	39.0
Cases Cleared	43	55	27.9
Property Recovered	\$61,830	\$26,422	-57.3
Weapons Seized	10	5	-50.0
Drugs Seized	\$728,396	\$41,651	-94.3
Rewards Authorized	\$13,660	\$19,995	46.4
<b>Information Management</b>			
Police Clearance Letters	33,876	28,550	-15.7
Volunteer Screening	41,760	41,848	0.2
MVC/Incident Summary Reports	10,889	8,129	-25.3
Warrants Processed	1,036	1,213	17.1
<b>Legal Services</b>			
<i>Freedom of Information</i>			
Formal requests	1,279	1,331	4.1
Informal requests	2,126	2,303	8.3
Total	3,405	3,634	6.7
<b>Intimate Domestic Incidents</b>			
Total Number of Occurrences <sup>3</sup>	4,652	4,998	7.4
Occurrences where Charges Laid <sup>3</sup>	1,186	1,296	9.3
Occurrences Other/No Probable Grounds for Charges <sup>3</sup>	3,466	3,702	6.8
Domestic Violence Homicides (# of Incidents)	1	1	0.0
<b>Victim Services of York Region</b>			
On-Scene Occurrences	401	663	65.3
Telephone Crisis Calls/Office Visits	8,341	9,192	10.2
Total Clients Served	7,938	6,619	-16.6
Female	5,845	3,947	-32.5
Male	1,025	905	-11.7
Children (0-17 years)	1,068	1,767	65.4

<sup>1</sup> Not available. Suspensions and cautions are not applicable as YRP transitioned from the registration model to the cost-recovery model.


<sup>2</sup> Not calculable.

<sup>3</sup> 2014 Figures have been revised.



# DISTRICT 1

(NEWMARKET, EAST GWILLIMBURY, AURORA, NORTH KING, NORTH WHITCHURCH-STOUFFVILLE)





# CRIME STATISTICS

## DISTRICT 1

### JANUARY - DECEMBER (2014– 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15	
	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	<b>1,172</b>	<b>78.8</b>	<b>608.76</b>	<b>1,302</b>	<b>74.3</b>	<b>666.90</b>	<b>11.1</b>	<b>9.6</b>
Violations Causing Death	1	100.0	0.52	1	100.0	0.51	0.0	-1.4
Attempt Capital Crime	1	100.0	0.52	1	100.0	0.51	0.0	-1.4
Sexual Violations	84	60.7	43.63	125	61.6	64.03	48.8	46.7
Assault	681	83.7	353.73	710	82.3	363.67	4.3	2.8
Violations Deprivation Freedom	16	87.5	8.31	23	73.9	11.78	43.8	41.8
Robbery & Other Violent Violations	389	73.8	202.05	442	64.9	226.40	13.6	12.0
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	<b>3,231</b>	<b>43.0</b>	<b>1,678.25</b>	<b>3,639</b>	<b>41.7</b>	<b>1,863.94</b>	<b>12.6</b>	<b>11.1</b>
Arson	11	45.5	5.71	10	20.0	5.12	-9.1	-10.4
Break and Enter	278	23.7	144.40	341	27.6	174.66	22.7	21.0
Theft Over \$5000	113	29.2	58.69	106	26.4	54.29	-6.2	-7.5
Theft Under \$5000	1,421	36.1	738.10	1,692	35.9	866.66	19.1	17.4
Have Stolen Goods	341	101.8	177.12	423	100.2	216.67	24.0	22.3
Fraud	363	51.8	188.55	399	30.8	204.37	9.9	8.4
Mischief	704	33.8	365.67	668	35.6	342.16	-5.1	-6.4
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	<b>932</b>	<b>95.5</b>	<b>484.10</b>	<b>1,058</b>	<b>95.7</b>	<b>541.92</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>11.9</b>
Other Criminal Code (Part A)	840	95.6	436.31	983	96.5	503.50	17.0	15.4
Other Criminal Code (Part B)	92	94.6	47.79	75	85.3	38.42	-18.5	-19.6
<b>Weapons Violations</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>86.1</b>	<b>37.40</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>76.6</b>	<b>39.44</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>5.5</b>
<b>Public Morals Violations</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>49.0</b>	<b>26.49</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>61.5</b>	<b>26.63</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>0.5</b>
Prostitution/Public Morals	51	49.0	26.49	52	61.5	26.63	2.0	0.5
Gaming and Betting	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>5,458</b>	<b>60.3</b>	<b>2,835.00</b>	<b>6,128</b>	<b>58.6</b>	<b>3,138.83</b>	<b>12.3</b>	<b>10.7</b>
<b>Drug Violations</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>97.8</b>	<b>168.81</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>97.4</b>	<b>180.30</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>6.8</b>
<b>Other Federal Violations</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>81.0</b>	<b>10.91</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>103.1</b>	<b>16.39</b>	<b>52.4</b>	<b>50.3</b>
<b>Total Criminal Code &amp; Federal Violations (excluding traffic)</b>	<b>5,804</b>	<b>62.5</b>	<b>3,014.72</b>	<b>6,512</b>	<b>60.9</b>	<b>3,335.52</b>	<b>12.2</b>	<b>10.6</b>
<b>Traffic Violations</b>	<b>722</b>	<b>39.5</b>	<b>375.02</b>	<b>742</b>	<b>41.6</b>	<b>380.06</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.3</b>
Dangerous Operation	61	101.6	31.68	59	86.4	30.22	-3.3	-4.6
Impaired Operation/Related Violations	184	100.0	95.57	204	99.5	104.49	10.9	9.3
Other Criminal Code Traffic Violations	477	8.2	247.76	478	11.3	244.84	0.2	-1.2
Street Racing	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	1	100.0	0.51	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> not calculable

Note: District crime statistics may not equal regional figures due to investigations which occur outside York Region



# CLEARANCE RATE

## DISTRICT 1


### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 - 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15
	Actual	Total Cleared	Cleared (%)	Actual	Total Cleared	Cleared (%)	Variance Cleared (%)
Crimes Against Persons	1,172	924	78.8	1,302	967	74.3	-4.6
Crimes Against Property	3,231	1,390	43.0	3,639	1,517	41.7	-1.3
Other Criminal Code	932	890	95.5	1,058	1,013	95.7	0.3
Weapons Violations	72	62	86.1	77	59	76.6	-9.5
Public Morals Violations	51	25	49.0	52	32	61.5	12.5
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>5,458</b>	<b>3,291</b>	<b>60.3</b>	<b>6,128</b>	<b>3,588</b>	<b>58.6</b>	<b>-1.7</b>
Drug Violations	325	318	97.8	352	343	97.4	-0.4
Other Federal Violations	21	17	81.0	32	33	103.1	22.2
<b>Total Criminal &amp; Federal Violations (excluding Traffic)</b>	<b>5,804</b>	<b>3,626</b>	<b>62.5</b>	<b>6,512</b>	<b>3,964</b>	<b>60.9</b>	<b>-1.6</b>
Traffic Violations	722	285	39.5	742	309	41.6	2.2

Note: due to rounding, figures may not subtract exactly to variance cleared.



# DISTRICT 2 (RICHMOND HILL, WEST MARKHAM)



# CRIME STATISTICS

## DISTRICT 2

### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15	
	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	<b>1,344</b>	<b>74.8</b>	<b>457.98</b>	<b>1,468</b>	<b>74.2</b>	<b>490.82</b>	<b>9.2</b>	<b>7.2</b>
Violations Causing Death	5	20.0	1.70	2	200.0	0.67	-60.0	-60.8
Attempt Capital Crime	9	77.8	3.07	4	125.0	1.34	-55.6	-56.4
Sexual Violations	106	73.6	36.12	130	78.5	43.47	22.6	20.3
Assault	656	84.0	223.54	746	81.0	249.42	13.7	11.6
Violations Deprivation Freedom	30	73.3	10.22	30	86.7	10.03	0.0	-1.9
Robbery & Other Violent Violations	538	64.3	183.33	556	62.6	185.90	3.3	1.4
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	<b>3,859</b>	<b>42.2</b>	<b>1,314.98</b>	<b>4,201</b>	<b>44.3</b>	<b>1,404.59</b>	<b>8.9</b>	<b>6.8</b>
Arson	10	10.0	3.41	10	20.0	3.34	0.0	-1.9
Break and Enter	505	21.2	172.08	575	30.6	192.25	13.9	11.7
Theft Over \$5000	216	19.0	73.60	220	25.5	73.56	1.9	-0.1
Theft Under \$5000	1,492	41.2	508.41	1,636	43.8	546.99	9.7	7.6
Have Stolen Goods	455	100.7	155.04	451	100.4	150.79	-0.9	-2.7
Fraud	559	35.4	190.48	717	33.5	239.73	28.3	25.9
Mischief	622	33.4	211.95	592	36.7	197.93	-4.8	-6.6
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	<b>635</b>	<b>93.1</b>	<b>216.38</b>	<b>718</b>	<b>92.6</b>	<b>240.06</b>	<b>13.1</b>	<b>10.9</b>
Other Criminal Code (Part A)	538	95.5	183.33	613	92.0	204.96	13.9	11.8
Other Criminal Code (Part B)	97	79.4	33.05	105	96.2	35.11	8.2	6.2
<b>Weapons Violations</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>87.2</b>	<b>26.58</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>74.4</b>	<b>30.09</b>	<b>15.4</b>	<b>13.2</b>
<b>Public Morals Violations</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>69.8</b>	<b>18.06</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>102.0</b>	<b>16.72</b>	<b>-5.7</b>	<b>-7.4</b>
Prostitution/Public Morals	52	71.2	17.72	50	102.0	16.72	-3.8	-5.7
Gaming and Betting	1	0.0	0.34	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	-100.0	-100.0
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>5,969</b>	<b>55.8</b>	<b>2,033.97</b>	<b>6,527</b>	<b>57.2</b>	<b>2,182.29</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>7.3</b>
<b>Drug Violations</b>	<b>599</b>	<b>99.8</b>	<b>204.11</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>99.0</b>	<b>175.20</b>	<b>-12.5</b>	<b>-14.2</b>
<b>Other Federal Violations</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>111.1</b>	<b>3.07</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>2.67</b>	<b>-11.1</b>	<b>-12.8</b>
<b>Total Criminal Code &amp; Federal Violations (excluding traffic)</b>	<b>6,577</b>	<b>59.8</b>	<b>2,241.15</b>	<b>7,059</b>	<b>60.3</b>	<b>2,360.16</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>5.3</b>
<b>Traffic Violations</b>	<b>903</b>	<b>26.2</b>	<b>307.70</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>39.4</b>	<b>290.21</b>	<b>-3.9</b>	<b>-5.7</b>
Dangerous Operation	36	97.2	12.27	67	89.6	22.40	86.1	82.6
Impaired Operation/Related Violations	164	101.2	55.88	219	100.0	73.22	33.5	31.0
Other Criminal Code Traffic Violations	703	5.1	239.55	580	10.5	193.92	-17.5	-19.0
Street Racing	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	2	100.0	0.67	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> not calculable

Note: District crime statistics may not equal regional figures due to investigations which occur outside York Region.



# CLEARANCE RATE

## DISTRICT 2


### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15
	Actual	Total Cleared	Cleared (%)	Actual	Total Cleared	Cleared (%)	Variance Cleared (%)
Crimes Against Persons	1,344	1,005	74.8	1,468	1,089	74.2	-0.6
Crimes Against Property	3,859	1,627	42.2	4,201	1,860	44.3	2.1
Other Criminal Code	635	591	93.1	718	665	92.6	-0.5
Weapons Violations	78	68	87.2	90	67	74.4	-12.7
Public Morals Violations	53	37	69.8	50	51	102.0	32.2
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>5,969</b>	<b>3,328</b>	<b>55.8</b>	<b>6,527</b>	<b>3,732</b>	<b>57.2</b>	<b>1.4</b>
Drug Violations	599	598	99.8	524	519	99.0	-0.8
Other Federal Violations	9	10	111.1	8	8	100.0	-11.1
<b>Total Criminal &amp; Federal Violations (excluding Traffic)</b>	<b>6,577</b>	<b>3,936</b>	<b>59.8</b>	<b>7,059</b>	<b>4,259</b>	<b>60.3</b>	<b>0.5</b>
Traffic Violations	903	237	26.2	868	342	39.4	13.2

Note: due to rounding, figures may not subtract exactly to variance cleared.



# DISTRICT 3 (GEORGINA)



# CRIME STATISTICS

## DISTRICT 3

### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15	
	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	<b>483</b>	<b>91.7</b>	<b>1,030.16</b>	<b>489</b>	<b>83.8</b>	<b>1,029.37</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>-0.1</b>
Violations Causing Death	1	100.0	2.13	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	-100.0	-100.0
Attempt Capital Crime	1	100.0	2.13	1	100.0	2.11	0.0	-1.3
Sexual Violations	27	81.5	57.59	43	58.1	90.52	59.3	57.2
Assault	295	95.3	629.19	258	91.9	543.10	-12.5	-13.7
Violations Deprivation Freedom	6	83.3	12.80	5	100.0	10.53	-16.7	-17.8
Robbery & Other Violent Violations	153	86.9	326.32	182	78.0	383.12	19.0	17.4
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	<b>1,021</b>	<b>49.9</b>	<b>2,177.62</b>	<b>970</b>	<b>45.3</b>	<b>2,041.89</b>	<b>-5.0</b>	<b>-6.2</b>
Arson	8	50.0	17.06	10	10.0	21.05	25.0	23.4
Break and Enter	122	36.1	260.21	137	30.7	288.39	12.3	10.8
Theft Over \$5000	43	34.9	91.71	51	23.5	107.36	18.6	17.1
Theft Under \$5000	394	37.6	840.34	340	39.4	715.71	-13.7	-14.8
Have Stolen Goods	125	101.6	266.60	106	98.1	223.13	-15.2	-16.3
Fraud	60	56.7	127.97	84	32.1	176.82	40.0	38.2
Mischief	269	50.9	573.73	242	49.2	509.42	-10.0	-11.2
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>95.9</b>	<b>575.86</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>97.2</b>	<b>446.27</b>	<b>-21.5</b>	<b>-22.5</b>
Other Criminal Code (Part A)	251	96.8	535.34	184	98.4	387.33	-26.7	-27.6
Other Criminal Code (Part B)	19	84.2	40.52	28	89.3	58.94	47.4	45.4
<b>Weapons Violations</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>61.85</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>91.2</b>	<b>71.57</b>	<b>17.2</b>	<b>15.7</b>
<b>Public Morals Violations</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>93.3</b>	<b>31.99</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>114.3</b>	<b>14.74</b>	<b>-53.3</b>	<b>-53.9</b>
Prostitution/Public Morals	15	93.3	31.99	7	114.3	14.74	-53.3	-53.9
Gaming and Betting	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>1,818</b>	<b>69.0</b>	<b>3,877.49</b>	<b>1,712</b>	<b>63.9</b>	<b>3,603.83</b>	<b>-5.8</b>	<b>-7.1</b>
<b>Drug Violations</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>94.8</b>	<b>366.85</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>345.23</b>	<b>-4.7</b>	<b>-5.9</b>
<b>Other Federal Violations</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>390.31</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>100.6</b>	<b>368.38</b>	<b>-4.4</b>	<b>-5.6</b>
<b>Total Criminal Code &amp; Federal Violations (excluding traffic)</b>	<b>2,173</b>	<b>73.6</b>	<b>4,634.65</b>	<b>2,051</b>	<b>69.9</b>	<b>4,317.44</b>	<b>-5.6</b>	<b>-6.8</b>
<b>Traffic Violations</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>62.7</b>	<b>452.16</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>57.2</b>	<b>437.85</b>	<b>-1.9</b>	<b>-3.2</b>
Dangerous Operation	24	87.5	51.19	19	89.5	40.00	-20.8	-21.9
Impaired Operation/Related Violations	97	97.9	206.88	81	101.2	170.51	-16.5	-17.6
Other Criminal Code Traffic Violations	91	18.7	194.09	108	18.5	227.34	18.7	17.1
Street Racing	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> not calculable

Note: District crime statistics may not equal regional figures due to investigations which occur outside York Region.





# CLEARANCE RATE

## DISTRICT 3


### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15
	Actual	Total Cleared	Cleared (%)	Actual	Total Cleared	Cleared (%)	Variance Cleared (%)
Crimes Against Persons	483	443	91.7	489	410	83.8	-7.9
Crimes Against Property	1,021	509	49.9	970	439	45.3	-4.6
Other Criminal Code	270	259	95.9	212	206	97.2	1.2
Weapons Violations	29	29	100.0	34	31	91.2	-8.8
Public Morals Violations	15	14	93.3	7	8	114.3	21.0
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>1,818</b>	<b>1,254</b>	<b>69.0</b>	<b>1,712</b>	<b>1,094</b>	<b>63.9</b>	<b>-5.1</b>
Drug Violations	172	163	94.8	164	164	100.0	5.2
Other Federal Violations	183	183	100.0	175	176	100.6	0.6
<b>Total Criminal &amp; Federal Violations (excluding Traffic)</b>	<b>2,173</b>	<b>1,600</b>	<b>73.6</b>	<b>2,051</b>	<b>1,434</b>	<b>69.9</b>	<b>-3.7</b>
Traffic Violations	212	133	62.7	208	119	57.2	-5.5

Note: due to rounding, figures may not subtract exactly to variance cleared.



# DISTRICT 4 (VAUGHAN, SOUTH KING)



# CRIME STATISTICS

## DISTRICT 4

### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15	
	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	<b>1,815</b>	<b>76.6</b>	<b>605.60</b>	<b>1,905</b>	<b>76.7</b>	<b>624.12</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>3.1</b>
Violations Causing Death	5	40.0	1.67	5	80.0	1.64	0.0	-1.8
Attempt Capital Crime	6	33.3	2.00	4	150.0	1.31	-33.3	-34.5
Sexual Violations	99	74.7	33.03	89	73.0	29.16	-10.1	-11.7
Assault	951	83.7	317.31	978	84.7	320.41	2.8	1.0
Violations Deprivation Freedom	26	96.2	8.68	33	81.8	10.81	26.9	24.6
Robbery & Other Violent Violations	728	67.6	242.91	796	66.8	260.79	9.3	7.4
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	<b>6,374</b>	<b>45.7</b>	<b>2,126.76</b>	<b>7,218</b>	<b>43.6</b>	<b>2,364.77</b>	<b>13.2</b>	<b>11.2</b>
Arson	11	18.2	3.67	22	22.7	7.21	100.0	96.4
Break and Enter	487	14.4	162.49	675	17.0	221.14	38.6	36.1
Theft Over \$5000	474	18.1	158.16	528	18.6	172.98	11.4	9.4
Theft Under \$5000	2,932	41.1	978.30	3,224	40.2	1,056.25	10.0	8.0
Have Stolen Goods	950	99.5	316.98	1,039	101.1	340.40	9.4	7.4
Fraud	779	41.7	259.92	915	33.0	299.77	17.5	15.3
Mischief	741	38.3	247.24	815	34.2	267.01	10.0	8.0
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	<b>531</b>	<b>85.5</b>	<b>177.17</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>91.4</b>	<b>201.49</b>	<b>15.8</b>	<b>13.7</b>
Other Criminal Code (Part A)	439	87.0	146.48	506	96.0	165.78	15.3	13.2
Other Criminal Code (Part B)	92	78.3	30.70	109	69.7	35.71	18.5	16.3
<b>Weapons Violations</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>85.3</b>	<b>34.03</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>79.0</b>	<b>45.21</b>	<b>35.3</b>	<b>32.8</b>
<b>Public Morals Violations</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>62.2</b>	<b>15.01</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>75.0</b>	<b>11.79</b>	<b>-20.0</b>	<b>-21.4</b>
Prostitution/Public Morals	43	65.1	14.35	34	79.4	11.14	-20.9	-22.4
Gaming and Betting	2	0.0	0.67	2	0.0	0.66	0.0	-1.8
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>8,867</b>	<b>55.0</b>	<b>2,958.58</b>	<b>9,912</b>	<b>53.5</b>	<b>3,247.38</b>	<b>11.8</b>	<b>9.8</b>
<b>Drug Violations</b>	<b>709</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>236.57</b>	<b>714</b>	<b>99.0</b>	<b>233.92</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>-1.1</b>
<b>Other Federal Violations</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>88.9</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>107.1</b>	<b>4.59</b>	<b>55.6</b>	<b>52.7</b>
<b>Total Criminal Code &amp; Federal Violations (excluding traffic)</b>	<b>9,585</b>	<b>58.4</b>	<b>3,198.14</b>	<b>10,640</b>	<b>56.6</b>	<b>3,485.88</b>	<b>11.0</b>	<b>9.0</b>
<b>Traffic Violations</b>	<b>1,389</b>	<b>38.4</b>	<b>463.46</b>	<b>1,419</b>	<b>43.3</b>	<b>464.89</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>0.3</b>
Dangerous Operation	118	88.1	39.37	138	85.5	45.21	16.9	14.8
Impaired Operation/Related Violations	363	100.0	121.12	396	99.7	129.74	9.1	7.1
Other Criminal Code Traffic Violations	905	7.0	301.96	881	11.0	288.63	-2.7	-4.4
Street Racing	3	133.3	1.00	4	100.0	1.31	33.3	30.9

Note: District crime statistics may not equal regional figures due to investigations which occur outside York Region.



# CLEARANCE RATE

## DISTRICT 4

### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15 Variance Cleared (%)
	Actual	Total Cleared	Cleared (%)	Actual	Total Cleared	Cleared (%)	
Crimes Against Persons	1,815	1,391	76.6	1,905	1,462	76.7	0.1
Crimes Against Property	6,374	2,916	45.7	7,218	3,145	43.6	-2.2
Other Criminal Code	531	454	85.5	615	562	91.4	5.9
Weapons Violations	102	87	85.3	138	109	79.0	-6.3
Public Morals Violations	45	28	62.2	36	27	75.0	12.8
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>8,867</b>	<b>4,876</b>	<b>55.0</b>	<b>9,912</b>	<b>5,305</b>	<b>53.5</b>	<b>-1.5</b>
Drug Violations	709	709	100.0	714	707	99.0	-1.0
Other Federal Violations	9	8	88.9	14	15	107.1	18.3
<b>Total Criminal &amp; Federal Violations (excluding Traffic)</b>	<b>9,585</b>	<b>5,593</b>	<b>58.4</b>	<b>10,640</b>	<b>6,027</b>	<b>56.6</b>	<b>-1.7</b>
Traffic Violations	1,389	534	38.4	1,419	614	43.3	4.8


Note: due to rounding, figures may not subtract exactly to variance cleared.





# DISTRICT 5

(MARKHAM, SOUTH WHITCHURCH - STOUFFVILLE)



# CRIME STATISTICS

## DISTRICT 5

### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15	
	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	<b>1,227</b>	<b>80.0</b>	<b>393.04</b>	<b>1,259</b>	<b>76.1</b>	<b>394.35</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>0.3</b>
Violations Causing Death	2	100.0	0.64	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	-100.0	-100.0
Attempt Capital Crime	1	100.0	0.32	3	100.0	0.94	200.0	193.3
Sexual Violations	74	74.3	23.70	95	74.7	29.76	28.4	25.5
Assault	679	85.3	217.50	641	86.3	200.77	-5.6	-7.7
Violations Deprivation Freedom	24	87.5	7.69	22	95.5	6.89	-8.3	-10.4
Robbery & Other Violent Violations	447	72.5	143.19	498	62.2	155.98	11.4	8.9
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	<b>3,493</b>	<b>40.9</b>	<b>1,118.90</b>	<b>3,815</b>	<b>39.1</b>	<b>1,194.94</b>	<b>9.2</b>	<b>6.8</b>
Arson	16	12.5	5.13	13	0.0	4.07	-18.8	-20.6
Break and Enter	453	21.9	145.11	560	18.9	175.40	23.6	20.9
Theft Over \$5000	184	20.1	58.94	190	24.2	59.51	3.3	1.0
Theft Under \$5000	1,354	35.7	433.72	1,385	37.8	433.81	2.3	0.0
Have Stolen Goods	379	98.7	121.40	374	101.3	117.14	-1.3	-3.5
Fraud	530	40.6	169.77	691	32.9	216.44	30.4	27.5
Mischief	577	38.0	184.83	602	34.7	188.56	4.3	2.0
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	<b>512</b>	<b>86.1</b>	<b>164.01</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>90.2</b>	<b>150.97</b>	<b>-5.9</b>	<b>-7.9</b>
Other Criminal Code (Part A)	442	86.7	141.58	411	90.5	128.73	-7.0	-9.1
Other Criminal Code (Part B)	70	82.9	22.42	71	88.7	22.24	1.4	-0.8
<b>Weapons Violations</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>82.7</b>	<b>24.02</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>75.3</b>	<b>24.12</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>0.4</b>
<b>Public Morals Violations</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>82.9</b>	<b>11.21</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>85.4</b>	<b>12.84</b>	<b>17.1</b>	<b>14.5</b>
Prostitution/Public Morals	30	96.7	9.61	39	82.1	12.22	30.0	27.1
Gaming and Betting	5	0.0	1.60	2	150.0	0.63	-60.0	-60.9
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>5,342</b>	<b>55.1</b>	<b>1,711.18</b>	<b>5,674</b>	<b>52.4</b>	<b>1,777.22</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>3.9</b>
<b>Drug Violations</b>	<b>962</b>	<b>99.1</b>	<b>308.15</b>	<b>814</b>	<b>98.9</b>	<b>254.96</b>	<b>-15.4</b>	<b>-17.3</b>
<b>Other Federal Violations</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>1.60</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>1.88</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>17.3</b>
<b>Total Criminal Code &amp; Federal Violations (excluding traffic)</b>	<b>6,309</b>	<b>61.8</b>	<b>2,020.94</b>	<b>6,494</b>	<b>58.3</b>	<b>2,034.06</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>0.6</b>
<b>Traffic Violations</b>	<b>971</b>	<b>42.4</b>	<b>311.04</b>	<b>1,033</b>	<b>44.8</b>	<b>323.56</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>4.0</b>
Dangerous Operation	65	87.7	20.82	76	81.6	23.80	16.9	14.3
Impaired Operation/Related Violations	295	99.3	94.50	348	100.0	109.00	18.0	15.3
Other Criminal Code Traffic Violations	610	10.0	195.40	607	8.4	190.13	-0.5	-2.7
Street Racing	1	100.0	0.32	2	100.0	0.63	100.0	95.6

Note: District crime statistics may not equal regional figures due to investigations which occur outside York Region.



# CLEARANCE RATE

## DISTRICT 5

### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15 Variance Cleared (%)
	Actual	Total Cleared	Cleared (%)	Actual	Total Cleared	Cleared (%)	
Crimes Against Persons	1,227	982	80.0	1,259	958	76.1	-3.9
Crimes Against Property	3,493	1,429	40.9	3,815	1,490	39.1	-1.9
Other Criminal Code	512	441	86.1	482	435	90.2	4.1
Weapons Violations	75	62	82.7	77	58	75.3	-7.3
Public Morals Violations	35	29	82.9	41	35	85.4	2.5
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>5,342</b>	<b>2,943</b>	<b>55.1</b>	<b>5,674</b>	<b>2,976</b>	<b>52.4</b>	<b>-2.6</b>
Drug Violations	962	953	99.1	814	805	98.9	-0.2
Other Federal Violations	5	5	100.0	6	6	100.0	0.0
<b>Total Criminal &amp; Federal Violations (excluding Traffic)</b>	<b>6,309</b>	<b>3,901</b>	<b>61.8</b>	<b>6,494</b>	<b>3,787</b>	<b>58.3</b>	<b>-3.5</b>
Traffic Violations	971	412	42.4	1,033	463	44.8	2.4

Note: due to rounding, figures may not subtract exactly to variance cleared.





# CRIME STATISTICS

## AURORA

### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15	
	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>74.6</b>	<b>510.97</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>73.3</b>	<b>637.15</b>	<b>27.9</b>	<b>24.7</b>
Violations Causing Death	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Attempt Capital Crime	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Sexual Violations	33	48.5	58.75	30	83.3	52.08	-9.1	-11.4
Assault	156	83.3	277.74	197	76.6	342.01	26.3	23.1
Violations Deprivation Freedom	6	83.3	10.68	6	50.0	10.42	0.0	-2.5
Robbery & Other Violent Violations	92	68.5	163.79	134	67.2	232.64	45.7	42.0
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	<b>824</b>	<b>40.0</b>	<b>1,467.03</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>33.3</b>	<b>1,538.19</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>4.9</b>
Arson	5	60.0	8.90	2	0.0	3.47	-60.0	-61.0
Break and Enter	76	34.2	135.31	91	27.5	157.99	19.7	16.8
Theft Over \$5000	21	38.1	37.39	23	0.0	39.93	9.5	6.8
Theft Under \$5000	346	30.6	616.01	419	26.7	727.43	21.1	18.1
Have Stolen Goods	73	104.1	129.97	79	100.0	137.15	8.2	5.5
Fraud	115	47.0	204.74	109	27.5	189.24	-5.2	-7.6
Mischief	188	30.3	334.71	163	30.1	282.99	-13.3	-15.5
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>88.0</b>	<b>192.28</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>90.6</b>	<b>222.22</b>	<b>18.5</b>	<b>15.6</b>
Other Criminal Code (Part A)	82	90.2	145.99	113	87.6	196.18	37.8	34.4
Other Criminal Code (Part B)	26	80.8	46.29	15	113.3	26.04	-42.3	-43.7
<b>Weapons Violations</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>95.5</b>	<b>39.17</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>81.0</b>	<b>36.46</b>	<b>-4.5</b>	<b>-6.9</b>
<b>Public Morals Violations</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>32.0</b>	<b>44.51</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>66.7</b>	<b>41.67</b>	<b>-4.0</b>	<b>-6.4</b>
Prostitution/Public Morals	25	32.0	44.51	24	66.7	41.67	-4.0	-6.4
Gaming and Betting	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>1,266</b>	<b>52.8</b>	<b>2,253.95</b>	<b>1,426</b>	<b>50.0</b>	<b>2,475.69</b>	<b>12.6</b>	<b>9.8</b>
<b>Drug Violations</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>90.1</b>	<b>162.01</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>96.0</b>	<b>175.35</b>	<b>11.0</b>	<b>8.2</b>
<b>Other Federal Violations</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>3.56</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>5.21</b>	<b>50.0</b>	<b>46.3</b>
<b>Total Criminal Code &amp; Federal Violations (excluding traffic)</b>	<b>1,359</b>	<b>55.3</b>	<b>2,419.53</b>	<b>1,530</b>	<b>53.1</b>	<b>2,656.25</b>	<b>12.6</b>	<b>9.8</b>
<b>Traffic Violations</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>46.5</b>	<b>352.51</b>	<b>176</b>	<b>36.9</b>	<b>305.56</b>	<b>-11.1</b>	<b>-13.3</b>
Dangerous Operation	12	116.7	21.36	9	66.7	15.63	-25.0	-26.9
Impaired Operation/Related Violations	69	98.6	122.85	46	102.2	79.86	-33.3	-35.0
Other Criminal Code Traffic Violations	117	8.5	208.30	120	9.2	208.33	2.6	0.0
Street Racing	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	1	100.0	1.74	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> not calculable

# CRIME STATISTICS

## EAST GWILLIMBURY

### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15	
	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>78.7</b>	<b>579.32</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>75.8</b>	<b>605.30</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>4.5</b>
Violations Causing Death	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Attempt Capital Crime	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Sexual Violations	11	90.9	45.19	14	50.0	56.87	27.3	25.8
Assault	86	80.2	353.34	96	80.2	389.99	11.6	10.4
Violations Deprivation Freedom	2	50.0	8.22	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	-100.0	-100.0
Robbery & Other Violent Violations	42	73.8	172.56	39	74.4	158.43	-7.1	-8.2
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>47.6</b>	<b>2,432.31</b>	<b>599</b>	<b>38.2</b>	<b>2,433.38</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Arson	3	0.0	12.33	2	0.0	8.12	-33.3	-34.1
Break and Enter	62	22.6	254.74	68	22.1	276.24	9.7	8.4
Theft Over \$5000	24	20.8	98.61	20	40.0	81.25	-16.7	-17.6
Theft Under \$5000	268	44.4	1,101.11	284	32.0	1,153.72	6.0	4.8
Have Stolen Goods	96	100.0	394.43	72	98.6	292.49	-25.0	-25.8
Fraud	36	61.1	147.91	48	22.9	195.00	33.3	31.8
Mischief	103	25.2	423.19	105	31.4	426.55	1.9	0.8
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>87.1</b>	<b>287.60</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>87.1</b>	<b>251.87</b>	<b>-11.4</b>	<b>-12.4</b>
Other Criminal Code (Part A)	62	87.1	254.74	52	90.4	211.24	-16.1	-17.1
Other Criminal Code (Part B)	8	87.5	32.87	10	70.0	40.62	25.0	23.6
<b>Weapons Violations</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>80.0</b>	<b>41.09</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>80.0</b>	<b>40.62</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>-1.1</b>
<b>Public Morals Violations</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>83.3</b>	<b>24.65</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>66.7</b>	<b>12.19</b>	<b>-50.0</b>	<b>-50.6</b>
Prostitution/Public Morals	6	83.3	24.65	3	66.7	12.19	-50.0	-50.6
Gaming and Betting	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>819</b>	<b>57.0</b>	<b>3,364.97</b>	<b>823</b>	<b>49.3</b>	<b>3,343.35</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>-0.6</b>
<b>Drug Violations</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>102.7</b>	<b>152.02</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>91.8</b>	<b>199.06</b>	<b>32.4</b>	<b>30.9</b>
<b>Other Federal Violations</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>N.C.<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>8.12</b>	<b>N.C.<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>N.C.<sup>1</sup></b>
<b>Total Criminal Code &amp; Federal Violations (excluding traffic)</b>	<b>856</b>	<b>59.0</b>	<b>3,516.99</b>	<b>874</b>	<b>51.8</b>	<b>3,550.54</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.0</b>
<b>Traffic Violations</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>59.8</b>	<b>460.17</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>55.3</b>	<b>572.80</b>	<b>25.9</b>	<b>24.5</b>
Dangerous Operation	19	100.0	78.06	16	87.5	65.00	-15.8	-16.7
Impaired Operation/Related Violations	39	102.6	160.24	53	98.1	215.31	35.9	34.4
Other Criminal Code Traffic Violations	54	14.8	221.87	72	16.7	292.49	33.3	31.8
Street Racing	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> not calculable

# CRIME STATISTICS

## GEORGINA

### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15	
	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	<b>483</b>	<b>91.7</b>	<b>1,030.16</b>	<b>489</b>	<b>83.8</b>	<b>1,029.37</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>-0.1</b>
Violations Causing Death	1	100.0	2.13	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	-100.0	-100.0
Attempt Capital Crime	1	100.0	2.13	1	100.0	2.11	0.0	-1.3
Sexual Violations	27	81.5	57.59	43	58.1	90.52	59.3	57.2
Assault	295	95.3	629.19	258	91.9	543.10	-12.5	-13.7
Violations Deprivation Freedom	6	83.3	12.80	5	100.0	10.53	-16.7	-17.8
Robbery & Other Violent Violations	153	86.9	326.32	182	78.0	383.12	19.0	17.4
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	<b>1,022</b>	<b>49.8</b>	<b>2,179.76</b>	<b>970</b>	<b>45.3</b>	<b>2,041.89</b>	<b>-5.1</b>	<b>-6.3</b>
Arson	8	50.0	17.06	10	10.0	21.05	25.0	23.4
Break and Enter	122	36.1	260.21	137	30.7	288.39	12.3	10.8
Theft Over \$5000	43	34.9	91.71	51	23.5	107.36	18.6	17.1
Theft Under \$5000	395	37.5	842.47	340	39.4	715.71	-13.9	-15.0
Have Stolen Goods	125	101.6	266.60	106	98.1	223.13	-15.2	-16.3
Fraud	60	56.7	127.97	84	32.1	176.82	40.0	38.2
Mischief	269	50.9	573.73	242	49.2	509.42	-10.0	-11.2
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>95.9</b>	<b>575.86</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>97.2</b>	<b>444.16</b>	<b>-21.9</b>	<b>-22.9</b>
Other Criminal Code (Part A)	251	96.8	535.34	183	98.4	385.22	-27.1	-28.0
Other Criminal Code (Part B)	19	84.2	40.52	28	89.3	58.94	47.4	45.4
<b>Weapons Violations</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>61.85</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>91.2</b>	<b>71.57</b>	<b>17.2</b>	<b>15.7</b>
<b>Public Morals Violations</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>93.3</b>	<b>31.99</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>114.3</b>	<b>14.74</b>	<b>-53.3</b>	<b>-53.9</b>
Prostitution/Public Morals	15	93.3	31.99	7	114.3	14.74	-53.3	-53.9
Gaming and Betting	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>1,819</b>	<b>68.9</b>	<b>3,879.62</b>	<b>1,711</b>	<b>63.9</b>	<b>3,601.73</b>	<b>-5.9</b>	<b>-7.2</b>
<b>Drug Violations</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>94.8</b>	<b>366.85</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>345.23</b>	<b>-4.7</b>	<b>-5.9</b>
<b>Other Federal Violations</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>390.31</b>	<b>175</b>	<b>100.6</b>	<b>368.38</b>	<b>-4.4</b>	<b>-5.6</b>
<b>Total Criminal Code &amp; Federal Violations (excluding traffic)</b>	<b>2,174</b>	<b>73.6</b>	<b>4,636.78</b>	<b>2,050</b>	<b>69.9</b>	<b>4,315.34</b>	<b>-5.7</b>	<b>-6.9</b>
<b>Traffic Violations</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>62.7</b>	<b>452.16</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>57.2</b>	<b>437.85</b>	<b>-1.9</b>	<b>-3.2</b>
Dangerous Operation	24	87.5	51.19	19	89.5	40.00	-20.8	-21.9
Impaired Operation/Related Violations	97	97.9	206.88	81	101.2	170.51	-16.5	-17.6
Other Criminal Code Traffic Violations	91	18.7	194.09	108	18.5	227.34	18.7	17.1
Street Racing	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> not calculable.

# CRIME STATISTICS

## KING

### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15	
	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>79.4</b>	<b>525.28</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>73.2</b>	<b>599.50</b>	<b>18.3</b>	<b>14.1</b>
Violations Causing Death	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Attempt Capital Crime	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Sexual Violations	5	60.0	20.84	15	66.7	60.35	200.0	189.5
Assault	70	80.0	291.82	70	78.6	281.64	0.0	-3.5
Violations Deprivation Freedom	1	100.0	4.17	4	75.0	16.09	300.0	286.0
Robbery & Other Violent Violations	50	80.0	208.45	60	68.3	241.41	20.0	15.8
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>32.8</b>	<b>1,309.04</b>	<b>331</b>	<b>24.8</b>	<b>1,331.78</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>
Arson	1	100.0	4.17	2	0.0	8.05	100.0	93.0
Break and Enter	60	16.7	250.14	75	21.3	301.76	25.0	20.6
Theft Over \$5000	24	29.2	100.05	31	24.0	124.73	29.2	24.7
Theft Under \$5000	109	22.9	454.41	120	17.5	482.82	10.1	6.3
Have Stolen Goods	17	94.1	70.87	9	111.1	36.21	-47.1	-48.9
Fraud	36	63.9	150.08	33	27.3	132.78	-8.3	-11.5
Mischief	67	31.3	279.32	61	27.9	245.43	-9.0	-12.1
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>92.1</b>	<b>158.42</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>92.5</b>	<b>160.94</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>
Other Criminal Code (Part A)	29	93.1	120.90	35	94.3	140.82	20.7	16.5
Other Criminal Code (Part B)	9	88.9	37.52	5	80.0	20.12	-44.4	-46.4
<b>Weapons Violations</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>125.0</b>	<b>16.68</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>36.21</b>	<b>125.0</b>	<b>117.2</b>
<b>Public Morals Violations</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>8.34</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>50.0</b>	<b>16.09</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>93.0</b>
Prostitution/Public Morals	2	100.0	8.34	4	50.0	16.09	100.0	93.0
Gaming and Betting	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>484</b>	<b>50.6</b>	<b>2,017.76</b>	<b>533</b>	<b>44.8</b>	<b>2,144.52</b>	<b>10.1</b>	<b>6.3</b>
<b>Drug Violations</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>96.3</b>	<b>112.56</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>97.5</b>	<b>160.94</b>	<b>48.1</b>	<b>43.0</b>
<b>Other Federal Violations</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>4.17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>N.C.<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>-100.0</b>	<b>-100.0</b>
<b>Total Criminal Code &amp; Federal Violations (excluding traffic)</b>	<b>512</b>	<b>53.1</b>	<b>2,134.49</b>	<b>573</b>	<b>48.5</b>	<b>2,305.46</b>	<b>11.9</b>	<b>8.0</b>
<b>Traffic Violations</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>43.8</b>	<b>333.51</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>55.2</b>	<b>422.47</b>	<b>31.3</b>	<b>26.7</b>
Dangerous Operation	11	81.8	45.86	11	90.9	44.26	0.0	-3.5
Impaired Operation/Related Violations	23	100.0	95.89	40	100.0	160.94	73.9	67.8
Other Criminal Code Traffic Violations	46	6.5	191.77	54	14.8	217.27	17.4	13.3
Street Racing	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> not calculable

# CRIME STATISTICS

## MARKHAM

### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15	
	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	<b>1,307</b>	<b>77.7</b>	<b>382.12</b>	<b>1,340</b>	<b>75.7</b>	<b>382.87</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>0.2</b>
Violations Causing Death	2	100.0	0.58	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	-100.0	-100.0
Attempt Capital Crime	1	100.0	0.29	4	100.0	1.14	300.0	290.9
Sexual Violations	84	65.5	24.56	125	82.4	35.72	48.8	45.4
Assault	700	84.7	204.66	651	84.5	186.01	-7.0	-9.1
Violations Deprivation Freedom	23	82.6	6.72	27	96.3	7.71	17.4	14.7
Robbery & Other Violent Violations	497	69.4	145.31	533	62.1	152.29	7.2	4.8
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	<b>3,650</b>	<b>40.0</b>	<b>1,067.14</b>	<b>3,974</b>	<b>39.7</b>	<b>1,135.48</b>	<b>8.9</b>	<b>6.4</b>
Arson	13	15.4	3.80	11	0.0	3.14	-15.4	-17.3
Break and Enter	493	20.5	144.14	599	20.7	171.15	21.5	18.7
Theft Over \$5000	200	21.0	58.47	209	24.4	59.72	4.5	2.1
Theft Under \$5000	1,432	34.6	418.67	1,456	38.9	416.02	1.7	-0.6
Have Stolen Goods	379	99.2	110.81	375	101.6	107.15	-1.1	-3.3
Fraud	572	39.7	167.23	731	33.1	208.87	27.8	24.9
Mischief	561	38.5	164.02	593	35.8	169.44	5.7	3.3
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	<b>521</b>	<b>86.4</b>	<b>152.32</b>	<b>473</b>	<b>89.6</b>	<b>135.15</b>	<b>-9.2</b>	<b>-11.3</b>
Other Criminal Code (Part A)	440	87.5	128.64	402	90.5	114.86	-8.6	-10.7
Other Criminal Code (Part B)	81	80.2	23.68	71	84.5	20.29	-12.3	-14.3
<b>Weapons Violations</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>80.2</b>	<b>23.68</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>74.7</b>	<b>26.00</b>	<b>12.3</b>	<b>9.8</b>
<b>Public Morals Violations</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>83.7</b>	<b>12.57</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>94.9</b>	<b>16.86</b>	<b>37.2</b>	<b>34.1</b>
Prostitution/Public Morals	38	94.7	11.11	57	93.0	16.29	50.0	46.6
Gaming and Betting	5	0.0	1.46	2	150.0	0.57	-60.0	-60.9
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>5,602</b>	<b>54.0</b>	<b>1,637.83</b>	<b>5,937</b>	<b>52.9</b>	<b>1,696.36</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>3.6</b>
<b>Drug Violations</b>	<b>1,036</b>	<b>99.2</b>	<b>302.89</b>	<b>841</b>	<b>99.0</b>	<b>240.30</b>	<b>-18.8</b>	<b>-20.7</b>
<b>Other Federal Violations</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>1.75</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>1.71</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>-2.3</b>
<b>Total Criminal Code &amp; Federal Violations (excluding traffic)</b>	<b>6,644</b>	<b>61.1</b>	<b>1,942.48</b>	<b>6,784</b>	<b>58.6</b>	<b>1,938.37</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>-0.2</b>
<b>Traffic Violations</b>	<b>1,014</b>	<b>41.5</b>	<b>296.46</b>	<b>1,033</b>	<b>43.0</b>	<b>295.16</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>-0.4</b>
Dangerous Operation	63	85.7	18.42	77	87.0	22.00	22.2	19.4
Impaired Operation/Related Violations	304	99.7	88.88	323	100.3	92.29	6.3	3.8
Other Criminal Code Traffic Violations	646	9.8	188.87	630	7.9	180.01	-2.5	-4.7
Street Racing	1	100.0	0.29	3	100.0	0.86	200.0	193.2

# CRIME STATISTICS

## NEWMARKET

### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15	
	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	<b>663</b>	<b>79.9</b>	<b>773.23</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>74.9</b>	<b>824.90</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.7</b>
Violations Causing Death	1	100.0	1.17	1	100.0	1.16	0.0	-0.4
Attempt Capital Crime	1	100.0	1.17	1	100.0	1.16	0.0	-0.4
Sexual Violations	37	59.5	43.15	72	54.2	83.65	94.6	93.9
Assault	389	84.6	453.68	378	86.2	439.17	-2.8	-3.2
Violations Deprivation Freedom	8	100.0	9.33	14	85.7	16.27	75.0	74.3
Robbery & Other Violent Violations	227	74.4	264.74	244	62.7	283.49	7.5	7.1
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	<b>1,670</b>	<b>43.2</b>	<b>1,947.66</b>	<b>1,984</b>	<b>47.9</b>	<b>2,305.07</b>	<b>18.8</b>	<b>18.4</b>
Arson	3	33.3	3.50	4	50.0	4.65	33.3	32.8
Break and Enter	109	22.9	127.12	140	32.9	162.66	28.4	28.0
Theft Over \$5000	50	30.0	58.31	48	35.4	55.77	-4.0	-4.4
Theft Under \$5000	766	36.3	893.36	933	42.0	1,083.99	21.8	21.3
Have Stolen Goods	162	101.9	188.93	268	100.7	311.37	65.4	64.8
Fraud	191	48.7	222.76	230	34.3	267.22	20.4	20.0
Mischief	389	37.3	453.68	361	39.9	419.42	-7.2	-7.6
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	<b>735</b>	<b>97.6</b>	<b>857.20</b>	<b>852</b>	<b>96.9</b>	<b>989.88</b>	<b>15.9</b>	<b>15.5</b>
Other Criminal Code (Part A)	681	97.1	794.22	802	98.1	931.79	17.8	17.3
Other Criminal Code (Part B)	54	103.7	62.98	50	78.0	58.09	-7.4	-7.8
<b>Weapons Violations</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>79.5</b>	<b>45.48</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>72.7</b>	<b>51.12</b>	<b>12.8</b>	<b>12.4</b>
<b>Public Morals Violations</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>55.6</b>	<b>20.99</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>60.9</b>	<b>26.72</b>	<b>27.8</b>	<b>27.3</b>
Prostitution/Public Morals	18	55.6	20.99	23	60.9	26.72	27.8	27.3
Gaming and Betting	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>3,125</b>	<b>64.3</b>	<b>3,644.57</b>	<b>3,613</b>	<b>65.2</b>	<b>4,197.70</b>	<b>15.6</b>	<b>15.2</b>
<b>Drug Violations</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>101.1</b>	<b>212.26</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>99.4</b>	<b>205.64</b>	<b>-2.7</b>	<b>-3.1</b>
<b>Other Federal Violations</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>78.9</b>	<b>22.16</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>103.7</b>	<b>31.37</b>	<b>42.1</b>	<b>41.6</b>
<b>Total Criminal Code &amp; Federal Violations (excluding traffic)</b>	<b>3,326</b>	<b>66.4</b>	<b>3,878.99</b>	<b>3,817</b>	<b>67.0</b>	<b>4,434.71</b>	<b>14.8</b>	<b>14.3</b>
<b>Traffic Violations</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>27.5</b>	<b>424.52</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>36.0</b>	<b>432.20</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.8</b>
Dangerous Operation	21	100.0	24.49	27	92.6	31.37	28.6	28.1
Impaired Operation/Related Violations	60	100.0	69.98	83	98.8	96.43	38.3	37.8
Other Criminal Code Traffic Violations	283	6.7	330.05	262	10.3	304.40	-7.4	-7.8
Street Racing	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> not calculable

# CRIME STATISTICS

## RICHMOND HILL

### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15	
	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	<b>1,006</b>	<b>76.1</b>	<b>495.19</b>	<b>1,075</b>	<b>74.2</b>	<b>519.70</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>4.9</b>
Violations Causing Death	5	20.0	2.46	2	200.0	0.97	-60.0	-60.7
Attempt Capital Crime	8	75.0	3.94	3	133.3	1.45	-62.5	-63.2
Sexual Violations	80	81.3	39.38	80	73.8	38.68	0.0	-1.8
Assault	494	84.2	243.17	560	80.5	270.73	13.4	11.3
Violations Deprivation Freedom	27	77.8	13.29	22	81.8	10.64	-18.5	-20.0
Robbery & Other Violent Violations	392	65.6	192.96	408	64.2	197.24	4.1	2.2
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	<b>2,942</b>	<b>46.7</b>	<b>1,448.17</b>	<b>3,271</b>	<b>48.4</b>	<b>1,581.34</b>	<b>11.2</b>	<b>9.2</b>
Arson	8	12.5	3.94	5	40.0	2.42	-37.5	-38.6
Break and Enter	343	21.0	168.84	407	35.4	196.76	18.7	16.5
Theft Over \$5000	150	17.3	73.84	151	29.1	73.00	0.7	-1.1
Theft Under \$5000	1,135	47.8	558.69	1,314	47.0	635.24	15.8	13.7
Have Stolen Goods	409	100.5	201.33	412	100.7	199.18	0.7	-1.1
Fraud	419	38.7	206.25	525	37.1	253.81	25.3	23.1
Mischief	478	33.5	235.29	457	36.1	220.93	-4.4	-6.1
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	<b>536</b>	<b>94.4</b>	<b>263.84</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>93.5</b>	<b>303.60</b>	<b>17.2</b>	<b>15.1</b>
Other Criminal Code (Part A)	464	95.7	228.40	539	92.8	260.58	16.2	14.1
Other Criminal Code (Part B)	72	86.1	35.44	89	97.8	43.03	23.6	21.4
<b>Weapons Violations</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>91.5</b>	<b>29.04</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>73.0</b>	<b>30.46</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>4.9</b>
<b>Public Morals Violations</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>73.0</b>	<b>18.21</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>105.0</b>	<b>9.67</b>	<b>-45.9</b>	<b>-46.9</b>
Prostitution/Public Morals	36	75.0	17.72	20	105.0	9.67	-44.4	-45.4
Gaming and Betting	1	0.0	0.49	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	-100.0	-100.0
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>4,580</b>	<b>59.5</b>	<b>2,254.46</b>	<b>5,057</b>	<b>60.0</b>	<b>2,444.77</b>	<b>10.4</b>	<b>8.4</b>
<b>Drug Violations</b>	<b>420</b>	<b>100.2</b>	<b>206.74</b>	<b>386</b>	<b>98.4</b>	<b>186.61</b>	<b>-8.1</b>	<b>-9.7</b>
<b>Other Federal Violations</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>114.3</b>	<b>3.45</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>3.38</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>-1.8</b>
<b>Total Criminal Code &amp; Federal Violations (excluding traffic)</b>	<b>5,007</b>	<b>63.0</b>	<b>2,464.64</b>	<b>5,450</b>	<b>62.8</b>	<b>2,634.76</b>	<b>8.8</b>	<b>6.9</b>
<b>Traffic Violations</b>	<b>655</b>	<b>22.6</b>	<b>322.42</b>	<b>680</b>	<b>39.3</b>	<b>328.74</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>2.0</b>
Dangerous Operation	23	100.0	11.32	49	85.7	23.69	113.0	109.2
Impaired Operation/Related Violations	100	101.0	49.22	175	100.0	84.60	75.0	71.9
Other Criminal Code Traffic Violations	532	4.5	261.87	455	10.8	219.97	-14.5	-16.0
Street Racing	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	1	100.0	0.48	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> not calculable



# CRIME STATISTICS

## VAUGHAN

### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15	
	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	<b>1,869</b>	<b>76.4</b>	<b>587.94</b>	<b>1,946</b>	<b>76.7</b>	<b>601.53</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>2.3</b>
Violations Causing Death	5	40.0	1.57	5	80.0	1.55	0.0	-1.7
Attempt Capital Crime	7	42.9	2.20	4	150.0	1.24	-42.9	-43.8
Sexual Violations	105	73.3	33.03	91	70.3	28.13	-13.3	-14.8
Assault	971	83.9	305.45	1,011	85.0	312.51	4.1	2.3
Violations Deprivation Freedom	26	96.2	8.18	34	82.4	10.51	30.8	28.5
Robbery & Other Violent Violations	755	67.0	237.50	801	66.3	247.60	6.1	4.3
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	<b>6,515</b>	<b>45.0</b>	<b>2,049.46</b>	<b>7,377</b>	<b>43.0</b>	<b>2,280.33</b>	<b>13.2</b>	<b>11.3</b>
Arson	12	16.7	3.77	24	20.8	7.42	100.0	96.5
Break and Enter	519	15.2	163.26	700	16.3	216.38	34.9	32.5
Theft Over \$5000	498	18.3	156.66	537	17.7	165.99	7.8	6.0
Theft Under \$5000	2,962	40.3	931.77	3,265	40.1	1,009.25	10.2	8.3
Have Stolen Goods	952	99.5	299.48	1,040	101.0	321.48	9.2	7.3
Fraud	820	40.9	257.95	973	31.8	300.77	18.7	16.6
Mischief	752	37.9	236.56	838	34.4	259.04	11.4	9.5
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	<b>541</b>	<b>84.8</b>	<b>170.19</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>92.0</b>	<b>192.58</b>	<b>15.2</b>	<b>13.2</b>
Other Criminal Code (Part A)	448	87.1	140.93	513	96.3	158.58	14.5	12.5
Other Criminal Code (Part B)	93	74.2	29.26	110	71.8	34.00	18.3	16.2
						0.00		
<b>Weapons Violations</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>84.5</b>	<b>32.40</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>77.7</b>	<b>42.97</b>	<b>35.0</b>	<b>32.6</b>
<b>Public Morals Violations</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>59.6</b>	<b>14.79</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>70.3</b>	<b>11.44</b>	<b>-21.3</b>	<b>-22.6</b>
Prostitution/Public Morals	45	62.2	14.16	35	74.3	10.82	-22.2	-23.6
Gaming and Betting	2	0.0	0.63	2	0.0	0.62	0.0	-1.7
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>9,075</b>	<b>54.4</b>	<b>2,854.77</b>	<b>10,122</b>	<b>53.1</b>	<b>3,128.84</b>	<b>11.5</b>	<b>9.6</b>
<b>Drug Violations</b>	<b>749</b>	<b>99.9</b>	<b>235.62</b>	<b>747</b>	<b>99.3</b>	<b>230.91</b>	<b>-0.3</b>	<b>-2.0</b>
<b>Other Federal Violations</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>87.5</b>	<b>2.52</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>106.7</b>	<b>4.64</b>	<b>87.5</b>	<b>84.2</b>
<b>Total Criminal Code &amp; Federal Violations (excluding traffic)</b>	<b>9,832</b>	<b>57.9</b>	<b>3,092.90</b>	<b>10,884</b>	<b>56.3</b>	<b>3,364.39</b>	<b>10.7</b>	<b>8.8</b>
<b>Traffic Violations</b>	<b>1,446</b>	<b>37.6</b>	<b>454.88</b>	<b>1,443</b>	<b>42.9</b>	<b>446.05</b>	<b>-0.2</b>	<b>-1.9</b>
Dangerous Operation	119	89.9	37.43	142	85.2	43.89	19.3	17.3
Impaired Operation/Related Violations	369	100.0	116.08	400	99.8	123.65	8.4	6.5
Other Criminal Code Traffic Violations	955	6.6	300.42	897	10.6	277.27	-6.1	-7.7
Street Racing	3	133.3	0.94	4	100.0	1.24	33.3	31.0

# CRIME STATISTICS

## WHITCHURCH-STOUFFVILLE

### JANUARY – DECEMBER (2014 – 2015)

	2014			2015			2014/15	
	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Actual	Percent Cleared	Rate Per 100,000 Population	Variance in Actual (%)	Variance in Rates (%)
<b>Crimes Against Persons</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>86.8</b>	<b>356.85</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>75.3</b>	<b>436.75</b>	<b>24.5</b>	<b>22.4</b>
Violations Causing Death	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Attempt Capital Crime	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
Sexual Violations	8	125.0	17.95	12	66.7	26.47	50.0	47.4
Assault	101	87.1	226.68	112	89.3	247.05	10.9	9.0
Violations Deprivation Freedom	3	66.7	6.73	1	100.0	2.21	-66.7	-67.2
Robbery & Other Violent Violations	47	80.9	105.48	73	54.8	161.02	55.3	52.7
<b>Crimes Against Property</b>	<b>450</b>	<b>34.9</b>	<b>1,009.94</b>	<b>450</b>	<b>27.8</b>	<b>992.61</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>-1.7</b>
Arson	3	0.0	6.73	5	0.0	11.03	66.7	63.8
Break and Enter	61	24.6	136.90	71	9.9	156.61	16.4	14.4
Theft Over \$5000	20	15.0	44.89	25	16.0	55.15	25.0	22.9
Theft Under \$5000	181	29.3	406.22	146	21.9	322.05	-19.3	-20.7
Have Stolen Goods	37	100.0	83.04	32	93.8	70.59	-13.5	-15.0
Fraud	42	23.8	94.26	72	23.6	158.82	71.4	68.5
Mischief	106	36.8	237.90	99	35.4	218.37	-6.6	-8.2
<b>Other Criminal Code</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>86.9</b>	<b>136.90</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>86.6</b>	<b>147.79</b>	<b>9.8</b>	<b>8.0</b>
Other Criminal Code (Part A)	53	88.7	118.95	57	82.5	125.73	7.5	5.7
Other Criminal Code (Part B)	8	75.0	17.95	10	110.0	22.06	25.0	22.9
<b>Weapons Violations</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>88.9</b>	<b>20.20</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>11.03</b>	<b>-44.4</b>	<b>-45.4</b>
<b>Public Morals Violations</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>50.0</b>	<b>13.47</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>88.9</b>	<b>19.85</b>	<b>50.0</b>	<b>47.4</b>
Prostitution/Public Morals	6	50.0	13.47	9	88.9	19.85	50.0	47.4
Gaming and Betting	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>
<b>Total Criminal Violations</b>	<b>685</b>	<b>52.4</b>	<b>1,537.36</b>	<b>729</b>	<b>47.3</b>	<b>1,608.03</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>4.6</b>
<b>Drug Violations</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>96.2</b>	<b>118.95</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>98.4</b>	<b>138.97</b>	<b>18.9</b>	<b>16.8</b>
<b>Other Federal Violations</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>2.24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>N.C.<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>-100.0</b>	<b>-100.0</b>
<b>Total Criminal Code &amp; Federal Violations (excluding traffic)</b>	<b>739</b>	<b>55.6</b>	<b>1,658.55</b>	<b>792</b>	<b>51.4</b>	<b>1,746.99</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>5.3</b>
<b>Traffic Violations</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>53.4</b>	<b>260.34</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>56.3</b>	<b>247.05</b>	<b>-3.4</b>	<b>-5.1</b>
Dangerous Operation	12	91.7	26.93	9	66.7	19.85	-25.0	-26.3
Impaired Operation/Related Violations	42	100.0	94.26	47	97.9	103.67	11.9	10.0
Other Criminal Code Traffic Violations	62	14.5	139.15	56	19.6	123.52	-9.7	-11.2
Street Racing	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	0	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	0.00	N.C. <sup>1</sup>	N.C. <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> not calculable



## **I Run & Rock-United by Trauma Newmarket 2016**

**Dear York Police Services Board,**

**I Run & Rock-United by Trauma is hosting our 4<sup>th</sup> Annual event at the Ray Twinney Arena in Newmarket, Ontario on May 28, 2016. We are so pleased to make you aware that in 2015 our event had overwhelming support from across the province. We currently have 6 PTSD Service Dogs in our program that will be ready to be placed in June.**

**Since I Run & Rock 2015 we have grown with support from across the province, we are anticipating the runner participation to grow to 800. We have also expanded the activities to include a K-9 Agility Course, Police Tactical Team Run and Motor Cycle Poker Run. This year our PTSD/Trauma educational area has doubled offering many resources for members and their families.**

**We are so appreciative for your contribution last year it truly helped to make the event a huge success, we are hoping that you would consider supporting our event this May 28 2016. Throughout the year we have continued to highlight York Police Services Board as a true community leader in assisting all first responders affected by Post Traumatic Stress Disorder. Once again the entire event is open to the public, all first responders and their families.**

**Your financial support will enable this event to directly help us raise much needed funds to support all emergency services affected by Post Traumatic Stress Disorder and life saving resources such as our PTSD Service Dog Program. Our sponsors are invaluable in continuing this valuable work and we cannot do our work without you.**

**Attached is information regarding our sponsorship opportunities and our PTSD Service Dog Program and our 2016 poster.**

**Best Regards**

**Nicole Taylor**

**Co-Founders of United by Trauma**

**[irunandroch@gmail.com](mailto:irunandroch@gmail.com)**

**[www.irunandroch.ca](http://www.irunandroch.ca)**

**March 20, 2016**



**i RUN**  
**UNITED**

**&**  
**BY**

**ROCK**  
**TRAUMA**





**May 28, 2016 i Run & Rock-United by Trauma  
Newmarket, Ontario**

**1,5 & 10K Run/Walk, Trauma Education Centre,  
Kid's Activities, Dog Agility Course, First Responder  
Static display area, Beer Gardens & Rock Concert!**



# Sponsorship Levels

## Title Sponsorship/Platinum Level **\$60,000**

**“The event is presented by...”**

- **Prominent logo display on media wall**
- **Sponsorship of 3 Service Dogs to be donated to a first responder affected by PTSD.**
- **In-house mentions during the event at the venue**
- **Product exclusivity**
- **Dedicated area for brand presence, product display, signage**
- **Logo and company name on all collateral**
- **40 passes to event**



# Gold level

**\$30,000**

**Dedicated space in display area for products and signage**

- **Logo and company name on website and marketing material**
- **Sponsorship of 2 Service Dog to be donated to a first responder affected by PTSD**
- **Company mentions during the event**
- **Company Products included in gift bags**
- **20 passes to event**

# Silver Level

**\$15,000**

**Dedicated space in display area for products and signage**

- **Logo and company name on website and marketing material**
- **Sponsorship of 1 Service Dog to be donated to a first responder affected by PTSD**
- **Company mentions during the event**
- **Company Products included in gift bags**
- **20 passes to event**

# Bronze Level

**\$5,000**

- **Dedicated space in display area for product and company**
- **Company marketing material in gift bags**
- **Company logo on i Run & Rock website**
- **20 passes to event**



[www.irunandrock.ca](http://www.irunandrock.ca)  
[@irunandrock](https://twitter.com/irunandrock)  
[irunandrock@gmail.com](mailto:irunandrock@gmail.com)





**IN CANIS SPERAMUS**  
Proud Provider of Great Service Dogs

### **The Problem:**

PTSD is a growing and critical problem. It affects about 20% of first responders and military personnel. It is estimated that there is about one suicide every hour across North America due to PTSD! In addition to the mental trauma suffered by individuals affected, the cost to organizations in terms of loss of personnel and productivity is significant. Individuals sustaining a PTSD injury are typically highly trained and skilled professionals.

### **The Solutions:**

Research demonstrates that PTSD Service Dogs are one of the best treatment options available to individuals with a PTSD injury. It is a solution that is potent, free from negative side effects and indeed cost effective.

What are the benefits to our clients?

When people hear the term Service Dogs many think of Guide Dog for the blind. It is easy to understand the benefit in this case, the dog help blind people navigate/

The benefits of a PTSD service dog are more nuanced but not less tangible. PTSD injuries present itself at a number of different levels - neurological, physiological and psychosocial. Research shows that PTSD Service Dogs have tangible benefits at all these levels.

### **Our Advantage**

The partnership between United By Trauma and In Canis Speramus combines decades of experience in our respective fields to provide highly skilled and effective PTSD Service Dogs.

Together We Are Stronger.

In Canis Speramus has over 25 years of experience training high-performance working dogs - an undertaking that is very different from training a well-behaved pet; a working animal has a responsibility and must be able to perform his duty under many different conditions.

Our dogs are in professional hands from an early age and their training starts at 8 weeks of age. They are fully controllable both on and off lead!

In Canis Speramus dogs are trained to be handler and people focused. We do not use food or toys in their training, praise and human contact is the dog's principal reward. To be of maximum benefit to an individual with PTSD requires a people focused dog. We want a strong and healthy bond between client and dog such that the dog becomes an extension of person he/she is working for!


[www.irunandrocks.ca](http://www.irunandrocks.ca) [irunandrocks@gmail.com](mailto:irunandrocks@gmail.com) [www.incanisesperamus.com](http://www.incanisesperamus.com)






# PTSD SERVICE DOGS

A highly effective treatment option made available through a partnership between United By Trauma and In Canis Speramus.



Ernie's Journey is a PTSD Service Dog Program that is developed and administered by United by Trauma in partnership with In Canis Speramus. As an effective Complementary and Alternative Medication (CAM) treatment for individuals affected by Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), this outreach program is primarily available to members of the first responder community that United by Trauma represents.

United by Trauma is a not-for-profit organization created by first responders, military and medical professionals to assist peers with PTSD education and outreach programs, including PTSD Service Dogs. As such, we have intimate knowledge and understanding of our members' real life experiences, as well as PTSD and Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) treatment modalities (Animal Assisted Intervention). United by Trauma also has access



In Canis Speramus' training program starts when the puppies are only 8 weeks old. To provide PTSD Survivors with the best Animal Assisted Intervention takes 1 year of training.

to a vast network of medical and mental health care resources for diagnosis and treatment of PTSD, as well as the latest research on effective treatment options, including Animal Assisted Intervention (AAI).

With over 25 years of experience, In Canis Speramus has an excellent reputation for delivering working dogs that meet the highest performance standards. Together our knowledge and understanding of PTSD informs development of the PTSD Service Dog outreach program, so that we can offer the best Animal Assisted Intervention (AAI) possible - dogs that are highly skilled and effective in providing individuals affected with PTSD with the best treatment available.

## PTSD Service Dog Benefits

### Physiological Benefits

- Lowers blood pressure
- Increases oxytocin and cortisol resulting in a number of psychosocial benefits
- Decreases serum cholesterol

### Psychosocial Benefits


- Reduces anxiety, anger and depression
- Reduces loneliness and social isolation
- Promotes opportunities for social interaction and community integration
- Improves motivation to become more physically active
- Inspires people to smile, laugh and have a good time
- Shifts focus away from negative circumstances to caring and nurturing of an animal
- Enhances self-esteem (a dog offers unconditional love and acceptance)



## What are the costs of training a PTSD Service Dog?

It takes one year and costs \$20,000 to produce a highly skilled and effective PTSD Service Dog, with the majority of the cost stemming from the time required to develop, train and maintain these magnificent animals.

- Cost of Pup
- Food And Veterinary Cost
- Transportation
- Development, Skills Training And General Maintenance



To make quality Service Dogs available to first responders affected by PTSD In Canis Speramus donates 50% of the training costs to United By Trauma's outreach program.

## About United by Trauma

Founded in 2013, United by Trauma is a charitable organization that is dedicated to raising awareness of Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) within the first responder community. Because our members are typically at the frontline of traumatic events, they are more prone to experiencing this serious mental health condition as a result of workplace stressors. To discuss PTSD and the impact it has in the medical field and emergency services, we started United by Trauma. Since then, we have

expanded our awareness and outreach programs to include PTSD Service Dogs.

For more information about United by Trauma, visit [www.irunandrock.ca](http://www.irunandrock.ca)

### About In Canis Speramus

In Canis Speramus is an organization dedicated to training elite working dogs for private individuals who depend on these amazing animals for their personal safety, independence and freedom. In Canis Speramus' dog training experts have more than 25 years experience training working dogs for a number of different purposes, including service, personal protection and detection dogs. Because their clients depend on them to perform critical tasks, they set the highest industry standards and performance requirements. Their mission is simple – to train exceptional dogs for exceptional times.

For more information about In Canis Speramus, visit [www.incanissperamus.com](http://www.incanissperamus.com)



**IN CANIS SPERAMUS**

**Proud Provider of Great Service Dogs**



# UNITED BY TRAUMA / IN CANIS SPERAMUS

## *PTSD Service Dog Program*

### WHO

Ernie's Journey is a PTSD Service Dog Program that is developed and administered by United by Trauma, in partnership with In Canis Speramus. As an effective Complementary and Alternative Medication (CAM) treatment for individuals affected by Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), this outreach program is primarily available to members of the first responder community that United by Trauma represents.

United by Trauma is a not-for-profit organization created by first responders, military and medical professionals to assist our peers with PTSD education and outreach programs, including PTSD Service Dogs. As such, we have intimate knowledge and understanding of our members' real life experiences, as well as PTSD and Complementary and Alternative Medicine (CAM) treatment modalities (Animal Assisted Intervention). We also have access to a vast network of medical and mental health care resources for diagnosis and treatment of PTSD, as well as the latest research on effective treatment options, including Animal Assisted Intervention (AAI).

To offer an outstanding / exceptional / effective PTSD Service Dog Program, we have partnered with In Canis Speramus. With over 25 years of experience, In Canis Speramus has an excellent reputation for delivering working dogs that meet the highest performance standards. Our combined knowledge and understanding of PTSD informs development of the PTSD Service Dog outreach program, so that we can offer the best Animal Assisted Intervention (AAI) possible - dogs that are highly skilled and effective in providing individuals affected with PTSD with the best treatment available. Together We Are Stronger.

#### **Program Goals**

- Offer an effective Animal Assisted Intervention (AAI) treatment alternative for individuals affected by PTSD
- Provide highly skilled and effective PTSD Service Dogs that meet and exceeds the highest industry performance standards
- Provide individuals affected with PTSD with peer support and access to resources to assist in optimizing social and canine welfare

#### **In Canis Speramus' Training Philosophy and Methods**

In Canis Speramus exclusively trains high-performance working dogs - an undertaking that is very different from training a well-behaved pet; a working animal has a responsibility and must be able to perform his duty under many different conditions. Because a PTSD survivor relies on it, we set the highest performance standards for graduates of our PTSD Service Dog program. We only use positive methods of shaping behaviour, with emphasis on affection and praise rewards; we don't use any food, toys or clickers to make sure that the animal can operate in an environment with a lot of distractions, while remaining focused on his handler. Raised in a home environment by professional handlers only, service dog candidates are fully socialized with children, complete strangers, and other animals.

#### **Working Breeds Selected**

Not typically used for work as PTSD Service Dogs, we have chosen working dog breeds, such as, Czech & East German Shepherds Dogs, Malinois, and Labrador Retrievers, for three different reasons. Because these breeds are commonly used by law enforcement and military agencies, many representatives of these services already have positive associations and images of these animals. For many individuals affected with combat-related PTSD, personal safety is also a deep concern. Accompanied by a majestic German Shepherd Dog or Malinois, they can enter the public realm with the confidence and trust that the dog will look out for them. This reduces the state of hyper-vigilance experienced by many of these PTSD survivors, allowing them to function better.

#### **A PTSD Service Dog can be trained to:**

- Create a physical barrier between the individual affected by PTSD and any strangers encroaching on his/her sense of personal space
- Wake / interrupt the individual affected by PTSD when experiencing intrusive nightmares or flashbacks

#### **Selection of PTSD Service Dogs**

Our PTSD Service Dogs are selected from highly reputable breeders to guarantee a good temperament and disposition. We select the best puppies for our PTSD Service Dog Program based on a number of criteria: overall health, good temperament and overall disposition, responsiveness and confidence, and the ability to learn and retain commands through repetition and experience. A good temperament is fundamental to training a highly skilled and effective PTSD Service Dog, but, this is only the beginning - it also takes an expert team of dog trainers to produce world class PTSD Service Dogs.

## WHAT

### What are the advantages of United by Trauma's PTSD Service Dog Program?

- Our combined knowledge and understanding of PTSD informs development of the PTSD Service Dog outreach program, so that we can offer the best Animal Assisted Intervention (AAI) possible - dogs that are highly skilled and effective in providing individuals affected with PTSD with the best treatment available.
- Continuous peer support throughout the PTSD Service Dog training program and beyond to promote positive outcomes for individuals affected by PTSD, family members, and canine
- PTSD Service Dog training/transition program specifically tailored to immediately promote / enhance the overall wellbeing of individuals affected by PTSD by becoming active participants in the training process. This program helps PTSD survivors:
  - Manage and control emotions
  - Focus attention
  - Improve social competence and social interactions
  - Promote overall sense of wellbeing
- Highest performance standards in the industry for PTSD Service Dogs ensures that the animal is obedient, reliable and responsive to its' handler

## WHY


### PTSD Service Dog Benefits

#### Psychosocial Benefits

- Reduces anxiety & hyper-vigilance
- Increased impulse control, patience and emotional regulation
- Improved ability to display affect
- Decrease in emotional numbness
- Promotes opportunities for social interaction and community integration
- Enhances self-esteem (a dog offers unconditional positive regard)
- Provides daily order & structure
- Reduces sleep disturbances

#### Physiological Benefits

- Promotes outdoor physical exercise with concomitant overall health benefits. Physical exercise has been demonstrated to have a positive effect in the treatment of depression - a mental health condition that is commonly experienced by individuals affected by PTSD.
- Lowers blood pressure
- Increased sensory stimulation





ONTARIO ASSOCIATION OF  
POLICE SERVICES BOARDS  
*"Good Policing Through Good Governance"*

2045 Dundas Street, London, Ontario N5V 1R4  
Tel. (519) 659-0434 Fax (519) 659-7004

ONTARIO ASSOCIATION OF POLICE SERVICES BOARDS

NOTICE OF THE 2016 ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING

Pursuant to Section 11.04 of the General By-law of the Ontario Association of Police Services Boards, this shall be considered the formal notice of the 2016 Annual General Meeting of the Association's membership. The 2016 Annual General Meeting will be held at The Sheraton on the Falls, 5875 Falls Avenue, Niagara Falls, Ontario on **Friday, May 13<sup>th</sup>, 2016** commencing at **2:15 p.m.** or shortly thereafter for the purpose of:

1. Confirming the Minutes of the 53rd Annual Meeting held on Friday, May 29th, 2015.
2. Receiving the Financial Statements and Auditor's Report for the 2015 Fiscal Year.
3. Appointment of an Auditor for the 2016 Fiscal Year.
4. Ratification of the Board of Directors for the term 2016-2017 as submitted by the Zones.
5. **Resolutions are due to the OAPSB by Thursday, April 21<sup>st</sup>, 2016.** See <http://www.oapSB.ca/members/resolutions/> for Resolution Procedures (2015 resolution procedures continue to apply).
6. Transacting such other business as may be properly brought before the meeting. The OAPSB General By-law provides that each individual member present at the meeting of a municipal police services board who is a member of the OAPSB shall have the right to exercise one vote on any matter before the membership. Boards that have not yet submitted their 2016 membership dues are reminded that dues must be received by the OAPSB prior to conference in order for their conference delegates to receive all the privileges of membership and exercise their voting privileges.

If your Board has not submitted its 2016 membership renewal, please find below a link to our on-line membership renewal on the OAPSB website <http://www.oapSB.ca/membership/>.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'RB', enclosed within a circular scribble. A horizontal line extends from the bottom right of the signature.

Russ Bain  
President



# NIAGARA REGIONAL POLICE SERVICE Headquarters and 2 District Building



## You're Invited to a Facility Tour

**For an exclusive look at the new Niagara Regional Police Service Headquarters and 2 District building opening Fall 2016!**

### NRPS Headquarters/2 District Overview:

The Niagara Regional Police Headquarters and 2 District facility is almost complete. This new facility is approximately 210,000 square feet and has been designed to meet Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) silver certification standards. This facility is designed with improved community service and efficiencies in infrastructure in mind. It will see the amalgamation of up to six other facilities currently being used by the NRPS. It will house several centralized units including property and evidence, forensic investigations, major investigative units, dispatch and 9-1-1, prisoner management, and administration. Don't miss this exclusive opportunity to see this state-of-the-art police facility!

### NRPS Headquarters/2 District Quick Facts:

- ✓ **Size:** 210,000 square feet
- ✓ **Location:** 5700 Valley Way, Niagara Falls
- ✓ **Area:** 8.25 acres, three level building plus basement level below grade, 415 vehicle parking spots
- ✓ **Special Features:** Solar ready design, electric vehicle ready, designed to LEED Silver Standards
- ✓ **Building Usage:** Central Police Headquarters and 2 District administrative and operational functions
- ✓ **Project Cost/Budget Allocation:** \$65.9 million
- ✓ **Project Completion:** September 2016



## JOIN US!

**Wednesday, May 11, 2016 – 6:30 pm**

Transportation from the hotel will be provided  
RSVP to Deb Morton at [deb.morton@niagarapolice.ca](mailto:deb.morton@niagarapolice.ca)  
or 905-688-4111 ext. 5170



**OTTAWA POLICE SERVICES BOARD**

110 Laurier Avenue West  
Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 1J1  
Tel: (613) 560-1270, Fax: (613) 580-2728  
[Ottawapoliceboard.ca](http://Ottawapoliceboard.ca)



**COMMISSION DE SERVICES POLICIERIS  
D'OTTAWA**

110, avenue Laurier ouest  
Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1  
Tél: (613) 560-1270, Télécopieur: 580-2728  
[Ottawapoliceboard.ca](http://Ottawapoliceboard.ca)

22 March 2016

File: 00-16-0004

The Honourable Minister Yasir Naqvi  
Minister of Community Safety and Correctional Services  
18th Floor, 25 Grosvenor Street  
TORONTO, ON, M7A 1Y6

Dear Minister Naqvi:

**RE: Police Services Act Reform**

I am writing on behalf of the Ottawa Police Services Board in reference to correspondence sent to you by Mr. Roger Anderson, Chair of the Durham Regional Police Services Board dated February 24, 2016 (copy attached). Mr. Anderson's letter contained his Board's comments regarding proposed legislative amendments to the Police Services Act.

Mr. Anderson's correspondence was shared with members of the Ottawa Police Services Board at their March 21, 2016 meeting. At that time, the Board passed a resolution endorsing Mr. Anderson's letter and requested that I write to you to express our Board's support for the feedback provided by the Durham Police Services Board. They have addressed all the key issues and concerns that have been discussed at meetings of the "Big 12" police services boards for the past two or more years in a comprehensive and clear manner, and we have no hesitation in supporting each of their submissions.

I would like to take the opportunity to thank you and your colleagues for taking the initiative to update the Police Services Act. It is long overdue and will hopefully result in a higher functioning, accountable and transparent model of policing in Ontario.

Thank you for your consideration. Feel free to contact me if you require further information.

Sincerely,

*(Original signed by)*

Councillor Eli El-Chantiry  
Chair, Ottawa Police Services Board

Attach. (1)

cc: Mr. R. Bain, President, OAPSB *(email only)*  
Chairs, Big 12 Police Services Boards *(email only)*



**OTTAWA POLICE SERVICES BOARD**

110 Laurier Avenue West  
Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 1J1  
Tel: (613) 560-1270, Fax: (613) 580-2728  
[Ottawapoliceboard.ca](http://Ottawapoliceboard.ca)



**COMMISSION DE SERVICES POLICIERIS  
D'OTTAWA**

110, avenue Laurier ouest  
Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1  
Tél: (613) 560-1270, Télécopieur: 580-2728  
[Ottawapoliceboard.ca](http://Ottawapoliceboard.ca)

22 March 2016

File: 03-15-0010

The Honourable Minister Steven Del Duca  
Minister of Transportation  
Queen's Park  
77 Wellesley Street West  
Ferguson Block, 3rd Floor  
Toronto, ON M7A 1Z8

Dear Minister Del Duca:

**RE: Proposed Changes to the Highway Traffic Act – Colour Coating Obscure Interior Driver Window**

I am writing on behalf of the Ottawa Police Services Board in regard to the above-noted matter.

At its meeting on March 21, 2016, the Board approved a resolution directing me to write to you to request amendments to the Highway Traffic Act (HTA) to allow for the use of Photometric Meters by police, and that drivers who record a tint reading of 70 percent or less of light being translated through the vehicle window be subject to a fine under the HTA, with an exemption for emergency service and police vehicles. The Board also directed that the resolution be forwarded to the Ontario Association of Police Services Boards for consideration at its Annual General Meeting.

The tint on the vehicle windows of motorized vehicles is an important safety issue that police see every day. Manufacturers are authorized to put in place window tint at 30% (allowing 70% of light to enter the driver's compartment) but anything added by the vehicle owner further obstructs the view of the interior. Windows that have too much tint can obstruct the view of drivers and can make it difficult for pedestrians to make eye contact with drivers prior to stepping off the sidewalk to cross the road safely. Other drivers are also unable to make eye contact.

Overly tinted windows also prevent police from viewing activity in vehicles. They can conceal cell phone use while driving, and can represent a safety risk to officers approaching a blacked out driver's compartment.

The legislation in Ontario governing this issue has not been revised to keep up with tinting technology since 1990. The current legislation governing the tinting of windows is very vague and problematic to enforce. Officers are required to describe whether the tinting of windows allows them to adequately view the occupant of the front seat.

The present wording is very open for interpretation and is based on the subjectivity of an officers' observations. This can lead to difficulties in getting convictions in Court.

Ottawa Police Service (OPS) Traffic Section Sgt. Mark Gatien has been working on this issue for the OPS to clarify the legislation and has raised it at a number of venues.

We would ask that the Ontario government make changes to the Highway Traffic Act that reflect the Province of Quebec's legislation, which is very clear. In Quebec, any reading under 70% light transmission of light entering the driver's compartment would be subject to a fine thereby removing any question or subjectivity as to the level of tint a window may have.

We respectfully request that Section 73 of the Highway Traffic Act be amended to allow for the use of standardized Photometric Meters by police services for enforcement efforts. They are already in use in Quebec and several US states.

For operational reasons, we believe that emergency service and police vehicles should be exempted from this amendment while in the execution of duties.

Thank you for your consideration. Feel free to contact me if you require further information.

Sincerely,

*(Original signed by)*

Councillor Eli El-Chantiry  
Chair, Ottawa Police Services Board

Attach. (1) – *by email only*

cc: Mr. R. Bain, President, OAPSB  
Mr. F. Kaustinen, Executive Director, OAPSB  
Chairs, Big 12 Police Services Boards  
Chief C. Bordeleau, Ottawa Police Service  
Sgt. M. Gatien, Ottawa Police Service

**THE REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF YORK  
POLICE SERVICES BOARD**

**BY LAW NO. 03-16**

**A By Law to Confirm  
the Proceedings of the Board at its Meeting  
held on March 23, 2016**

The Regional Municipality of York Police Services Board HEREBY ENACTS as follows:

1. The action of the Board in respect of each motion, resolution and other action passed and taken by the Board at its meeting is hereby adopted, ratified and confirmed.
2. The Chairman of the Board, the Chief of Police and Deputy Chiefs of Police are hereby authorized and directed to do all things necessary to give effect to the said action or to obtain approvals where required, and, except where otherwise provided, the Chairman and Executive Director are hereby directed to execute all documents necessary in that behalf.

**ENACTED AND PASSED this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of March, 2016**

---

**Mafalda Avellino, Executive Director**

---

**Mayor Frank Scarpitti, Chair**